SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 15 June 2017 Accepted: 18 August 2017 Published online: 05 September 2017

OPEN First-principles calculations on Fe-Pt nanoclusters of various morphologies

Alexander Platonenko¹, Sergei Piskunov¹, Dmitry Bocharov¹, Yuri F. Zhukovskii¹, Robert A. Evarestov² & Stefano Bellucci³

Bimetallic FePt nanoparticles with L10 structure are attracting a lot of attention due to their high magnetocrystalline anisotropy and high coercivity what makes them potential material for storage of ultra-high density magnetic data. FePt nanoclusters are considered also as nanocatalysts for growth of carbon nanotubes of different chiralities. Using the DFT-LCAO CRYSTAL14 code, we have performed large-scale spin-polarized calculations on 19 different polyhedral structures of FePt nanoparticles in order to estimate which icosahedral or hcp-structured morphology is the energetically more preferable. Surface energy calculations of all aforementioned nanoparticles indicate that the global minimum corresponds to the nanocluster possessing the icosahedron "onion-like" structure and Fe₄₃Pt₁₀₄ morphology where the outer layer consists of Pt atoms. The presence of the Pt-enriched layer around FePt core explains high oxidation resistance and environmental stability, both observed experimentally.

Magnetic nanoparticles (NPs) with sizes ranging from 2 to 20 nm represent an important class of artificial nanostructured materials. Their magnetic properties essentially depend on the NP size because the thermal energy kTbecomes comparable to the KV product term, where k T, K and V are the Boltzmann constant, the temperature, the constant of the so-called magnetic anisotropy of the nanoparticle (NP) and its volume, respectively¹. As a result, the magnetization of the nanocluster can randomly flip direction depending on the temperature, and thus NP can be fixed in the so-called superparamagnetic state 1-3.

FePt bulk possesses $L1_0^4$ chemically ordered P4/mmm tetragonal structure or chemically disordered A1 $(Fm\overline{3}m)$ cubic structure^{2,5}. It was shown recently that the atomic ratio of Fe and Pt in Fe_xPt_{1-x} nanoparticles (NPs) synthesized by the sol-gel method (where x was changed between 0.3 and 0.8) plays an essential role for the structural and magnetic properties of these NPs⁶. FePt NPs possessing a near-stoichiometric atomic percentage of Fe and Pt belong to the important class of magnetic nanomaterials. FePt LI_0 NPs have attracted considerable attention because of their extremely high magnetic anisotropy⁷ making them especially useful for practical applications in solid-state devices, e.g., in high-density magnetic recording media^{8,9}, and in biomedicine, e.g., as contrast agents for the magnetic resonance imaging¹⁰ or as the basis for neutron activated coating when annealing the FePt core-shell NPs for cancer treatment¹¹.

Magnetic properties of NPs also allow one to use them as a catalyst for the growth of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) with predictable chiralities¹². Chirality of an arbitrary CNT depends on the direction of an external magnetic field while diameter depends on the size of FePt NP². It should be also noted that FePt nanoparticles are chemically more stable than high-magnetic nanoclusters of Co and Fe, as well as other high coercive materials like $CoSm_5$ and $Nd_2Fe_{14}B^2$. Synthesized $L1_0$ FePt/MnFe₂O₄ core-shell nanocomposites also possess attracting magnetic properties¹³

FePt NPs were mainly synthesized using organometallic chemistry^{7,14} or gas-phase condensation and nucleation methods¹⁵⁻¹⁷. The latter can be applied for the synthesis of NPs with various shapes: cuboctahedrons, icosahedrons, and faceted spheres17, 18.

A number of different FePt NP sectioning morphologies and properties simulated using first-principles calculations are described in the literature¹⁹⁻²⁸. Free energies of (100), (001), (110), (011) and (111) flat sections of

¹Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, Kengaraga 8, Riga, LV-1063, Latvia. ²St. Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya nab., 199034, St. Petersburg, Russia. ³INFN-Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Via Enrico Fermi 40, I-00044, Frascati, Italy. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.P. (email: aleksandrs.platonenko@lu.lv)

 $L1_0$ FePt bulk were calculated to estimate surface energy anisotropy of FePt NPs¹⁹. Evidently, {111} facets of nanocrystal were found to be energetically the most favorable. This result is important for analysis of high-resolution transmission electron microscopy images, since FePt NPs do not show obvious surface faceting on the early stage of annealing. It means that some structural features are rather depending on the kinetic factors than on the free surface energies. In ref. 20, Gruner has shown that for $L1_0$ ordered alloys, the two (001) surfaces perpendicular to the shortened *c*-axis are preferably covered by Pt atoms analogously to the outermost shell of Fe₁₄₄Pt₁₆₅ NP completely covered by Pt.

The systematic survey of the size dependence of the energetic order and magnetic properties of FePt nanoparticles of various morphologies was performed by Gruner *et.al.*^{21, 22}. Low-indexed surfaces of mono-metallic Fe, Co, and Pt, as well as bimetallic, ordered FePt, CoPt, and MnPt NPs were calculated using the density-functional theory (DFT)²³. These calculations showed that elemental, Pt-covered surfaces are preferable over Fe and Co covered and mixed surfaces of the same orientation. The first-principles DFT calculations were used to determine how Pt surface segregation (exchanging interior Pt with surface Fe atoms) would affect the magnetic properties of $L1_0$ ordered FePt NPs²⁸. In ref. 29, the structural stability and structural features of FePt NPs were studied using Monte Carlo algorithms generating models of different shapes, Fe/Pt ratios and atomic compositions. It was shown that the icosahedron possesses the best structural stability and the lowest energy in comparison with all other shapes of NPs (truncated octahedron, octahedron, decahedron, or hexahedron).

Despite these studies it is not precisely known at this moment what types of particles are the most stable and which types can be obtained experimentally. The growth mechanism of NPs is still being discussed, but the recent studies bring evidences that they exhibit shell periodicity and grow by accretion of atomic layers. Still, there are many known NPs that possess shell-core structure. For example, such a type of bimetallic NPs have been simulated recently³⁰, *e.g.*, $Pd_mAu_n^{31}$. The method of topological energy expression (TOP) applied for them has enabled one to determine the most energetically stable atomic arrangements by global optimization of the mutual positions of different atoms (or chemical ordering). As in the case of FePt core-shell NPs, the energetically more preferable configurations were found to be fully covered by noble metal atoms (Au).

In order to shed more light on the NP surface structure and the mechanism of NP growth, we have performed a series of DFT calculations using the CRYSTAL14 code³². Using the methodology suggested in ref. 33 we have calculated the Gibbs free energy to determine the lowest-energy structure of a NP surface as well as the morphology and stoichiometry of FePt nanocluster which correspond to the thermodynamically favorable surface.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first subsection of "Methods" section the computational details of *ab initio* calculations by a linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) within the DFT approach used in our modelling are given. The second subsection of "Methods" section describes different atomistic models of icosa-hedral and hexagonal close-packed (*hcp*) FePt NPs used in calculations. The obtained results are analyzed in the "Results" section divided into three Subsections. The "Bulk calculations" subsection contains detailed information about Fe, Pt and FePt bulk crystal structure calculations. In the "Magnetic properties of FePt nanoparticles" subsection, we analyze the magnetic structure of the considered NPs. The "Thermodynamical analysis of FePt nanoparticles structures" subsection presents the thermodynamical analysis of FePt NPs. Finally, the conclusions are given in the "Summary" section.

Methods

Computational details. Spin-polarized large-scale LCAO calculations on FePt NPs have been performed using the CRYSTAL14 code³² within the DFT-PWGGA exchange-correlation functional^{34–36}. For the Fe, the all-electron atomic centered Gaussian-type function basis set (BS) has been adopted in the triple-zeta valence form³⁷, while for the Pt, we have used the effective core pseudopotential BS of 311*s*-1*sp*-221*p*-41*d*³⁸, with the exponents of core and valence shells being unchanged. Unique options provided by the CRYSTAL code allowed us to perform a consistent comparison between the HF and DFT descriptions of the atomic and electronic properties of molecules and solids, including performance of the hybrid exchange-correlation functionals. With respect to the plane-waves codes the CRYSTAL code allows us to avoid artificial periodicity of repeated 3D boxes and perform simulations for stand-alone nanoparticle in the most efficient manner.

The threshold parameters of CRYSTAL code (ITOLn) for evaluation of different types of bielectronic integrals (overlap and penetration tolerances for Coulomb integrals, ITOL1 and ITOL2, overlap tolerance for exchange integrals ITOL3, as well as pseudo-overlap tolerances for exchange integral series, ITOL4 and ITOL5³²) have been set to 6, 6, 6, and 12, respectively. If the overlap between the two atomic orbitals is smaller than 10^{-ITOLn} , the corresponding integral is truncated. Further increase of threshold parameters results in much more expensive calculations yielding only a negligible gain in the total energy (10^{-7} a.u.). Calculations are considered as converged when the total energy obtained in the self-consistent field procedure differs by less than 10^{-7} a.u. in two successive cycles. Full geometry optimization has been performed for each considered model.

Models of nanoclusters. A number of FePt nanoclusters with different stoichiometry and atom arrangement has been set up with preserved $L1_0$ structure. There have been icosahedra and *hcp* configurations, possessing either layered or "onion-like" structures. The latter are observed and described, *e.g.*, in ref. 39.

We have observed that the icosahedral structures formed the so-called "magic number" clusters²², where the number of atoms N is given as a function of the number n of closed geometric shells

$$N = \frac{1}{3}(10n^3 + 15n^2 + 11n + 3), \tag{1}$$

In this study, all chosen icosahedra were of the same size -147 atoms^{40} , which corresponds to a diameter of $\approx 1.6 \text{ nm}$ and number of closed atomistic shells n = 3 (Fig. 1). Analogous *hcp* structures consist of 153 atoms⁴⁰,



Figure 1. Selected icosahedral cluster models with initial morphology.

which correspond to a diameter of ≈ 1.4 nm (Fig. 2). In this study, we have chosen NPs of icosahedron and *hcp* structure as both contain the largest number of the most stable {111} facets. At the same time both icosahedron¹⁷ and *hcp*⁴¹ structured FePt NPs were observed experimentally.

For both types of NPs possessing icosahedral and *hcp* configurations, we have constructed models with "onion-like" atom arrangement, which are isotropic in contrast with layered and other types of arrangements. In total, we have considered and calculated 19 NP structures. Two "onion-like" ($Fe_{43}Pt_{104}$ and $Fe_{104}Pt_{43}$), and three layered icosahedron structures ($Fe_{76}Pt_{71}$, $Fe_{71}Pt_{76}$, $Fe_{79}Pt_{68}$), each containing 147 atoms, are presented in Fig. 1.

Two "onion-like" *hcp* structures ($Fe_{45}Pt_{108}$ and $Fe_{108}Pt_{45}$), and twenty layered *hcp* structures ($Fe_{82}Pt_{71}$, $Fe_{71}Pt_{82}$, $Fe_{81}Pt_{72}$, $Fe_{72}Pt_{81}$, $Fe_{80}Pt_{73}$, $Fe_{73}Pt_{80}$, $Fe_{79}Pt_{74}$, $Fe_{74}Pt_{79}$, $Fe_{78}Pt_{75}$, $Fe_{75}Pt_{78}$, $Fe_{77}Pt_{76}$, $Fe_{76}Pt_{77}$), containing 153 atoms, are collected in Fig. 2.

Results

Bulk calculations. Properties of Fe, Pt and FePt 3D crystals have been calculated at the beginning in order to obtain energy reference for calculations of chemical potentials of these compounds as well as to check the quality of chosen BSs. For this purpose, we have calculated such Fe, Pt and FePt bulk properties as lattice constants, magnetic moments of atoms, and bulk moduli comparing these values with the experimental data reported in the literature.

The bulk phase of $L1_0$ FePt has been calculated for P4/mmm space group that gives lattice constants of 2.743 Å and 3.780 Å as well as magnetic moments equal to 3.144 μ_B and 0.169 μ_B for Fe and Pt, respectively. Fe bulk has been calculated for $Im\bar{3}m$ space group yielding $a_0 = 2.812$ Å and 2.140 μ_B . For Pt bulk calculations, the $Fm\bar{3}m$ space group has been adopted that yields lattice constant of 4.029 Å. (The experimental lattice constants are equal to 2.86 Å for Fe⁴², 3.92 Å for Pt⁴³, and a = 2.7301 Å and c = 3.7879 Å for FePt ordered structure⁴⁴).

We have also calculated bulk moduli for Fe, Pt and FePt bulk, obtaining values $B_{0Fe} = 220$ GPa, $B_{0pt} = 218$ GPa and $B_{0FePt} = 202$ GPa, correspondingly. These results qualitatively agree with the existing experimental data: Fe bulk modulus measured at 300 K is equal to $B_{0Fe} = 166.2$ GPa⁴⁵ while Pt experimental bulk modulus at 300 K is equal to $B_{0Fe} = 280$ GPa⁴⁶. Finally, a bulk modulus for FePt polycrystalline $L1_0$ structure recently measured at room temperature is equal to $B_{0FePt} = 208.1$ GPa⁴⁷.

Magnetic properties of FePt bulk and nanoparticles. The distribution of magnetic moments of Fe and Pt atoms has been analyzed for all considered NP structures. Magnetic moments M_{Fe} and M_{Pt} of Fe and Pt atoms inside the studied FePt NPs, which depend on the the distance between the corresponding atom and the NP center, are shown in Fig. 3 for four selected models of FePt NPs. The mean magnetic moments of Fe and Pt atoms for seven of the studied FePt NP models as well as for the FePt bulk are shown in Fig. 4. It has been found that the mean M_{Fe} in each NP is similar to M_{Fe} in bulk phase, while the mean M_{Pt} in NPs is about 20% higher. In all cases magnetic moments of Fe atoms grow with the distance away from the center of NP. The trend of the magnetic moment distribution for other NP models which are not shown in Figs 3–4 is similar. These values qualitatively



Figure 2. Selected *hcp* nanocluster models with initial morphology.

agree with the existing experimental data for FePt NPs: $\approx 2.2-2.6 \mu_B^{48-50}$ for Fe atoms and $\approx 0.4 \mu_B$ for Pt atoms⁴⁸. Figure 3 also shows that Fe₄₃Pt₁₀₄ nanoparticle possesses high symmetry (C_{2h}), so large numbers of Fe and Pt atoms are located at equal distance from the center.

Thermodynamical analysis of FePt NP structures. In order to predict the most likely particle shape and composition, the detailed knowledge of the surface free energies *G* of the competing NP surface's morphologies and internal interfaces is needed. The thermodynamic approach used in the current study in order to estimate the stability of FePt NP surfaces has been adopted from the refs 23 and 33. According to the prescription



Figure 3. Magnetic moments of Fe and Pt atoms for some studied FePt NPs (icosahedral "onion-like" $Fe_{43}Pt_{104}$ NP, icosahedral layered $Fe_{79}Pt_{68}$ NP, *hcp* "onion-like" $Fe_{45}Pt_{108}$ NP and *hcp* layered $Fe_{71}Pt_{82}$ NP) depending on the distance between the constructed NP center and the selected atom. Values are given in μ_{B} .



Figure 4. Mean magnetic moments of Fe and Pt atoms for some studied FePt NPs in μ_B (from left to right): icosahedron "onion-like" Fe₄₃Pt₁₀₄ and Fe₁₀₄Pt₄₃ and layered Fe₇₉Pt₆₈ structures, *hcp* "onion-like" Fe₄₅Pt₁₀₈ and Fe₁₀₈Pt₄₅, and layered Fe₇₁Pt₈₂ and Fe₈₁Pt₇₂ structures, as well as magnetic moments of Fe and Pt atoms in FePt bulk calculations.

given in the ref. 33, we assume that the stable NP surface has to be in equilibrium with the FePt bulk phase. Therefore, the most stable NP has the lowest surface Gibbs free energy defined as

$$G_t = \frac{E_t^{\text{FePt}} - N_{\text{Pt}} \Delta \mu_{\text{Pt}} - N_{\text{Fe}} (E_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{FePt}} - \Delta \mu_{\text{Pt}})}{A},\tag{2}$$

where *t* indicates the NP morphology (stoichiometry), *A* the NP surface area, N_i the number of atoms of type *i* in the NP E_t^{FePt} is the total energy of a NP with *t* morphology and $E_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{FePt}}$ is the FePt total energy in the $L1_0$ bulk phase, while $\Delta \mu_i = \mu_i - E_{\text{bulk}}^i$, (*i*=Fe, Pt) are deviations of chemical potentials for metal atoms from their energy values in the bulk phase. Since *pV* term (*V* is unit cell volume) and the differences in vibrational Gibbs free energy between the bulk solid and a corresponding NP is negligibly small³³, we omit these two contributions. This allows us to replace the Gibbs free energies in eq. (2) by the internal energies *U* calculated from the first principles.

In order to avoid the precipitation of Fe or Pt at NP surface, as well as to prevent metal atoms from leaving the NP, the following conditions must be satisfied:

$$0 > \Delta \mu_{\rm Pt} > E_{\rm FePt}^{f},\tag{3}$$





where E_{FePt}^{f} is the calculated formation energy of -0.48 eV for FePt bulk *vs.* -0.24 eV in the experiment⁵¹. Calculated formation energies for Fe and Pt bulk are -6.49 and -5.60 eV *vs.* -4.28 and -5.84 eV obtained from the experiment, respectively⁵¹. Negative formation energies were calculated with respect to the ground state energies of free standing atoms.

Thermodynamic stability diagram is constructed in Fig. 5 based on eqs (2 and 3). We can predict from it that the surface of Pt-covered $Fe_{43}Pt_{104}$ "onion-like" NP is the most stable, in agreement with a recent experimental observation¹⁷. External shells of experimentally studied FePt nanoparticles were found to be Pt-rich as energetically more stable because surface may suppress undesired magnetic exchange coupling. For more convincing conclusion on morphology of FePt NPs, we have to consider nanoparticles with diameter at least 5–6 nm, as synthesized in the aforementioned study¹⁷ that is beyond our current computational facilities. Nevertheless, we expect that our model of Pt-covered nanoparticle with additional 2 or 4 shells (561 and 1415 atoms, respectively) and vacancy formation at the edges of the outer shell would allow additional decrease of its free surface energy, thus leading to realistic description of magnetic FePt nanoparticles.

Summary

In this study, we have performed large-scale DFT calculations of FePt nanoparticles of different shapes. Our calculations show that the average magnetic moment of Fe and Pt atoms does not change significantly when comparing it for bulk FePt structure and Fe₄₃Pt₁₀₄ cluster (*e.g.*, $M_{\text{Fe,av}} = 3.17 \,\mu_{\text{B}}$ and $M_{\text{Pt,av}} = 0.21 \,\mu_{\text{B}}$ for Fe₄₃Pt₁₀₄ particle *vs.* $M_{\text{Fe,av}} = 3.14 \,\mu_{\text{B}}$ and $M_{\text{Pt,av}} = 0.17 \,\mu_{\text{B}}$ for FePt bulk phase). Using thermodynamical approach, we have found that the global minimum of surface energy corresponds to nanocluster with icosahedron "onion-like" structure and Fe₄₃Pt₁₀₄ morphology where the outer layer consists of Pt atoms only, which is in a good agreement with results obtained elsewhere¹⁷. This nanoparticle can be used for further simulations of enlarged cluster and adsorption of regular network of C atoms upon it resulting in a growth of carbon nanotubes.

References

- Xu, C. & Sun, S. Monodisperse magnetic nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *Polym. Int.* 56, 821–826, doi:10.1002/pi.2251 (2007).
- Sun, S. Recent advances in chemical synthesis, self-assembly, and applications of FePt nanoparticles. Adv. Mat. 18, 393–403, doi:10.1002/adma.200501464 (2006).
- Adelani, P. O., Duke, A. N., Zhou, B. H. & Rinehart, J. D. Role of magnetic concentration in modulating the magnetic properties of ultra-small FePt nanoparticles. *Inorganica Chimica Acta* 460, 114–118, doi:10.1016/j.ica.2016.09.020 (2017).
- Laughlin, D. E., Srinivasan, K., Tanase, M. & Wang, L. Crystallographic aspects of L1₀ magnetic materials. *Scripta Materialia* 53, 383–388, doi:10.1016/j.scriptamat.2005.04.039 (2005).
- Gutfleisch, O., Lyubina, J., Müller, K.-H. & Schultz, L. FePt hard magnets. Adv. Eng. Mat. 7, 208–212, doi:10.1002/adem.200400183 (2005).
- 6. Liu, Y. *et al.* Structural and magnetic properties of the ordered FePt₃, FePt and Fe₃Pt nanoparticles. *J. Solid State Chem.* **209**, 69–73, doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2013.10.027 (2014).
- Sun, S., Murray, C. B., Weller, D., Folks, L. & Moser, A. Monodisperse FePt nanoparticles and ferromagnetic FePt nanocrystal superlattices. *Sci.* 287, 1989–1992, doi:10.1126/science.287.5460.1989 (2000).
- 8. Christodoulides, J. A. et al. CoPt and FePt thin films for high density recording media. J. Appl. Phys. 87, 6938–6940, doi:10.1063/1.372892 (2000).
- 9. Weller, D. et al. High K_u materials approach to 100 Gbits/in². Magn. IEEE Transactions **36**, 10–15, doi:10.1109/20.824418 (2000).
- Maenosono, S., Suzuki, T. & Saita, S. Superparamagnetic FePt nanoparticles as excellent MRI contrast agents. J. Magn. Mat. 320, L79–L83, doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2008.01.026 (2008).

- Seemann, K. et al. Magnetic heating properties and neutron activation of tungsten-oxide coated biocompatible FePt core-shell nanoparticles. J. Control. Release 197, 131–137, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.11.007 (2015).
- 12. Bellucci, S. *et al.* Memory nanodevices based on carbon nanotube-FePt interconnects: Electromagnetic simulations and magnetically stimulated nanotube growth. *J. Mat. Sci. Eng. B* **5**, 120–134, doi:10.17265/2161-6221/2015.3-4.003 (2015).
- Akbari, H., Zeynali, H., Sebt, S. A. & Anjami, A. Magnetic and structural properties of L1₀ FePt/MnFe₂O₄ nanocomposites. Superlattices and Microstructures 69, 99–107, doi:10.1016/j.spmi.2014.02.004 (2014).
- 14. Elkins, K. E. *et al.* Ultrafine FePt nanoparticles prepared by the chemical reduction method. *Nano Lett.* **3**, 1647–1649, doi:10.1021/nl034734w (2003).
- Stappert, S., Rellinghaus, B., Acet, M. & Wassermann, E. F. Gas-phase preparation of L1₀ ordered FePt nanoparticles. *J. Cryst. Growth* 252, 440–450, doi:10.1016/S0022-0248(03)00935-7 (2003).
- Qiu, J.-M. & Wang, J.-P. Monodispersed and highly ordered L1₀ FePt nanoparticles prepared in the gas phase. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 88, 192505, doi:10.1063/1.2202130 (2006).
- 17. Wang, R. et al. Layer resolved structural relaxation at the surface of magnetic FePt icosahedral nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 017205, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.017205 (2008).
- Wang, R. et al. FePt icosahedra with magnetic cores and catalytic shells. J. Phys. Chem. C 113, 4395–4400, doi:10.1021/jp811280k (2009).
- 19. Hong, S. & Yoo, M. H. Surface energy anisotropy of FePt nanoparticles. J. Appl. Phys. 97, 084315, doi:10.1063/1.1863424 (2005).
- Gruner, M. E. Antiferromagnetism and segregation in cuboctahedral FePt nanoparticles. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 41, 134015, doi:10.1088/0022-3727/41/13/134015 (2008).
- Gruner, M., Rollmann, G., Entel, P. & Farle, M. Multiply twinned morphologies of FePt and CoPt nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 087203, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.087203 (2008).
- Entel, P. & Gruner, M. E. Large-scale ab initio simulations of binary transition metal clusters for storage media materials. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 064228, doi:10.1088/0953-8984/21/6/064228 (2009).
- Dannenberg, A., Gruner, M., Hucht, A. & Entel, P. Surface energies of stoichiometric FePt and CoPt alloys and their implications for nanoparticle morphologies. *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 245438, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.80.245438 (2009).
- Gruner, M. E. Chemical trends in structure and magnetism of bimetallic nanoparticles from atomistic calculations. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 43, 474008, doi:10.1088/0022-3727/43/47/474008 (2010).
- Gruner, M. E. & Entel, P. Competition between ordering, twinning, and segregation in binary magnetic 3d-5d nanoparticles: A supercomputing perspective. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 112, 277–288, doi:10.1002/qua.23254 (2012).
- Li, Y., Qi, W., Huang, B. & Wang, M. Modeling the size- and shape-dependent surface order-disorder transition of Fe_{0.5}Pt_{0.5} nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 26013–26018, doi:10.1021/jp3065079 (2012).
- Cuadrado, R. & Chantrell, R. Electronic and magnetic properties of bimetallic L1₀ cuboctahedral clusters by means of fully relativistic density-functional-based calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* 86, 224415, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.86.224415 (2012).
- Lv, H., Lei, Y., Datta, A. & Wang, G. Influence of surface segregation on magnetic properties of FePt nanoparticles. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 103, 132405, doi:10.1063/1.4822172 (2013).
- Fan, T.-E., Liu, T.-D., Zheng, J.-W., Shao, G.-F. & Wen, Y.-H. Structure and stability of Fe-Pt bimetallic nanoparticles: Initial structure, composition and shape effects. J. Alloy. Compd. 685, 1008–1015, doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.06.281 (2016).
- Kozlov, S. M., Kovacs, G., Ferrando, R. & Neyman, K. M. How to determine accurate chemical ordering in several nanometer large bimetallic crystallites from electronic structure calculations. *Chem. Sci.* 6, 3868–3880, doi:10.1039/C4SC03321C (2015).
- Kovacs, G., Kozlov, S. M. & Neyman, K. M. Versatile optimization of chemical ordering in bimetallic nanoparticles. J. Phys. Chem. C 121, 10803–10808, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b11923 (2017).
- 32. Dovesi, R. *et al.* Crystall4: A program for the ab initio investigation of crystalline solids. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **114**, 1287–1317, doi:10.1002/qua.24658 (2014).
- Reuter, K. & Scheffler, M. Composition, structure, and stability of RuO₂(110) as a function of oxygen pressure. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 035406, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.65.035406 (2001).
- Perdew, J. P. & Wang, Y. Accurate and simple density functional for the electronic exchange energy: Generalized gradient approximation. *Phys. Rev. B* 33, 8800–8802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.33.8800 (1986).
- Perdew, J. P. & Yué, W. Erratum: Accurate and simple density functional for the electronic exchange energy: Generalized gradient approximation. *Phys. Rev. B* 40, 3399, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.40.3399 (1989).
- 36. Perdew, J. P. & Wang, Y. Accurate and simple analytic representation of the electron-gas correlation energy. *Phys. Rev. B* 45, 13244–13249, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.45.13244 (1992).
- Peintinger, M. F., Oliveira, D. V. & Bredow, T. Consistent gaussian basis sets of triple-zeta valence with polarization quality for solidstate calculations. J. Comput. Chem. 34, 451–459, doi:10.1002/jcc.23153 (2013).
- Doll, K. CO adsorption on the Pt(111) surface: a comparison of a gradient corrected functional and a hybrid functional. *Surf. Sci.* 573, 464–473, doi:10.1016/j.susc.2004.10.015 (2004).
- Feldman, Y., Zak, A., Popovitz-Biro, R. & Tenne, R. New reactor for production of tungsten disulfide hollow onion-like (inorganic fullerene-like) nanoparticles. Solid State Sci. 2, 663–672, doi:10.1016/S1293-2558(00)01070-0 (2000).
- Weigend, F. & Ahlrichs, R. Quantum chemical treatments of metal clusters. *Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London A: Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 368, 1245–1263, doi:10.1098/rsta.2009.0268 (2010).
- 41. Berlanga-Ramarez, E. et al. Magnetism in segregated bimetallic CoRh nanoclusters. Phys. B: Condens. Matt. 354, 278–281, doi:10.1016/j.physb.2004.09.063 (2004).
- 42. Pietrokowsky, P. Lattice parameter of alpha iron by divergent beam diffraction. J. Appl. Phys. 37, 4560–4571, doi:10.1063/1.1708081 (1966).
- 43. Arblaster, J. W. Crystallographic properties of platinum. Platinum Met. Rev. 41, 12-21 (1997).
- 44. Ravindran, P. et al. Large magnetocrystalline anisotropy in bilayer transition metal phases from first-principles full-potential calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 144409, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.63.144409 (2001).
- 45. Adams, J. J., Agosta, D. S., Leisure, R. G. & Ledbetter, H. Elastic constants of monocrystal iron from 3 to 500 k. J. Appl. Phys. 100, 113530, doi:10.1063/1.2365714 (2006).
- Macfarlane, R., Rayne, J. & Jones, C. Anomalous temperature dependence of shear modulus c₄₄ for platinum. *Phys. Lett.* 18, 91–92, doi:10.1016/0031-9163(65)90659-1 (1965).
- Nakamura, N., Yoshimura, N., Ogi, H. & Hirao, M. Elastic constants of polycrystalline L1₀-FePt at high temperatures. *J. Appl. Phys.* 114, 093506, doi:10.1063/1.4819974 (2013).
- Antoniak, C. et al. Enhanced orbital magnetism in Fe₅₀Pt₅₀ nanoparticles. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 117201, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.97.117201 (2006).
- 49. Dmitrieva, O. et al. Magnetic moment of Fe in oxide-free FePt nanoparticles. Phys. Rev. B 76, 064414, doi:10.1103/ PhysRevB.76.064414 (2007).
- Antoniak, C. et al. Inhomogeneous alloying in FePt nanoparticles as a reason for reduced magnetic moments. J. Phys.: Condens. Matt. 21, 336002, doi:10.1088/0953-8984/21/33/336002 (2009).
- 51. Chase, M. W. NIST-JANAF thermochemical tables (Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, DC, 1998).

Acknowledgements

Financial support provided by Scientific Research Project for Students and Young Researchers Nr. SJZ/2016/17 implemented at the Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, is greatly acknowledged. A.P. and R.E. express their gratitude to High-performance computer centers of ISSP (University of Latvia) and St. Petersburg University. This research was partially supported by Graphene Flagship GrapheneCore1-AMD-696656-4.

Author Contributions

D.B., Y.Z., R.E. and S.B. designed and organized the research; A.P. and S.P. constructed models (Figures 1,2) and performed calculations; A.P., S.P. and D.B. constructed the plots (Figures 3–5) and wrote the major part of the text; all authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and editing of the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017

Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia as the Center of Excellence has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme H2020-WIDESPREAD-01-2016-2017-TeamingPhase2 under grant agreement No. 739508, project CAMART²