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## Introduction.

Creation of a stereogenic center in a planned manner has been a challenge for chemists since the stereoisomerism of organic molecules was discovered. Development of new methodologies for the preparation of stereoisomers is among the most important topics in modern organic synthesis. One of the most direct ways to form an asymmetric center is selective proton delivery from a chiral proton source to either side of a planar enolate (enantioselective protonation). Since the enolate is generated from a racemic material, the method is also known as deracemization. Racemic ketones, esters and amides can be deracemized in one step by an enolization, enantioselective protonation sequence. In contrast to traditional resolution via a diastereomer pair that would give a maximum of $50 \%$ chemical yield of a chiral product, the deracemization affords nearly quantitative recovery of enantioenriched material. In the most practical examples, a simple extractive procedure is sufficient to separate the chiral proton source from the desired non-racemic product. Furthermore, there is a clear advantage in the use of sub-stoichiometric quantities of expensive chiral proton donor and a catalytic version of several stoichiometric protonations has been developed recently.

Potentially being a powerful and attractive method for the synthesis of enantioenriched carbonyl compounds, asymmetric protonation of enolates has not yet developed into a convenient synthetic tool. The best protonation examples have required extensive optimization of a chiral proton donor and deracemization conditions. Very little is known about the design of a chiral proton source. The acidic proton obviously must be in a sufficiently chirotopic environment for effective enantioselection, but the importance of the pKa relationship between a proton donor and enolate is less well understood

Optically active 1 -anilino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline CAPTIQ is a highly efficient "chiral acid" in deracemization of various amide enolates. Our main objective was to extend the scope of deracemization to other carbonyl compounds, such as esters and amino acid derivatives. A series of isosteric chiral CAPTIQ analogs that vary in acidity would allow the investigation of the enantioselectivity relationship with the pKa difference between the enolate and a proton donor. The details of these studies are highlighted in Chapter C.

Despite the fact that isoquinoline is a principal constituent of many alkaloids and medicines, there is a lack of a general and convenient method for the asymmetric synthesis of 1-aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline. Chiral auxiliary mediated asymmetric synthesis usually affords optically enriched isoquinolines. The purity of diastereomers has to be further increased to $>99 \%$ de by chromatography or crystallization technique. Important disadvantages of covalently bonded chiral auxiliaries are the cost of a chiral reagent and the additional steps needed to attach and cleave the auxiliary. More convenient is resolution of inexpensive racemic isoquinolines by crystallization of diastereomeric salts. In this transformation the resolving agent can be easily recovered by a simple acid-base extractive work-up. The approach was examined in Chapter $\mathbf{A}$.

Catalytic asymmetric synthesis is an important alternative to all the above mentioned techniques, because a large quantity of the chiral material can be produced using a small amount of a chiral catalyst. The most direct route to non-racemic 1-aryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines would be the asymmetric reduction of corresponding 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines. Recent report on highly efficient enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of 1 -phenyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline using a chiral ruthenium catalyst encouraged us to apply this method for the synthesis of various CAPTIQ analogs. Chapter B summarizes the scope and limitations of the Ru-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation as well as illustrates a practical application of the method for the synthesis of chiral tetrahydroisoquinolines with aniline subunit.

## Chapter A

Preparation of chiral anilino-isoquinolines by
diastereomeric pairs crystallization technique

Racemates resolution via diastereomer pairs crystallization technique is the most straightforward route to optically active amines. ${ }^{1}$ In this type of reaction, substrate (racemic amine) is treated with one enantiomer of a chiral substance (the resolving agent, chiral acid). Diastereomer pairs usually are ionic (diastereomeric salts) or covalent. The method is fairly inexpensive because the chiral reagent can be recovered by a simple acid-base extractive work-up. On the other hand, resolution success often requires extensive screening of various chiral acids as well as careful adjustment of crystallization conditions. The synthetic problem, however, becomes technically easier in the cases when resolution of structurally related substrates has already been reported.

Since tetrahydroisoquinoline is a principal constituent of various alkaloids and drugs, a number of chiral reagents have been applied for the resolution of racemates. Among them, tartaric acid and its O -substituted analogues as well as diacetone-2-keto- $L$-gulonic acid are most frequently used ${ }^{\text {lb }}$ for preparation of diastereomeric salts. For example, chiral isoquinoline CAPTIQ, so far the best chiral proton donor for deracemization of various amides, ${ }^{2}$ is commercially available as a salt with $L(+)$ tartaric acid (Aldrich). Use of tartaric acid for resolution of other 1 -anilino-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolines ${ }^{3}$ stimulated us to employ this technique for the preparation of various CAPTIQ analogues as potential asymmetric proton donors.

1. Synthesis of racemic 1-anilino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines. Optimization of Bischler-Napieralski cyclization.

There are a number of methods for isoquinoline ring construction ${ }^{4}$ and the most frequently used processes are summarized in Table A1.

Table A1. General methods for isoquinoline ring construction.

| "Disonnection approach" | Reaction | Products |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bischler-Napieralski | 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines |
|  | Pictet-Spengler | 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines |
|  | Pomeranz-Fritsch | isoquinolines |
|  | Schlittler-Muller | isoquinolines |

Bischler-Napieralski cyclization is somewhat more attractive compared to the alternatives because it employs relatively easily available substituted benzoic acids A1 as the starting material. Moreover, the cyclization affords $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond containing 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines A3, that potentially can be reduced in an asymmetric way yielding chiral or enantiomerically enriched products A4


Bischler-Napieralski cyclization proceeds via initial formation of hydrochloric salts of imidoyl chloride $\mathbf{A 5}$ using $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ as a reagent. Subsequent loss of hydrogen chloride generates imidoyl chloride species A6 which is in equilibrium with the corresponding nitrilium salt A7. ${ }^{5}$ In the presence of Lewis acids, such as $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, nitrilium salt undergoes cyclization affording 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{A 8}$.

## Scheme A1.



It was also shown that related nitrilium salts $\mathbf{A} 7$ prepared by direct alkylation of the corresponding nitriles A9 yield 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines A8 in the presence of various Lewis acids $\left(\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{6}$ Employing $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and polyphosphoric acid esters as dehydrating agents resulted in the formation of corresponding imidoyl phosphates as the intermediates.

Since our objective was the synthesis of various 1 -anilino-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{A 4}\left(X=\mathrm{NR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$, choice of the proper N -protecting group in $\beta$ phenethylamides $\mathbf{A 2}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}$ ) was critical, because unprotected aniline $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group. obviously does not survive harsh Bischler-Napieralski cyclization conditions Moreover, it was reported that even cyclization of mono-N-protected anilino- $\beta$ phenethylamides ( N -acetyl and N -tosyl) failed to give the desired 3,4dihydroisoquinolines. ${ }^{3 a}$ A family of various N -bis-protected $\beta$-phenethylamides A11A14 was therefore readily prepared from isatoic anhydride A10 in order to determine the best protecting group for the cyclization.

## Scheme A2.



Electron-withdrawing N -protecting groups were chosen assuming that an electron-deficient 1 -aryl substituent could polarize an amide carbonyl group, thus facilitating formation of the corresponding imidoyl chloride A6 and, consequently, the nitrilium intermediate A7 (Scheme Al). An alternative approach to aniline protection is the use of appropriate functionality that is resistant to cyclization conditions and can afterwards be easily converted to anilino group. A suitable candidate is a nitro group that can be selectively reduced to aniline ${ }^{7}$ in the presence of 3,4 -dihydroisoquinoline $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond as well as halogen, that can be replaced by various amines under miscellaneous conditions. ${ }^{8}$ Both nitro and halogen-substituted $\beta$-phenethylamides A15 and A16 were easily prepared from the corresponding benzoic acids.

$\beta$-Phenethylamides A11-A16 were subjected to Bischler-Napieralski cyclization and the results are summarized in Table A2

Table A2. Bischler-Napieralski cyclization of various $\beta$-phenethylamides A11-A16.

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(a) Unless indicated otherwise, all cyclizations were performed in xylenes under reflux. (b) N -debenzylated product $\mathbf{A 1 7}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)$ was isolated.

Initially, N -benzyl group was employed for N -methyl aniline protection (entry 1). The tertiary amine was converted to hydrochloric salt A13 (See Scheme A2), making the aryl group electron-deficient and thus favoring imidoyl phosphate A6 formation (see Scheme Al). Also, it was expected that ammonium salt would be less prone to various side-reactions with an excess of phosphorylating agent. Surprisingly, instead of the anticipated N -methyl- N -benzylaniline from the oily dark red reaction mixture, the N-debenzylated product A17 was obtained in a low $16 \%$ yield. Isolation of deprotected product A17 suggested that phosphorylation side-reaction and subsequent phosphono-anilide degradation is responsible for the low chemical outcome. Consequently, N-benzyl group can not be employed for aniline protection in Bischler-Napieralski cyclization. It was also found that the N -acetyl protected substrate A14 (entry 2) is unreactive under standard conditions, while N -tosyl analog A12 afforded the desired isoquinoline A18 in a low $30 \%$ yield (entry 3). Similarly, Nphthalyl aniline A11 gave only 20\% of the desired isoquinoline A19 under standard conditions (entry 4), however, the yield was significantly increased employing modified reaction conditions (entry 5). Thus, treatment of $\beta$-phenethylamide A11 with
excess $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ in boiling $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ for 30 min . resulted in the formation of a yellow precipitate, which upon addition of Lewis acid $\left(\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right)$ turned brick-red. Color change indicates the formation of cyclized product A19, because 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines usually are intensely red-colored in acidic media. The reaction was refluxed for an additional 4 hours to complete cyclization and the desired N -phthalyl-isoquinoline A19 was isolated in $73 \%$ yield. The cyclization was readily scaled-up to 85 g without a drop in yield and consequently, the N-phthalyl protecting group combined with modified Bischler-Napieralski cyclization conditions can be employed for preparative scale synthesis of 1-anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline.

In contrast to N -bis-protected anilines (entries 1-4), cyclization of nitrobenzene A15 does not suffer from side-reactions and proceeds relatively fast, evidently because of a strong electron-withdrawing nitro group effect. The desired nitro-isoquinoline A20 was obtained in 72\% yield after 5h under standard conditions (entry 6). The same level of conversion (ca. 70\%) for bromo- and chloro-substituted $\beta$-phenethylamides A16 was achieved after a considerably longer reaction time (20h, entry 7). 3,4-Dihydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{A} 21(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ are especially useful because a number of methods for direct aryl halogen displacement by various amines have been reported. ${ }^{3 a, 8}$

Since $\beta$-phenethylamides A11, A15 and A16 are almost equally good Bischler-Napieralski cyclization substrates, overall reaction sequence to 1 -anilino-3,4dihydroisoquinoline A22 was examined for each amide A11, A15 and A16 in order to evaluate the most efficient route to the product (see Scheme A3). Thus, cyclization of N-phthalyl-aniline A11, followed by hydrazine hydrate mediated protecting group cleavage, ${ }^{9}$ afforded the desired 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline A22 in $37 \%$ overall yield. Higher overall yield (ca. 50\%) was achieved using the nitro-substituted $\beta$ phenethylamide A15 (see Scheme A3). In this sequence, the nitro group was selectively reduced in the presence of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond in $68 \%$ yield. The most efficient route to 1 -anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline A22 turned out to be the BischlerNapieralski cyclization of 2-chloro(or bromo)phenyl- $\beta$-phenethylamides A16, followed by halogen displacement with liquid ammonia or lower alkylamines ${ }^{3 a}$ affording the desired heterocycle A22 in $61 \%$ overall yield.


The reaction sequence $\mathbf{A 1 6} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} 22$ technically is fairly simple and was easily scaled-up ( 25 g amide A16 loading) without drop in chemical yields. The method was also employed for the synthesis of various substituted N -methylanilines A26a-d from the corresponding ortho-chlorobenzoic acids A23a-d (Scheme A4).

## Scheme A4.



a: $Z=H$
b: $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$
c: $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$
d: $Z=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
A26a-d
Benzoic acids A23a-c are commercially available, however, trifluoromethylbenzoic acid A23c is relatively expensive for use as a starting material. ${ }^{A}$ Therefore, it was prepared in 2 steps from chlorobenzene A27 (Scheme A5).
(A) Aldrich, $18 \mathrm{DM} / \mathrm{g}$

## Scheme A5.



Bromination was performed according to the literature procedure ${ }^{10}$ followed by selective lithium-bromine exchange in A28. ${ }^{11}$ Low temperature $\left(-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is crucial to achieve chemoselectivity in the metalation reaction as well as to avoid side-reactions via dehydrobenzene, which are dominant at temperatures above $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Additional stabilization of the intermediate A29 can be achieved by using bidentate ligand TMEDA, frequently used as a complexing agent for various organolithium derivatives. ${ }^{12}$ Finally, carboxylate was introduced by treatment of the aryllithium intermediate A29 with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (dry ice), yielding the desired benzoic acid A23c in 52\% overall yield.

Methylsulfonyl-benzoic acid A23d was prepared in 3 steps from orthochlorobenzoic acid A23a via formal reduction ${ }^{13}$ of chlorosulfonylbenzene A30 ${ }^{14}$ to the corresponding sulfinic acid A31, followed by alkylation of "soft" nucleophilic sulfur by MeI. ${ }^{15}$

## Scheme A6.



All substituted 1-anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines A20, A22 and A26a-d were conveniently transformed to the desired racemic 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines employing reduction with $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ in acetic acid ${ }^{16}$ (70-85\% yield).


A20, A22, A26a-d
A31: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H}$
A32: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H}$
A33a: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H}$
A33b: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$
A33c: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$
A33d: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$

## 2. Racemates resolution by crystallization of diastereomeric tartrates.

Tartaric acid was chosen for the resolution because preparation of structurally similar, optically pure 1-(5-chloro-2-methylamino)phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (CAPTIQ) via crystallization of diastereomeric tartrates has already been reported. ${ }^{32}$ Moreover, tartaric acid mediated racemate resolution succeeded also in the case of N -unsubstituted diamine A32. ${ }^{\text {3b }}$

Contrary to reported successful resolution of diamine A32, we were unable to achieve even smallest enantiomerical enrichment by the crystallization of tartrates from ethyl alcohol and other solvents (methanol, acetone, EtOAc etc.). Moreover, all attempts to prepare chiral diamine $\mathbf{A 3 2}$ using $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}$-dibenzoyl tartaric acid, successfully applied for racemic piperidine $\mathbf{A 3 4}$ resolution, ${ }^{17}$ as well as $D-(+)$ camphorsulfonic acid (efficient in case of amine A35) ${ }^{17}$ in various solvents, failed. Evidently, the difference in diastereomeric salt solubility is too small for the separation by selective crystallization. Neither was any diastereomer separation observed in the case of nitro-isoquinoline A31 and N -tosylanilide A36 employing tartaric, O,O-dibenzoyltartaric and camphorsulfonic acids in various solvents.


In contrast, crystallization of diastereomeric tartrates derived from N -methylanilino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines A33, was effective for resolution of
unsubstituted and $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-substituted diamines A33a and A33c, respectively. Thus, two crystallizations of corresponding tartrate salts from ethyl alcohol, after workup, afforded single enantiomers of potential chiral proton donors with $>99.5 \%$ enantiomerical purity according to HPLC on the chiral stationary phase (CSP).

Scheme A7.


No difference in the solubility of diastereomeric tartrates, however, was observed in the case of poorly soluble nitro-isoquinoline A33b and, as a consequence, all precipitate crops, according to HPLC on CSP, contained $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomeric tartrates. Similarly, methylsulfonyl-isoquinoline A33d was not resolved using various chiral acids and different solvents. Lack of separation in this case is hard to explain in view of the easy resolution of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-substituted and unsubstituted analogs A33a and A33c.

Thus, only moderate success has been achieved in the preparation of chiral diamines by diastereomeric salt crystallization. Moreover, it was clearly shown that the process is highly substrate-dependent and even small changes in substrate structure affect the efficiency of resolution. Consequently, racemates resolution by crystallization technique can not be employed in the design of a general method for the synthesis of chiral 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines.

## 3. Chiral diamine preparation via ( $R$ )-O-acetylmandelic acid amides.

Potential solution of the problem could be synthesis of a key intermediate by the resolution method and subsequent chemical transformations of optically pure material. The most appropriate candidates for the key structure are nitro- and aminophenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines A31 and A32. Because all attempts to resolve these substrates by diastereomeric salts crystallization technique have failed so far, it was decided to employ an alternative resolution method. Thus, another approach frequently used for racemates resolution is the introduction of a covalently bonded chiral auxiliary, separation of diastereomers by chromatography or crystallization technique and, finally, the removal of the chiral auxiliary.

It has been recognized that multiple interactions between the resolution substrate and resolving agent are essential for successful resolution. Consequently, chiral acid should possess an aromatic ring and an additional functional group besides the acid functionality. ${ }^{\text {lc }}$ A promising candidate is mandelic acid and its $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Me}$ and O Ac substituted analogs, that have been widely used for racemic amines resolution. ${ }^{18}$ Moreover, successful application of ( $R$ )-O-acetylmandelic acid as a chiral auxiliary for HPLC separation of 1 -phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines ${ }^{19}$ urged us to examine this commercially available resolving agent for the resolution of key intermediates A31 and A32.

Treatment of nitro-isoquinoline A31 with commercially available ( $R$ )-Oacetylmandelic acid in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide gave amide A37 as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers:


All attempts to separate A37 diastereomers by flash chromatography on silica gel failed. As amide $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{3 7}$ is solid, crystallization was applied in the hope that diastereomers have difference in solubility. Indeed, two crystallizations from ethyl acetate - hexanes gave a single amide A37 diastereomer in $31 \%$ yield with ( $R, R$ ) absolute configuration according to X -ray analysis.


(R,R)-A37

With the single diastereomer $(R, R)$-A37 in hand, the racemization-free removal of the chiral auxiliary became a crucial issue. Initially, hydrolytic methods were employed to remove the O -acetylmandelic auxiliary. Thus, amide ( $R, R$ )-A37 was heated under reflux in 6 N hydrochloric acid for 1 hour and the isolated desired isoquinoline ( $R$ )-A38 was partially racemized. ${ }^{\text {B }}$


Although use of less concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 1 N ) resulted in a lower degree of racemization ( $67 \%$ ee), the chemical yield was too poor ( $10 \%$ ) to utilize this method on a preparative scale.

Racemization apparently took place via an isoquinoline ring opening-ring closure sequence in strongly acidic media, however, no attempts were made to study the process in detail. Instead, the amide bond reductive cleavage to the corresponding amine and aldehyde (alcohol) was examined ${ }^{20}$ It should be noted that the scope of potentially useful reducing agents for amide bond cleavage was diminished by low

[^0]substrate solubility in common solvents such as THF, ether and toluene. The solubility of $(R, R)$-A37 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ allowed to perform the reduction with DIBAL-H (Scheme A8). ${ }^{21}$

## Scheme A8.



Surprisingly, product isoquinoline A38 isolated in 44\% yield, was again partially racemized. Optical yield did not improve even when the reaction was performed at $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (by DIBAL-H addition to a melting surface of the reaction mixture). As expected, nitro group was left unaffected. Partial racemization ( $75 \%$ ee) was also observed using $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ in refluxing THF-methyl alcohol mixture. ${ }^{22}$ In this case, nitro group was reduced and anticipated diamine A32 was obtained in 49\% chemical yield (Scheme A8).

Since use of other reducing agents $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{LiAlH}(t-\mathrm{BuO})_{3} \text {, Red-Al) }\right)^{20}$ was limited by the poor solubility of the amide A37, it was expected that the conversion of nitro group to amino could solve the solubility issue. Nitro group hydrogenation was performed in acetic acid and resulted in the formation of anticipated aniline A39 accompanied by an unexpected product of acyl group migration A40.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ experiments in acetic acid- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ showed that $50 \%$ of aniline A39 has been already rearranged to isoquinoline $\mathbf{A 4 0}$ after 8 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while complete acyl group migration was observed after 64 h at room temperature (ca. $4 \%$ of starting material A39).


Anilide $\mathbf{A 4 0}$ formation was rather unexpected because O-acetylmandelyl group migrates from the basic isoquinoline nitrogen to the much less basic aniline. It
can be rationalized either by sterical factors or by assumption that the driving force for this rearrangement is protonation of isoquinoline as the more basic amine in acidic media. It is believed that rearrangement occurs via a cyclic tetrahedral transition state A41:


Lack of O-acetylmandelyl group migration product A40 in acetonitrile-d $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ (polar aprotic solvent) and methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ (polar protic solvent) after 18 h at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 72 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggests that acidic media is crucial for the rearrangement. This supports the assumption that the process driving force is protonation of the more basic isoquinoline nitrogen.

Absolute configuration of amide A40 isoquinoline carbon was not determined with independent methods; nevertheless, retention of $R$-configuration was assumed because the rearrangement does not involve the chiral center.

To verify the structure of the amide A40, it was decided to prepare it using an alternative pathway (Scheme A9). Besides, in the case of successful amide A40 diastereomers separation, cleavage of the O -acetylmandelic auxiliary would cause fewer concerns because chiral N -unsubstituted isoquinolines do not racemize in acidic media.

## Scheme A9.




Since single diastereomer of nitro-amide A37 could be obtained by crystallization technique, this approach was also applied to optical purification of A40. Two crystallizations afforded a single diastereomer with $(S, R)$ absolute configuration according to X -rays analysis:


(S,R)-A40

Hydrolytic cleavage of O -acetylmandelic auxiliary in refluxing 1 N HCl for 4 hours proceeded without the complications encountered in the case of amide $(R, R)$ A37, and desired optically pure diamine ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-A32 was isolated in $95 \%$ yield (see Scheme A9). Thus, O-acetylmandelic acid turned out to be highly efficient chiral auxiliary for resolution of racemic anilino-isoquinoline A32. From the other hand the chiral reagent is too expensive ${ }^{C}$ to be employed for preparative scale synthesis. This shortage was overcome by combination of chiral auxiliary mediated racemates resolution with diastereomeric salts crystallization technique. Thus, optically pure (S)diamine $\mathbf{A 3 2}$ was treated with $L(+)$ tartaric acid and the resulting salt used as the seed in crystallization of racemic diamine A32 salt with $\mathrm{L}(+)$-tartaric acid.

(C) Aldrich, $21.66 \mathrm{DM} / \mathrm{g}$.

Usually 4 to 6 crystallizations with seed crystals were required to obtain enantiomerically pure diamine A32 in ca. 10\% overall chemical yield. The method is relatively inexpensive because once the seed crystals are generated they can be retrieved after a successful prep-scale crystallization routine. Moreover, both chiral tartaric acid and diamine can be recovered by a simple acid-base extractive workup. At the same time, the procedure is fairly laborious and since diastereoselective crystallization is a relatively slow process, it takes 2-3 weeks to complete the whole crystallization cycle from racemate to pure single enantiomer. Another important drawback is that the method gives access only to optically active N -unsubstituted anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline A32 and various derivatives that could be prepared from this chiral diamine. Meanwhile, analogues such as l-aryl-piperidines A34, A35, as well as various 1-naphthyl-isoquinolines $\mathbf{A 4 3}$, apparently would require development of different resolution conditions, which is, as mentioned above, a laborious and time consuming process.

A34

A35

A43

Although it is not an ideal route to the desired chiral 1-anilino-isoquinolines, seed crystals mediated diastereomeric salts crystallization technique afforded a reasonable quantity of chiral starting material A32 for synthesis of various derivatives.

## 4. Synthesis of chiral 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines as asymmetric

 proton donors.Protection of the more reactive benzylic nitrogen as O-benzylcarbamate A44 allowed to prepare various chiral diamine A32 analogs (Scheme A10).

## Scheme A10.



Thus, N -phenylation according to the Barton procedure ${ }^{23}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Bi}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, followed by the N-protecting group hydrogenolysis, afforded chiral N-phenylaniline (S)-A45 in $56 \%$ overall yield with $>99 \%$ ee (Scheme A10). ${ }^{\text {D }}$ N-isopropyl-diamine ( $\mathbf{S}$ )-A47 was readily obtained by reductive alkylation procedure. Sulfamoylamide ( $R$ )46 was synthesized in a low $21 \%$ overall yield, and the critical step ( $35 \%$ yield) was $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsulfamoyl group introduction in aniline A44. Side-reactions in this transformation will be discussed in Chapter B.

All optically active diamines A32, A33a, A33c and A45-A47 were examined as asymmetric proton donors in deracemization of various lithium enolates derived from amides and esters (for results and discussion see Chapter C).

[^1]
## 5. Summary.

1. N-phthalyl group is the best protection for aniline in Bischler-Napieralski reaction. Nitro-substituted $\beta$-phenethylamide is superior to $N$-protected analogues. Cyclization of ortho-bromo(or chloro)benzoyl- $\beta$-phenethylamides and halogen displacement by liquid ammonia or lower alkylamines is the method of choice for the synthesis of 1-anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines.
2. Resolution of racemic tetrahydroisoquinolines by diastereomeric salts crystallization technique requires an extensive series of trial-and-error procedures for every particular substrate. Moreover, the method was efficient only for resolution of N -methylanilines A33a and A33c.
3. Chiral O-acetylmandelic acid is an excellent resolving agent for isoquinolines with nitrobenzene and aniline subunit A38 and A32. Complications with chiral auxiliary removal after resolution of nitro-amide $(R, R)$-A37, however, preclude its practical application. In contrast, the O-acetylmandelyl group was successfully cleaved in mandelyl-diamine ( $S, R$ )-A43, affording an optically pure ( $>99 \%$ ee) desired key compound $(\mathbf{S})$-A32. The relatively high cost of the chiral reagent makes the method too expensive for preparative scale synthesis.
4. The combining of $(R)$-O-acetylmandelic acid mediated racemic diamine A32 resolution as a method for seed crystals preparation with diastereomeric tartrates crystallization technique gave access to a reasonable amount of non-racemic diamine ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-A32. The chiral material was further employed for the synthesis of various analogs as asymmetric proton donors.
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## Chapter B

## Synthesis of Chiral Proton Donors via Catalytic

> Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation

## 1. Introduction to the catalytic enantioselective reduction of cyclic imines.

The most direct route to chiral isoquinolines is the resolution of racemates using diastereomeric salts crystallization technique. ${ }^{1}$ Although chiral acids used for amines resolution can be quantitatively recovered, the process is highly substrate dependent and success often relies on chemist's fortune (see Chapter A). Both asymmetric synthesis of optically active isoquinolines ${ }^{2}$ and diastereoselective reduction of dihydroisoquinolines ${ }^{3}$ usually employs stoichiometric amount of chiral building blocks, auxiliaries or chiral reagents. Since chiral auxiliaries and reducing agents can not be recovered, overall asymmetric synthesis process usually is expensive. Catalytic enantioselective reduction is an important alternative to these techniques, because a large quantity of the chiral compound can be produced using a small amount of a chiral catalyst.

In contrary to catalytic asymmetric carbonyl group reduction, corresponding reaction for imines is much more less developed. ${ }^{3}$ The subsequent literature review covers the most important examples of catalytic enantioselective reduction of cyclic imines. Particular attention will be paid to 1 -aryl substituted cyclic imines as well as 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines because the main purpose is to find the most suitable and efficient method for asymmetric synthesis of chiral tetrahydroisoquinolines with an aniline subunit.

### 1.1. Rhodium and iridium catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation and hydrosilylation.

Rhodium (I) chloride modified with various chiral bidentate phosphorous ligands was the first transition metal catalyst applied for asymmetric $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond hydrogenation. In contrast to high enantioselectivities observed in hydrogenation of various acyclic substrates (up to $95 \%$ ee for acyclic imines and $97 \%$ ee for hydrazones), ${ }^{4}$ only very limited success has been achieved in the case of cyclic imines. ${ }^{5}$ While reduction of dihydroisoquinoline B1 with in situ prepared $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-DIOP catalyst B4 afforded amine "optically pure or very nearly so after recrystallization of the hydrochloride salt", ${ }^{5 a}$ hydrogenation of imine $\mathbf{B 2}$ was completely non-selective. ${ }^{\text {sb }}$ Isoquinoline $\mathbf{B 3}$ in the presence of modified $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyst $\mathbf{B 5}$ also yielded racemic product. ${ }^{5 c}$
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The replacement of Rh (I) with the corresponding Ir (I) catalyst completely changed the reduction course. Hydrogenation of imine $\mathbf{B 2}$ with $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ analogue of catalyst B4 afforded optically enriched amine with $66 \%$ ee. ${ }^{5 b}$ Enantiocontrol was even higher employing modified ligand - MOD-DIOP (see Figure B1):

Table B1. Comparison of catalytic asymmetric imine B2 hydrogenation in the presence of $\operatorname{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts.

| Entry | Metal | Ligand | Conversion <br> $(\%)$ | ee <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\operatorname{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ | DIOP | 95 | 0 |
| 2 | $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ | DIOP | 100 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ | MOD-DIOP | 60 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 4 | $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ | MOD-DIOP | 100 | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |

Somewhat more promising method for reduction of cyclic imines is rhodium (I) catalyzed asymmetric hydrosilylation procedure. ${ }^{6}$ In 1975 Kagan $^{63}$ obtained several enantiomerically enriched tetrahydroisoquinolines B3, B6-B7, while Brunner and Wiegrebe reported hydrosilylation of various 2-phenyl-3,4-dihydropyrrole derivatives ${ }^{6 b}$ B8-B11:

## Scheme B1.
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Pyrrolines were separated from the unreacted starting imines B8-B11 via distillation of in situ prepared N -trifluoroacetamides. Reductions were run in toluene, however the best ee is achieved in the absence of solvent (entry 4, Table B2):

Table B2. Asymmetric hydrosilylation of various cyclic imines employing $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ and $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of in situ generated [RhCl]-DIOP B4.

| Entry | Imine | R | X | Conversi <br> on (\%) | ee <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{B 6}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | 78 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{B 3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 93 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{B 7}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 98 | $\mathbf{3 9}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{B 8}$ | H | - | 84 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{B 9}$ | $2-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | - | 85 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{B 1 0}$ | $3,4,5-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | - | 81 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{B 1 1}$ | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | - | 82 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |

Drop in optical induction for MeO substituted substrates (entries 5-6 vs. entries 4 and 7, Table B2) was attributed to intermolecular coordination of MeO-groups to Rh . $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-Phephos catalyzed hydrosilylation procedure was also applied for the reduction of cyclic structure $\mathbf{B 1 2}$ yielding enantiomer of the antidepressant "Pyrazidole" with $73 \%$ enantioselectivity: ${ }^{6 c}$


In general, $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed asymmetric reductions afford cyclic amines with moderate enantioselectivities, lower than observed using other transition metal
catalysts (see also Table B1). Evidently, this is the reason why further development of asymmetric catalytic reduction methods has been based on transition metals other that Rh , such as $\mathrm{Ir}, \mathrm{Ru}$ and Ti .

Chiral neutral $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III}),{ }^{7} \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})^{8}$ and cationic $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})^{9}$ catalysts have been widely used in asymmetric hydrogenation of various imines. $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ catalyst exists as stable and easy-handled dimer that was successfully introduced and explored by Osborn. ${ }^{7}$ In the reaction mixture dimeric species equilibrate with monomers which was proposed to be the active catalyst:
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In contrary, neutral as well as cationic iridium (I) catalysts usually are prepared in situ from commercially available chloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)iridium (1) or chloro(norbornadienyl)iridium (I) dimers and an appropriate chiral diphosphine ligand:

Scheme B3.


Cationic $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ complex B13 forms if catalyst preparation is carried out in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or THF. Chlorine replacement by non-nucleophilic coordinating anion such as $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$or $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$affords cationic $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts B 14 , which are stable enough to be isolated and purified by recrystallization. In the presence of alcohol, however, formation of neutral complex B15 is proposed. Catalysts B15 usually are prepared in situ within minutes before hydrogenation is carried out and utilized without isolation.

Iridium catalysts of different oxidation states $(\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III}))$ as well as neutral and cationic $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ species have been used for reduction of structurally distinct cyclic imines, so it is difficult to compare the reactivity and selectivity of catalysts. Fortunately, there are several common substrates for all catalysts - imines B2 and B16 and rough selectivity comparison can be made.


Table B3. Asymmetric hydrogenation of cyclic imines B2 and B16 with different iridium catalysts (see Figure B2 for ligands structure):

| Entry | Catalyst $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Ligand | Imine | Pressure <br> (atm) | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | ee <br> (\%) | Lit. <br> ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ir (III) | BDPP | B2 | 40 | 99 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 7 a |
| 2 | Ir (III) | DIOP | B2 | 28 | 99 | 51 | 7 a |
| $3^{\text {b }}$ | Ir (I) | DIOP | B2 | 100 | 99 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 5 b |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | Ir (I) | BCPM | B2 | 100 | 32 | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 8 a |
| $5^{\text {c }}$ | Ir (I) | BCPM | B2 | 100 | 99 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 8 a |
| $6^{\text {d }}$ | Ir (I) | BICP | B2 | 68 | 99 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 8 b |
| 7 | Cationic Ir (I) B17 | B2 | 80 | 99 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 a |  |
| $8^{\text {d }}$ | Ir (I) | BICP | B16 | 68 | 99 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 8 b |
| 9 | Ir (I) | Tol-BINAP | B16 | 60 | 99 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 8 c |
| 10 | Ir (I) | Tol-BINAP | B16 | 60 | 46 | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | 8 c |
| 11 | Cationic Ir (I) B18 | B16 | 100 | - | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 9 b |  |

(a) $0.2 \mathrm{Mol} \% \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III}), 1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ and $0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst B17. (b) Hydrogenation was carried in the presence of $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{I}$ as additive. (c) Additive: $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{BiI}_{3}$. (d) Hydrogenation in the presence of $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ phthalimide ${ }^{-}$as additive.

Although DIOP-modified Ir (I) catalyst is more selective than the corresponding Ir (III) species (entry 3 vs. 2), simple DIOP ligand replacement by BDPP (entry 1) makes Ir (III) catalyst enantioselectivity similar to the best Ir (I) example (entry 6; see also Table B1, entry 4). Cationic catalyst B17 is significantly less selective than the neutral one (entry 7 vs. 6), however deactivation of the catalyst B17 during hydrogenation is reported. In contrary, cationic $\operatorname{Ir}$ (I) catalyst B18 is comparable to $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$-BICP (entries 8 and 11). Thus, Table B3 shows that there is no decrease in selectivity comparing in situ prepared catalysts with isolated neutral Ir (III) and cationic ones. Obviously, iridium oxidation state and type of catalyst complex is a minor issue compared to chiral ligand structure that has to be optimized for each particular substrate. Additives, solvents and hydrogenation temperature are additional variables of great importance. For example, replacement of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$for $\mathrm{BiI}_{3}$ as additive results in more than twofold enantioselectivity improvement (from $28 \%$ to $66 \%$ ee, entries 4-5), while hydrogenation temperature lowering by $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to $-30^{\circ}$ ) resulted in
further increase in enantioselectivity to $91 \%$ ee. Finally, hydrogenation selectivity is sensitive also to the solvent used. Thus, hydrogenation of cyclic imine B16 in methanol yields corresponding amine with $23 \%$ ee, while in benzene $89 \%$ ee was observed (entry 9 and 10 ).

Because hydrogenation success depends on many variables that have to be carefully adjusted for each particular substrate, further literature analysis will be focused mainly on asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of various isoquinolines. ${ }^{10}$

Table B4. Effects of additives, solvents and reduction temperature on $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$-BCPM catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| MeO <br> MeO |  |  <br> B19: <br> B20: |   $\begin{aligned} & 1=1 \\ & 1=2 \end{aligned}$ |  | B22 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Imine | Additive | Solvent | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \left({ }^{0} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Conv. <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Lit. ref. |
| 1 | B3 | none | $\mathrm{PhH}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ | 20 | 90 | 18 | 10a |
| 2 | B3 | $\mathrm{BiI}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{PhH}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ | - | 92 | 12 | 10a |
| 3 | B3 | 1,8-naphthalimide | $\mathrm{PhH}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ | - | 66 | 3 | 10a |
| 4 | B3 | phthalimide | PhH-MeOH | - | 96 | 44 | 10a |
| 5 | B3 | phthalimide | THF | 20 | 95 | 41 | 10a |
| 6 | B3 | phthalimide | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | 94 | 70 | 10a |
| 7 | B3 | phthalimide | toluene | 20 | 94 | 79 | 10a |
| 8 | B3 | phthalimide | toluene | 2-5 | 95 | 85-93 | 10a,b |
| 9 | B19 | $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-phthalimide | toluene | 5 | 84 | 88 | 10b |
| 10 | B20 | phthalimide | toluene | 2 | 75 | 87 | 10b |
| $11^{\text {b }}$ | B21 | phthalimide | $\mathrm{PhH}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ | 5 | 50 | 31 | 10b |
| $12^{\text {c }}$ | B22 | $\mathrm{F}_{4}$-phthalimide | toluene-MeOH | 2-5 | 85 | 86 | 10c |


B3

$$
\text { B19: } \mathrm{n}=1
$$

B21
(a) Under 100 atm hydrogen pressure and with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the catalyst. (b) $0.5 \mathrm{Mol} \%$ $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{BINAP}$ catalyst was used. (c) In the presence of $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{BINAP}$.

If no additive is present or an iodide such as $\mathrm{BiI}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+}{ }^{-}$is added as a cocatalyst, enantioselectivities are lower than $20 \%$ ee (entries 1-2). Six-membered imides are ineffective, while five-membered imides improve ee's (entry 3 vs. 4). Clear solvents effect is observed: in less polar solvents higher selectivities are obtained (entries 4-7) The lowering of hydrogenation temperature further improves ee's (entry 8 vs. 7). Optimized conditions (entry 8) were applied for hydrogenation of various isoquinolines B19-B22 affording chiral alkaloids or their precursors in reasonable optical purity (8688\% ee).

Enantiocontrol achieved with Ir-catalysts is relatively high (up to $93 \%$ ee). ${ }^{\text {I0a,b }}$ Moreover, Ir-catalyst system tolerates presence of various functional groups such as nitro-group, ketones esters and nitriles. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ On the other hand, disadvantages of Ircatalyzed procedure (relatively high hydrogen pressure (28-100 atm), sensitivity to temperature and solvents used) combined with need for empirical adjustment of ligand structure and additives for each particular substrate make it less attractive compared to alternative Ti and Ru catalyst systems.

### 1.2. Hydrogenation and hydrosilylation catalyzed by chiral titanocene catalysts.

Buchwald achieved excellent enantioselectivities and chemical yields in asymmetric hydrogenation ${ }^{11}$ and hydrosilylation ${ }^{12}$ of various cyclic and acyclic imines employing a chiral ansa-titanocene catalyst. Based on extensive mechanistic studies, ansa-titanocene (III) hydride B23 was proposed to be the active hydrogenation catalyst. Because catalyst B23 is highly reactive and air-sensitive it is generated in situ from air-stable chiral precatalysts B24-B26. Treatment of chiral ansa-titanocene dichloride B24 and 1, l'-binaphth-2,2'-diolate B25 with n-BuLi and phenylsilane under hydrogen atmosphere generates green colored solution of active catalyst B23. In the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst reduction of various cyclic imines B28-B30 proceeds with excellent enantiocontrol ( $95-99 \%$ ee) and high yields.

Scheme B4.
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The first step of the proposed catalytic cycle is reaction of titanium (III) hydride $\mathbf{B 2 3}$ with an imine via 1,2 -insertion reaction to form titanium amide $\mathbf{B 2 7} .^{116}$ Reduction enantioselectivity is controlled at the stage of amide B27 formation and depends on sterical interaction between catalyst ligands and imine substituents, particularly at imine nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ (Scheme B4). According to the proposed model ${ }^{110}$ syn-imine should give ( $S$ )-isomer of amine while anti-imine should afford opposite product enantiomer $(R)$-isomer. Experimental observations supported stereochemistry predicted by models (Table B5, entries 1-2, anti-imine vs. entries 5-6, syn-imine). The second step in catalytic cycle is the hydrogenolysis of amide B27 via $\sigma$-bond metathesis process to form amine enantiomer and regenerate the titanium hydride $\mathbf{B 2 3}$

Table B5. Catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation ${ }^{112-\mathrm{c}}$ (entries 1-7) and hydrosilylation ${ }^{12 a}$ (entries 8-9) of cyclic imines using chiral titanocene catalyst.

| Entry | Imine | Catalyst <br> mol\% | Pressure <br> (atm) | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | ee (\%) <br> (config) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B8 | 5 | 34 | 21 | 86 | $99(R)$ |
| 2 | B8 | 5 | 5.4 | 65 | 83 | $99(R)$ |
| 3 | B28 | 5 | 34 | 65 | 78 | 98 |
| 4 | B29 | 5 | 34 | 45 | 71 | 98 |
| 5 | B3 | 5 | 136 | 65 | 82 | $98(S)$ |
| 6 | B3 | 5 | 5.4 | 65 | 79 | $95(S)$ |
| 7 | B31 | 5 | 5.4 | 65 | 72 | 99 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{B 8}$ | 0.1 | - | 35 | 96 | 98 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{B 3 0}$ | 2 | - | r.t. | 64 | 98 |

Ansa-titanocene catalyzed hydrogenation was applied also for kinetic resolution of various 2,3, 2,4 and 2,5-disubstituted pyrrolines. ${ }^{13}$ The best result was obtained in reduction of rac-2,5-diphenylpyrroline B32. The reaction was allowed to proceed to $50 \%$ conversion and enantioselectivity measured for reduction product -cis-2,5-pyrrolidine B33 was $99 \%$ ee ( $34 \%$ yield). Unreacted enantiomer of starting material B32 ( $99 \%$ optically pure) was recovered in $37 \%$ yield.


Modest result, however, was achieved for 2,3-diphenylpyrroline B34 (75\% ee for unreacted starting material), while 2,4-diphenylpyrroline B35 showed relatively poor selectivity (49\% ee for unreacted B35).

Although various cyclic imines are reduced with excellent enantiocontrol, relatively high hydrogen pressure (5.4-136 atm) and highly demanding (air and
moisture-free) reduction conditions combined with necessity of the catalyst preactivation are important drawbacks of Buchwald's hydrogenation procedure.

Even more important disadvantage is poor catalyst compatibility with various functional groups. ${ }^{116}$ While N-benzyl pyrrolylimine B31 is reduced with $99 \%$ ee and in $72 \%$ chemical yield (Table B5, entry 7), free pyrrole B36 is reported to destruct catalyst, but N-lithio and N-TMS derivatives (B37 and B38, resp.) failed to react at all. Pyridyl substituted imine B39 also failed to react, but reduction of 2-furyl-2-pyrroline B40 could not be forced to completion even under harsh conditions, possibly due to catalyst inhibition by binding of the amine to the metal in a bidentate fashion:

## Figure B3.



B31: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$
B36: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
B37: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Li}$
B38: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$


B39


B41: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$
B42: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$


B40


B43

It was also found that an imine containing an aromatic bromide B41 deactivates the catalyst and ca. 5\% debrominated product was detected. Besides, imine B42 containing trifluoromethyl group was found to destroy catalyst. On the other hand, titanium catalyst tolerates presence of oxygenated functional groups, such as acetals, silyl ethers and alcohols (alcohols are silylated in situ).

More convenient precatalyst B26 activation as well as simpler experimental procedure was achieved using hydrosilylation procedure. ${ }^{\text {I2a }}$ Ansa-difluoro titanocene B26 was in situ converted to the active catalyst B23 by reaction with $\mathrm{PhSiH}_{3}$ (Si-F bond formation is proposed to be driving force for this reaction). Hydrosilylation proceeded at room temperature in argon atmosphere with lower catalyst loading (0.1-2 mol\%) and cyclic chiral imines B8 and B30 were reduced with $98-99 \%$ ee and in substantially higher chemical yields (96-97\%; silylamines were never isolated due to their lability) than in hydrogenation experiments (77-86\%). Chiral substituted pyrrolidines were obtained with the same absolute configuration as in the case of titanium-catalyzed hydrogenation. Hydrosilylation is proposed to proceed by a
catalytic cycle similar to that for hydrogenation (Scheme B4). It was also found that reaction tolerates presence of an aromatic chloride B43.

Recently, polymethylhydrosilane (PMHS) in the presence of $i-\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ was employed as more convenient and inexpensive hydride source for the reduction of acyclic imines. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$

Finally, hydrosilylation procedure was successfully employed in asymmetric total synthesis of piperidine alkaloids $(S)$-Coniine and $(2 R, 6 R)$-trans-Solenopsin A. ${ }^{14}$

Conclusion. Titanocene catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation and hydrosilylation affords cyclic amines with excellent enantioselectivities ( $97-99 \%$ ee) and in high yields. However, due to low titanium catalyst compatibility with various functional groups at current level of development it can not be employed for synthesis of chiral anilino-isoquinolines.

### 1.3. Ruthenium( $\amalg$ ) catalyzed asymmetric reduction of cyclic imines.

Although the first highly selective ruthenium catalyzed imine B44 asymmetric hydrogenation was reported by Oppolzer in 1990, ${ }^{15}$ (see Scheme B5), this remained the only attempt to employ $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalysts for reduction of cyclic imines until 1996 when Noyori applied $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation protocol to reduction of various cyclic imines ${ }^{16}$ B3, B19-B21 and B45-B47:

Scheme B5.
Oppolzer:



Due to excellent optical yields, operational simplicity and functional groups compatibility and selectivity Noyori procedure is regarded as breakthrough in development of methods for catalytic asymmetric isoquinolines reduction. The catalytic method is particularly useful for transfer hydrogenation of cyclic imines with ee values ranging from $90 \%$ to $97 \%$ ee:

Table B6. Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Imines by Chiral Ru(II) complexes B48-B50:

| Entry | Imine | Catalyst | S/C | Time <br> (h) | Yield (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ee } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B3 | B48 | 200 | 3 | 99 | 95 |
| 2 | B3 | B48 | 1000 | 12 | 97 | 94 |
| 3 | B19 | B49 | 200 | 7 | 90 | 95 |
| 4 | B20 | B49 | 200 | 12 | 99 | 92 |
| 5 | B21 | B50 | 100 | 12 | 99 | 84 |
| 6 | B45 | B50 | 200 | 8 | 99 | 84 |
| 7 | B46 | B48 | 200 | 5 | 86 | 97 |
| 8 | B46 | B48 | 1000 | 12 | 89 | 93 |
| 9 | B47 | B48 | 200 | 5 | 83 | 96 |

Reduction of 1-methyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B3 proceeds with enantioselectivity comparable to that obtained using ansa-titanocene catalyst. The experiment simplicity, however, makes this method more attractive than Buchwald's procedure (compare Table B6, entries 1-2 and Table B5, entry 4). Substituted 1-aryl (entries 5-6, Table B6) and 1-arylalkyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines (entries 3-4) are reduced in higher optical and chemical yields compared also to $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyst ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ (Table B4, entries 9-11). Asymmetric reduction is successfully extended to the synthesis of optically active indoles from the corresponding imines B46-B47 (entries 7-9).

Transfer hydrogenation is reported to proceed smoothly in various aprotic polar solvents such as DMF , $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{MeCN}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, using inexpensive, stable and
easy-handled formic acid-triethylamine 5:2 azeotropic mixture as a hydrogen source. Generally, Ru catalyst is prepared from $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ and N -sulfonylated 1,2diphenylethylenediamine, however, the same result can be obtained using catalyst formed in situ. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ chemoselectivity is superior to that observed in the stoichiometric reduction using $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ and imine $\mathbf{B 3}$ can be reduced even in acetone. A competitive experiments show that imine $\mathbf{B 3}$ is $>1000$ times more reactive than structurally related acetophenone B51 or $\alpha$-methylstirene B52.


B3


B51


B52

The hydrogenation rate and enantioselectivity is influenced by Ru catalyst ( $\eta^{6}$ arene and arylsulfonyl group in catalyst ligand) as well as by the solvent and reduction temperature used. ${ }^{16 c}$ The general sense of asymmetric induction with $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-catalyst B48-B50 system is illustrated in Figure B4. In the stereodetermining hydrogen-transfer step, Ru catalyst discriminates between the enantiofaces at the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ nitrogen atom of the imine, generating a stereogenic $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon.

Figure B4.



Hydride transfer from active catalyst - Ru-hydride species to an imine requires out-ofplane interaction between the Ru-H moiety and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond. Noyori ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$ suggests that N H linkage in Ru catalyst $\mathbf{B 4 8}$-B50 can stabilize a transition state through hydrogen bonding with imine nitrogen (see Figure $B 4$ ).

Recently, chiral Ru-(oxazolinylferrocenyl)phosphine catalyst B53 has been employed in asymmetric hydrosilylation of 2-aryl-3,4-dihydropyrrole B8. ${ }^{17}$


B8
Corresponding (S)-amine was isolated with $88 \%$ ee and in $60 \%$ yield. Analogous $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyst showed considerably lower selectivity ( $34 \%$ ee) (see also Table B2, entry 4).

Conclusion. Ru (II) catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of cyclic imines affords the highest enantioselectivities for almost all substrates tested. In combination with operational simplicity it is the method of choice for the synthesis of chiral isoquinolines containing an aniline subunit.

### 1.4. Asymmetric hydrogenation of cyclic enamides. ${ }^{18}$

Being closely related to olefins hydrogenation, asymmetric reduction of cyclic enamides is one of the most efficient tool for highly enantioselective synthesis of 1 alkyl and 1-arylalkyl substituted isoquinolines. ${ }^{19}$ Initially, chiral $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-complexes ${ }^{193, b}$ such as B55 were employed affording N -acetyl-1-methyl-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline with reasonable enantioselectivities ( $81 \%$ ee in the best case)
Scheme B6.


The method became synthetically important after Noyori had shown ${ }^{19 c, d}$ that various N acylated 1-alkylidene and 1-benzylidene isoquinolines can be hydrogenated in the presence of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{BINAP}$ catalyst with excellent enantioselectivities (up to $100 \%$ ee, see Table B7).

(E)-B56

(Z)-B56

(Z)-B57: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (Z)-B58: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$

(S)-B61

(R)-B62: $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
(R)-B63: $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} ; \mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$


B59: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

Table B7. Asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-acyl-1-alkylidene-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolines B54, B56-B58.

| Entry | Substrate | Catalyst | S/C | Pressure (atm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ee } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (Z)-B56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) | (S)-B61 | 100 | 4 | 30 | 95 | 75 |
| 2 | B54 | (S)-B62 | 50-200 | 4 | - | 100 | 96 |
| 3 | (Z)-B56 (R=H) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 1 | 30 | 100 | $>99.5$ |
| 4 | (Z)-B56 (R=H) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 100 | 30 | 100 | 96 |
| 5 | (Z)-B56 (R=H) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 6 | (Z)-B56 (R=H) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 100 | 60 | 98 | 91 |
| 7 | ( Z )-B56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 4 | 24 | 100 | >99.5 |
| 8 | (E)-B56 $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | (R)-B62 | 200 | 4 | 24 | $<3$ | - |
| 9 | (Z)-B56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 4 | 24 | 10 | - |
| 10 | ( Z ) $-\mathrm{B} 56(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tBu}$ ) | (R)-B62 | 200 | 4 | 24 | 100 | 50 |
| 11 | (Z)-B57 | (R)-B63 | 50-200 | 100 | - | 99 | 96 |
| 12 | (Z)-B58 | (R)-B63 | 50-200 | 100 | - | 98 | 98 |

The comparison of catalysts clearly shows that Ru-complex is superior to cationic $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyst (entry 7 vs. 1 and entry 2 vs. Scheme B6). Extensive studies revealed that N -acyl group is crucial for the reaction because it acts as a binding tether to the catalytic metal center. Z-olefin geometry is important for high reactivity and enantioselectivity. ${ }^{\text {I9d }}$ E-olefin could not be reduced under standard conditions (entry 8
vs. 7). Hydrogenation occurs regioselectively at the enamide part leaving tetrasubstituted olefinic linkage intact (entry 11-12, product amines B59-B60). Both N formyl and N -acetyl amides can be used (entries 3 and 7), but the strongly electronwithdrawing $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$-group and bulky pivaloyl group decreases reactivity and/or enantioselectivity (entries $9-10$ vs. 3 and 7). The reaction usually is run under $1-4 \mathrm{~atm}$ of hydrogen at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Increased temperature and pressure results in lower enantioselectivity (entry 4 and 6 vs. 3), while diminishing the temperature to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ causes inhibition of the reaction (entry 5 vs .3 ).

Despite the excellent enantioselectivities achieved for a variety of isoquinolines, hydrogenation can not be employed for reduction of 1 -aryl substituted substrates (formation of the corresponding enamide would require non-aromatic, unstable quinone-type structure B64):


B64
Asymmetric hydrogenation has been successfully employed for large-scale synthesis of piperazine-2-carboxamide B66, ${ }^{20}$ building block of Merck HIV protease inhibitor Indinavir ${ }^{\circ}$.

Scheme B7.


Indinavir ${ }^{\circledR}$


B65

a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NHtBu}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{BnO}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuO}$
b: $R=O M e, R_{1}=M e, R_{2}=t-B u O$
c: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NHtBu}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuO}$
$d: R=N H t B u, R_{1}=t-B u O, R_{2}=\mathrm{PhO}$

P-P*: [2,2]-Phanephos =



The enantioselectivity of reduction was found to depend on electronic character of olefinic double bond, which can be regulated by N -protecting groups, particularly at nitrogen in 4th position. $\mathrm{N}^{4}$-Boc group was found to be the best. Careful optimization of reduction conditions for each particular substrate combined with extensive screening of diphosphine ligands resulted in highly enantioselective largescale synthesis of chiral piperazine $\mathbf{B 6 6}$.

Table B8. Optimized conditions for asymmetric hydrogenation of cyclic enamide B65 in the presence of $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts.

| Entry | Enamide | Ligand <br> $\left(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}^{*}\right)$ | Catalyst <br> $\mathrm{mol} \%$ | T <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Pressure <br> $(\mathrm{atm})$ | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | ee <br> $(\%)$ | Lit. <br> ref. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B65a | BINAP | 2 | 40 | 70 | 96 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 16 a |
| 2 | B65b | $[2,2]$-Phanephos | - | -40 | 1.5 | $>99$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | 16 b |
| 3 | B65c | BINAP | 7 | 40 | 100 | $>99$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | 16 c |
| 4 | B65d | i-BuTRAP | 1 | 50 | 1 | 85 | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | 16 d |

The hydrogenation of enamides has been recently extended also to the synthesis of cyclic amino acids B67 and B68 with various ring size. ${ }^{21 a}$

Scheme B8.


Excellent enantioselectivities were achieved in the case of medium B68c-e and large ring size B68f-g while 5- and 6-membered cyclic enamides B68a-b were obtained either racemic or with low ee's. In contrary, Comins was able to reduce enamide B67b with reasonable $80 \%$ ee employing [(S)-BINAP]Ru catalyst. ${ }^{216}$

### 1.5. Catalytic enantioselective imines reduction by borane.

Despite to the very few reports on successful borane-mediated catalytic asymmetric reduction of imines, potentially it is an alternative to transition metals catalyzed hydrogenation, especially because there is no need of high-pressure equipment. Various chiral boranes have been used as catalysts and chiral Lewis acid complexation to nitrogen lone pair allowed to discriminate imine enantiofaces by a non-chiral hydride source, usually borane ( $\mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{BH}_{3}-\mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ etc.). Enantioselective catalytic reduction has been applied mainly for acyclic imines and only recently Kang reported enantioselective reduction of cyclic imines - 3,4dihydroisoquinolines in the presence of $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ chiral thiazazincolidine catalyst B70: ${ }^{22}$


The reduction is assumed to proceed via formation of enantioface-selective complex B71 between chiral Lewis acid B70 or B72 and isoquinoline nitrogen lone pair and the complex geometry controls the reaction stereoselectivity. Borane-THF is the best reducing agent for all substrates (entries 1-3, Table B9), except of 1-aryl-3,4dihydroisoquinoline B21, where BDMPB is superior (entry 5 vs. 4):

Table B9 Reduction of 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines with $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ thiazazincolidine catalyst in toluene at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

| Entry | Imine | Catalyst | Borane | Yield (\%) | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | B3 | B70 | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$-THF | 65 | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{B 1 9}$ | B70 | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$-THF | 83 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ |
| 3 | B73 | B70 | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$-THF | 25 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{B 2 1}$ | B70 | $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$-THF | 92 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| 5 | B21 | B72 | BDMPB $^{2}$ | 69 | $\mathbf{5 6}$ |

### 1.6. Summary.

Literature analysis was carried out to reveal the most suitable method for catalytic asymmetric synthesis of chiral isoquinolines with aniline subunit. The following conclusions can be made:

1. $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation and hydrosilylation procedure requires a careful optimization of catalyst, additives and reduction conditions and in the best case affords chiral isoquinolines with lower enantioselectivity than the corresponding titanocene and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyzed processes.
2. Despite to excellent enantiocontrol, several limitations in the case of ansatitanocene catalyst, namely, relatively high hydrogen pressure (5.4-136 atm), highly demanding (air and moisture-free) reduction conditions combined with the necessity to activate titanocene catalyst prior to use is an important drawback of Buchwald's hydrogenation procedure. Moreover, ansa-titanocene catalyst shows low tolerance toward various functional groups, such as unprotected imine N-H and aryl halogens.
3. Asymmetric hydrogenation of 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-derived enamides is questionable in the case of 1-aryl substituted substrate since it requires formation of potentially unstable quinone-type structure.
4. $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of various 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines proceeds with excellent enantioselectivity and chemical yield. Operational simplicity (reduction can be preformed in open reaction vessel with in situ prepared catalyst) makes Noyori procedure the method of choice for the synthesis of various chiral 1aryl substituted isoquinolines.

## 2. Asymmetric reduction studies.

Because the chiral environment in commercially available diamine B73 (CAPTIQ) (see Scheme B10) has been demonstrated to be highly effective in the protonation of amide enolates, ${ }^{23}$ our goal was to utilize the same scaffold for the generation of chiral acids with enhanced acidity, useful for protonation of more acidic enolates, derived from esters or lactones

Review on catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation shows that Noyori's procedure is superior to alternative reduction techniques because of experimental simplicity and promising enantioselectivities. Thus, 1-phenyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{B 4 5}$ is quantitatively reduced to chiral amine B74 with $84 \%$ ee. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{a}}$

Scheme B10.




B45


Our objective was to apply Noyori method for synthesis of CAPTIQ and its analogs - chiral 1 -anilino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines. The initial goal was to find the optimum catalyst and reduction conditions as well as to test various functional groups tolerance to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation. Another goal was to establish how ortho-substituents in phenyl ring influence the reduction process.


Precise understanding of Noyori procedure's scope and limitations would allow creating a general and convenient method for asymmetric synthesis of various chiral 1 -anilino-l,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines as potential asymmetric proton donors.

### 2.1. Experimental results.

Asymmetric reduction experiments are summarized in Table 10

Table B10. Asymmetric reduction of 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines using different chiral Ru catalysts. ${ }^{\text {a }}$


| Entry | Substrate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Catalyst <br> (mol-\%) <br> [Time] <br> (h) | Catalyst |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Ar}=1$-naphthyl | Ar $=4$-methylphenyl |  | Ar $=4$-fluoropheny |  |
|  | Type | R | X |  | $\underset{(\%)}{\substack{\text { PR:SM:BY }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underline{\text { PR:SM:BY }} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\%)}{\substack{\text { PR:SM:BY }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ee } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | A | H | H |  | 1[13] | 68:16 ${ }^{\text {de }}$ | $44(50)^{\text {f }}$ | $87.8{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 28 | $88.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 33 |
| 2 | A | MeO | H | 1[13] | 97:1:2 | $63(88)^{\text {f }}$ | 96:1:3 | 58 | 93:1:6 | 67 |
| 3 | A | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 2[16] | 48:44 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 78 | 66:23 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 85 | 66:26 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 79 |
| $+$ | A | MeO | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 2[16] | 75:10:8 | $71(83)^{\text {f }}$ | 74:6:15 | 43 | 71:6:11 | 56 |
| 5 | A | H | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | 2[16] | 54:44 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 85 | 79:14 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 91 | 82:11 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 86 |
| 6 | A | H | Cl | 1[13] | 56:36 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 86 | 66:28 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 90 | 57:35 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 91 |
| 7 | A | H | Br | 1[13] | 59:36:4 | 94 | 41:51:7 | 94 | 55:35:9 | 95 |
| 8 | A | MeO | Br | 0.67[13] | 71:23:4 | 98.3 | 67:29:3 | 98.7 | 69:26:4 | 97.8 |
| 9 | A | H | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 1[13] | - | - | 0:99 | - | - | - |
| 10 | A | MeO | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 1[13] | - | - | 20:80:<1 | 97.1 | - | - |
| 11 | B | MeO | H | 2[16] | - | - | $0.99{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - |  |  |
| 12 | B | MeO | H | $7.5[72]^{\mathrm{g}}$ | - | - | 11:87 | 96-98 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | - | - |
| 13 | B | H | Me | 2[16] | - | - | 34:61 | 93 |  |  |
| 14 | B | MeO | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 7.5[72] | - | - | 76:19 | 98-99 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |
| 15 | C | MeO | H | 1[13] | 82:9:8 | 98.1 | 82:9:8 | 98.5 | 88:4:7 | 98.7 |
| 16 | C | MeO | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 1[13] | - | - | 0:99 | - | - | - |

(a) All the reductions were performed in 0.5 mM scale, $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ :substrate molar ratio $6: 1$; if not otherwise noted, both chemical yields and enantioselectivities (ee) were determined by HPLC on CSP (using separately prepared standards) for a crude reaction mixture and represent average of at least two reactions (two HPLC runs for each reaction). (b) Unless indicated otherwise, one_and the same substrate batch was used for all reductions in the corresponding entry. (c) SM - starting material; PR reduction product; BY - reduction by-product:

(d) Isolated yield after purification on preparative TLC plate. (e) Yields of BY (and other minor by-products) was not determined either due to inadequate HPLC assay or
lack of standards. (f) Yields in brackets correspond to runs with different sample of catalyst (see Table B11 and following discussion). (g) $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ :substrate molar ratio 55:1. (h) Approximate value is shown; exact ee's could not be determined due to lack of proper HPLC assay (peak of starting material traces overlapped with minor enantiomer peak).

### 2.2. Discussion. Factors controlling asymmetric reduction process.

2.2.1. Substrate influence.

The original Noyori procedure ${ }^{16 a}$ employs 6,7-dimethoxy substituted isoquinolines as hydrogenation substrates (Scheme B10). It was decided to apply the reduction to 6,7-unsubstituted-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines, analogues of commercially available diamine (CAPTIQ) B73. It was found, however, that substrates having methoxy groups generally show higher chemical and optical yields (compare entry 2 vs. 1,8 vs. 7 and 10 vs. 9). This is not always the case (entries 3-4) and although preliminary experiments supported higher enantioselectivity also for MeO-substituted anilines, further experiments reversed this pattern for these particular substrates (see Table 13, entries 3-4; this will be discussed later). Higher chemical outcome and enantioselectivity for MeO substrates could be attributed to electronic factors, namely, increasing $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond electronic density due to electron-releasing effect of methoxy groups. This would make stronger Ru catalyst - substrate complex and, thus, improve enantioselectivity. To support this assumption both electronic rich (tolyl) and poor (4-fluorophenyl) groups containing Ru catalysts B76 and B77 were utilized, anticipating that electronic poor ligand in Ru catalyst would stronger interact with electronic rich substrate due to $\pi$ system interaction (" $\pi$-stacking"). ${ }^{24}$


$$
\text { B76: } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}
$$

$$
\text { B77: } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{F}
$$

It turned out, however, that generally there is no difference between these two catalysts. Obviously, electronic factors are less important than sterical preferences. Possibly methoxy groups expand isoquinoline system thus improving $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ enantiofacial discrimination by $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst. Observation that indolyl-imine B78
was reduced with higher enantioselectivity than dimethoxyisoquinoline B45 supports this assumption. ${ }^{\text {A }}$


Even more considerable turned out sterical demands of ortho-substituents in 1phenyl ring. Increasing bulk of ortho-group (compare entries $1,3,5,6,7$, and 10 , Table B10), enhances reduction enantioselectivities:

$$
\mathrm{H}<\mathrm{NH}_{2}<\mathrm{NHCH}_{3} \sim \mathrm{Cl}<\mathrm{NO}_{2} \sim \mathrm{Br}
$$

Obviously, ortho-substituent helps to hinder one $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ enantioface, thus favoring a specific rotamer in one of competing diastereomeric transition states during substrate Ru catalyst complex formation.

Figure B5. Formation of competing diastereomeric substrate-Ru catalyst complexes.



A sterically demanding ortho-substituent efficiently hinders $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst approach to one imine enantioface resulting in enhanced enantioselectivity. For example, the o-bromophenyl group provides sufficient control in the asymmetric hydrogenation step so that useful ee levels can be achieved with or without the methoxy substituents.

Unprotected $N$-tosylamide (entries 11-12) and, especially, $N$-substituted tosylamides (entries 13-14) also are bulky enough to help ruthenium catalyst efficiently discriminate between $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ enantiofaces resulting in high optical yields

[^2](92-99\% ee) for all tested substrates. Substrate with condensed benzene ring instead of ortho-substituent (entry 15 , Table 10) behaves similarly. Furthermore, if there is both condensed benzene ring from the one side and bulky substituent from the other in the 1 -phenyl ring (entry 16), the reduction does not take place at all (in standard conditions). Evidently, access to either side of planar $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ system in this case is hindered

Dihydroisoquinolines with less sterically demanding ortho-substituents ( H , $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ) (entries 1-4) show lower optical yields. In these substrates methoxy groups play a role in enantiofaces discrimination (entries 1-2). Surprisingly, ortho-aminophenyl substituted isoquinolines do not match general pattern (entries 2 and 3) and, unexpectedly, MeO presence lowers ee's. The influence of MeO groups on the enantioselectivity of reduction of sterically demanding substrates is low (compare entry 7 and 8 ).

Chemical yields in general are higher for MeO-substituted isoquinolines (entry 2 vs. 1,4 vs. 3,8 vs. 7 and 10 vs. 9). N-Sulfonylanilines afforded reduction products in significantly lower yields compared to halogen and amino substituted substrates, thus requiring substantially higher catalyst loading and prolonged reaction time. For instance, reduction of N -tosyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline under standard conditions used for amino substituted isoquinolines yielded $99 \%$ recovered starting material with no reduction product (entry 11 vs. entries 3-5). Even increased catalyst loading and prolonged reaction time gave chiral tetrahydroisoquinoline in only 11\% yield (entry 12). Low chemical yields can be attributed to fact that reduced $1,2,3,4$ tetrahydroisoquinolines, being mono-sulfonylated diamines are structurally related to the ruthenium catalyst ligand. Eventual strong complexation with the reduction product can strongly (depending on the complex dissociation rates) inhibit the catalyst.


Increased ruthenium catalyst loading ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ and more) makes asymmetric reduction of N -sulfonyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines too expensive, especially on a preparative scale. Alternative approach is to diminish complexation ability of the reduction products by inactivation of a diamine ligation site. Indeed, sulfonamide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ replacement by methyl group significantly increased chemical yields (entry 13 vs. 11; note that only $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst and 16 h was used compared to entry 12). Unfortunately, it is almost impossible to remove methyl group after reduction and therefore it is useless as a protecting group. N-Benzyl protection was tested instead of N -methyl and chemical yield was reasonably higher accompanied with excellent enantioselectivity (entry 14 vs. 12). Nevertheless, at least $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ru}$ (II) catalyst loading was crucial also for N -benzylsulfonamide reduction. This is a reasonably higher loading than in the case of N -unsubstituted 1 -anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines. Consequently, more "reduction fuel" - $\mathrm{HCOOH}-\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (5:2 azeotropic mixture) was also used.

It should be noted that reaction conditions used for all experiments in Table B10 are by no means optimal - the main principle was to provide equal reduction conditions to compare relative reactivity of various substrates.

### 2.2.2. Catalyst effect.

Ruthenium catalyst dominates in favoring one of competing diastereomeric transition states in the case of ortho-unsubstituted substrates and substrates with small sterical demands. Bulky sulfonyl group (1-naphthylsulfonyl) in catalyst ligand increase optical yields (entries 1,2 and 4) compared to smaller tosyl and 4fluorophenylsulfonyl group. The catalyst effect, however, disappears as substrates with strong sterical preferences (bulky ortho-groups) are subjected to the reduction (entries 5-8). In this case ortho-substituent dominates in preferring one of the competing transition state.

### 2.2.3. Substrate and catalyst quality.

As mentioned above, ortho-aminophenyl substituted 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines fall out of general pattern regarding to methoxy group influence on reduction enantioselectivity. While in preliminary experiments naphthyl-Ru catalyst (batch \#2, see Table B11) reduced diMeO-ortho-aminophenyl isoquinoline B80 in higher optical
yield than observed for unsubstituted substrate, ${ }^{B}$ consistent results could not be obtained employing different catalyst batch (\#3) (entry 4 vs. 3, Table BII). Because identical reduction conditions were constantly used there are only two variables that could provoke substantial fluctuation of results, namely - purity of substrate and catalyst. It is believed that substrate impurities reduce chemical outcome while optical yields are under control of catalyst.

Table B11. Differences in asymmetric reduction enantioselectivities depending on employed naphthyl-Ru catalyst B50 batches. ${ }^{\text {a }}$



B50

| Entry | Substrate |  | Naphthyl-Ru catalyst batches. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | X | Batch \#1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Batch \#2 |  | Batch \#3 |  |
|  |  |  | ee(\%) | chem (\%) | ee(\%) | chem (\%) | ee(\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chem } \\ & (\%)^{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | H | H | $44^{\text {c }}$ | $68^{\text {d }}$ | $50^{\text {e }}$ | $76^{\text {d }}$ | - | - |
| 2 | MeO | H | $84^{\text {g }}$ | 99 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 88 | $70^{\text {d }}$ | 63 | 97 |
| $3^{\text {h }}$ | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | - | - | 48 | $46^{\text {d }}$ | 78 | 48 |
| $4^{\text {h }}$ | MeO | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | - | - | 83 | 10-20 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $71^{1}$ | 75 |

(a) If not otherwise noted, one and the same substrate batch was used for all reductions in the corresponding entry. (b) We thank Prof. R. Noyori for providing this sample of naphthyl-Ru(II) catalyst. (c) Average of 2 reactions ( 43.5 and $44.9 \%$ ee resp.). (d) Isolated yields. (e) Represents 2 reactions (both shows $50 \%$ ee). (f) Chemical yields determined by HPLC using standards. (g) Published result from Noyori group. ${ }^{16 a}$ (h) Different substrate portions were used for catalyst batch \#2 and \#3 (i) Average of 2 reactions ( 70.1 and $71.8 \%$ ee resp.)

The variation of chemical yields in reduction of anilino-imine $\mathbf{B 8 0}$ (Table B11, entry 4 , batch $\# 2$ vs. batch $\# 3$ ) can be attributed to the fact that substrate was contaminated to various extent with an impurity. 1-Anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B80 was prepared from the corresponding nitro-imine B79 by reduction with $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{HCl} .{ }^{25}$ It was found that the product aniline contained intensely yellow impurity

[^3]that could not be removed by flash chromatography.


The yellow contaminant could not be separated well enough to give satisfactory analysis or spectra, but one small sample was obtained having a 3:2 ratio of oxygen:nitrogen according to elemental analysis. $200 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum shows similar signal pattern to that of aniline B80 with all aliphatic isoquinoline ring protons shifted downfield by approximately $0.4-0.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ :


Taking as a basis NMR spectra and elemental analysis, structure B81 was assigned for yellow contaminant. Analogous indazole N -oxides are reported as yellow solids. ${ }^{26}$

With impurity levels near $20 \%$, transfer hydrogenation did not occur within 16 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggesting that contaminant inhibits the catalyst. Repeated crystallizations reduced the amount of the contaminant to $2 \%$, but with considerable material loss. When B80 containing ca. $2 \%$ of the impurity was treated with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the naphthyl-Ru catalyst (batch \#3), transfer hydrogenation proceeded in $75 \%$ yield
(compare entry 4, Table B11) and with 71\% ee.
In another attempt using catalyst batch \#2, enantioselectivity mysteriously increased to $83 \%$ ee, and similar inconsistencies were encountered in attempts to hydrogenate Noyori substrate B45 and its 6,7-unsubstituted analog (entries 1-2, Table B10). No substantial difference between the two batches of catalyst could be detected using NMR methods, but the limited solubility of both naphthyl-Ru(II) catalyst and its precursor $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2} \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}{ }^{27}$ complicates catalyst assay using spectroscopy. Furthermore, having identical NMR spectra, naphthyl-Ru catalyst batch \#2 reduced orthounsubstituted dimethoxy isoquinoline $\mathbf{B 4 5}{ }^{\text {C }}$ in higher ee's than both sample received from Noyori lab (batch \#1) and batch \#3 (entries 1-2, Table 11).

A possible problem in catalyst preparation could be incomplete conversion of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-benzene) $] \mathbf{B 8 3}$ and ligand $\mathbf{B 8 2}$ to catalyst $\mathbf{B 5 0}$.

## Scheme B11.
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Unreacted ruthenium source B83 can in principle serve as non-chiral hydrogenation catalyst thus lowering optical yields. Simple recrystallization could in principle solve contaminant issue, however, catalyst is reported to decompose upon attempted purification. ${ }^{16 a}$ On the other hand, employing Ru catalyst formed in situ from $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-benzene $\left.)\right] \mathbf{B 8 3}$ and mono-N-sulfonyl diamine $\mathbf{B 8 2}$ in triethylamine Noyori was able to achieve results similar to the ones obtained in reduction employing isolated catalyst. ${ }^{16}$

Ligand exchange in $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst (N-sulfonyl-ethylenediamine B82 replacement by reduction product amino-isoquinoline B84, see scheme B11) could be an alternative interpretation of scattered ee's values, however in recent paper Noyori has shown that structurally related [(BNAP) Ru$] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ complex irreversibly interacts with ethylenediamine ligand, similar to B82. Resulting $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-ethylene diamine complex was found to be resistant toward competing diamine ligands. ${ }^{28}$
(C) One and the same isoquinoline batch was used for all reduction experiments.

Most likely the problems arise from improper $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst preparation procedure. No attempts were made to define the source of the problem in catalyst preparation because relatively consistent results were obtained with modified Noyori catalysts B76 ${ }^{16 \mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathbf{B 7 7}$ (see entries 3-4, Table B10).

### 2.3. Optimization of the reduction conditions.

Early hydrogenation attempts gave $<25 \%$ conversion using a 6,7 -unsubstituted analog of Noyori substrate $\mathbf{B 4 5}$, but this difficulty was overcome simply by arranging an outlet for the reaction vessel. Evidently, it is important to provide an exit for the gases $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ produced by decomposition of the $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ reducing reagent as the hydrogenation proceeds. This, however, is a minor problem compared to the examples above where reduction products or impurities acted as catalyst inhibitors.

As already mentioned, asymmetric reduction conditions used for all experiments (Table B10) are not optimal and were chosen to provide equal reduction conditions in order to compare relative reactivity of various substrates. There are several potential ways to improve reduction rate - larger catalyst loading, longer reaction time, higher temperature as well as use of different substrate concentration and change of solvent.

Table B12. Optimization of asymmetric transfer hydrogenation.


| Entry | R | Solvent | T ( ${ }^{5}$ C) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Catalyst } \\ & \text { (mol\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Rxn time <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | HPLC yield (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B86 | B85 | B87 |
| $I^{a}$ | MeO | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $20^{\text {b }}$ | 0.67 | 13 | 98.7 | 68 | 30 | 3 |
| 2 | MeO | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $20^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 13 | 98.6 | 84 | 8 | 8 |
| 3 | MeO | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $20^{\text {b }}$ | 0.67 | 24 | 98.6 | 78 | 3 | 19 |
| $4^{a}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $20^{6}$ | 1 | 13 | 94 | 41 | 51 | 7 |
| 5 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$ | $20^{6}$ | 1 | 13 | 85 | 53 | 45 | 2 |
| 6 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | reflux | 1 | 13 | 90 | 45 | 49 | 5 |
| 7 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reflux, then } \\ & 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 13+24 | 90 | 43 | 47 | 10 |

(a) Standard conditions (see Table B10). (b) Approximate room temperature.

Prolonged reaction time (entry 3) increased both the amount of product B86b and by-product B87b, while larger catalyst loading resulted mostly in higher product yield (entries 1-3, Table B12). Formation of by-product (N-formamide) was encountered to varying degrees in all of the hydrogenations, increasingly so for the slower reductions (see also Table B10). Evidently, formamide formation by $\mathrm{HCOOH}-\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ reagent increases with time (entry 3 vs. 1, Table B12). Consequently, additional loading of "reduction fuel" - $\mathrm{HCOOH}-\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (5:2 azeotropic mixture) also increased formamide B87b formation.

Bromoisoquinoline B85a reduction in acetonitrile ${ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ occurs with lower enantioselectivity and in slightly higher chemical yield (entry 5 vs. 4). Temperature increase does not affect reaction course (entry 6 and 4).

Different substrate concentrations as an additional variable were examined in the case of N -toluenesulfonamides reduction experiments. ${ }^{\mathrm{E}}$
Table B13. Asymmetric reduction yields depending on substrate concentration. ${ }^{\text {a }}$


B88

$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$


B89

| Entry | Substrate concentration <br> $(\mathrm{mM} / \mathrm{mL})$ | Yields $^{\text {b }}(\%)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{B 8 8}: \mathbf{B 8 9}$ | ee |
| 1 | 0.09 | $21: 72$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |
| 2 | 0.2 | $34: 61$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |
| 3 | 0.4 | $57: 39$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |

(a) Asymmetric reductions were carried out in 0.5 mM scale (within 16 hours and employing $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ tosyl-Ru catalyst B76); see also Table B10. (b) Both chemical yields and enantioselectivities (ee) were determined by HPLC (using separately prepared standards) for a crude reaction mixture

[^4]As shown in Table B13 higher dilution lowers chemical yields, however it is believed that concentration issue has comparatively smaller influence than other factors discussed above.

### 2.4. Absolute stereochemistry of the reduction products.

Absolute configuration in 6,7-unsubstituted diamines series was assigned by comparison of transfer hydrogenation products chiral HPLC behavior with that of standards prepared from diamine with known ( $S$ )-absolute configuration, unambiguously assigned by X -ray analysis for corresponding ( $R, S$ )-O-acetylmandelic acid anilide B90 (see Chapter A).

Scheme B12.

(R,S)-B90


Chiral auxiliary cleavage in $(R, S)$-amide $\mathbf{B 9 0}$ and asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of bromo-imine B86a with subsequent bromine displacement by ammonia both yielded ( $S$ )-enantiomer of anilino-isoquinoline B91. Consequently, Noyori reduction of bromo-imine B86a affords corresponding (S)-bromo-isoquinoline. Asymmetric hydrogenation of anilino-imine B93 also yielded (S)-diamine B91 (Scheme B12).

Regioselective alkylation of N -lithio-anilide with MeI gave ( $S$ )- N -methyldiamine B92. Surprisingly, asymmetric hydrogenation of corresponding imine B94 afforded opposite enantiomer - $(R)$-isomer, according to chiral HPLC comparison with
standard (S)-B92, respectively (see Scheme B12). In contrast, transfer hydrogenation of N -tosylamide $\mathbf{B 8 8}$ yielded the anticipated ( $S$ )-enantiomer of $\mathbf{B 8 9}$.

Thus, asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of imines B86a, B88 and B93 employing ( $S, S$ ) - $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}$ ) catalyst afforded ( $S$ )-isomer as the major enantiomer. These results are in accordance with the general sense of asymmetric induction introduced by Noyori: ${ }^{16 a, b}$
Figure B7. Noyori scheme for the general sense of asymmetric induction


Reversed enantioselectivity in the case of N-methylaniline B94 falls out of general pattern and remains unexplained, especially because transfer hydrogenation enantioselectivity in 6,7-dimethoxy series also supports Noyori's asymmetric induction model (Figure B7). Thus, the absolute configuration of 6,7-dimethoxybromobenzene B86b was confirmed to be $(S)$ by X-ray crystallography in the case of crystalline N -formyl by-product $\mathbf{B 8 7 b}$ (anomalous dispersion method).



B87b

Employing bromo-isoquinoline B86b as a standard, absolute configuration was assigned for several asymmetric reduction products in 6,7-dimethoxy series:

## Scheme B13.



Thus, all the hydrogenations with the exception of N -methyl substrate B94 correspond to Noyori model for asymmetric induction ${ }^{16, \mathrm{~b}}$ (Figure B7). Table B14 summarizes all confirmed absolute configurations as well as those assigned on the basis of chiral HPLC behavior of structurally related products:

Table B14. Absolute configuration of major enantiomer of asymmetric reduction products: ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | Substrate |  |  |  |  | Absolute |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | R | X | configuration |  |  |
| 1 | A | H | H | $R^{b, c}$ |  |  |
| 2 | A | MeO | H | $R^{\text {c.d }}$ |  |  |
| 3 | A | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $S$ |  |  |
| 4 | A | MeO | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $S$ |  |  |
| 5 | A | H | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $R$ |  |  |
| 6 | A | H | Cl | $S^{b}$ |  |  |
| 7 | A | H | Br | $S$ |  |  |
| 8 | A | MeO | Br | $S$ |  |  |
| 9 | B | MeO | H | $S^{b}$ |  |  |



A


B
(a) Unless indicated otherwise, absolute configurations were confirmed by chemical correlation with diamine (S)-B91 or bromo-isoquinoline (S)-B86b. (b) Assigned by comparison of chiral HPLC behavior of structurally related products. (c) Absolute configuration is $(R)$ because of different substituents priority order: $(R)$ configuration still corresponds to Noyori model for asymmetric induction (Figure B7). (d) Absolute configuration determined by Noyori group ${ }^{16 a}$ (X-ray crystallography).

### 2.5. Summary.

1. Ruthenium(II) catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation is directed mainly by sterical factors. Substrates with bulky ortho-substituents influence the reduction enantioselectivity by favoring specific rotamer in one of competing diastereomeric transition states for hydrogenation. Ruthenium catalyst influence dominates in the case of sterically less demanding isoquinolines. Dihydroisoquinolines with methoxy groups yield reduction products with higher optical and chemical outcome. Relative strength of factors controlling the reduction course can be arranged in a following order:

Ortho-substituent > isoquinoline methoxy groups > Ru catalyst ligand
2. Ruthenium catalysts modified by tosyl and para-fluorophenylsulfonyl group in general show similar selectivity toward tested substrates. 1-Naphthylsulfonyl ruthenium catalyst demonstrates higher enantiodifferentiation ability toward substrates with low sterical demands.
3. To achieve high reduction yields substrates must be especially pure. Small amount of contaminant can cause a serious drop in chemical outcome and enantioselectivity.
4. Absolute configuration can be established using Noyori's model for asymmetric induction.

## 3. Practical application of the Noyori reduction.

3.1. Synthesis of chiral sulfonamides by direct transfer hydrogenation of the corresponding imines.

Moderate enantioselectivities ( $70-85 \%$ ee) and high purity levels required for anilino-imine B80 due to catalyst inhibition by contaminant indazole N -oxide B81 make direct Noyori hydrogenation unattractive for the synthesis of desired chiral diamines B84 and B91. In contrary, excellent ee values (93-99\%) observed in reduction of N -tosylanilides are encouraging despite the high $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst loading needed. Because several methods for mild N -sulfonyl group cleavage ${ }^{29}$ have been reported this approach could be an alternative route to chiral diamines B84 and B91. Furthermore, chiral N -sulfonyldiamines themselves are asymmetric proton donor with enhanced $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ acidity, potentially useful for protonation of ester and amino acid enolates.

Additional advantage is that all necessary substituents are introduced in racemic 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline prior to the asymmetric reduction. This allows creating a chiral center at the end of reaction sequence, thus avoiding further multi-step chemical manipulations with optically active reduction product. We hoped to diminish catalyst loading and to increase chemical yields by finding an appropriate protecting group for sulfonamide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$. Proposed approach was employed for synthesis of chiral N -sulfonylisoquinolines.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}= & \text { p-tolyl, } \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \text { 1-naphthyl, 2-naphthyl } \\
& \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \text { methoxymethyl }
\end{aligned}
$$

3.1.1. Chiral sulfonamides via asymmetric reduction of N -benzyl protected 3,4-dihydroisoquinolines. Racemization problems.

As follows from Table B10, reduction of N -protected sulfonyl-3,4dihydroisoquinolines resulted in higher chemical outcome and consumed less catalyst compared to N -unsubstituted sulfonamides (entries 13-14 vs. 11-12). Initially, N benzyl group was chosen as the protecting group for prep-scale synthesis of chiral tosylamide B99.

## Scheme B14



N-Benzyl protected sulfonamide B96 was prepared in $68 \%$ yield from tosylamide B95a. Asymmetric reduction ( 2.5 mM scale) was carried out using $6.3 \mathrm{~mol}-\%$ tosylRu catalyst. After quench the mixture contained reduced chiral isoquinoline B98 together with unreacted starting material B96. Because preparative scale separation by flash chromatography was complicated due to close $R_{f}$ values and unsuitable elution order (product comes out of column after starting material) the reduction product B98
was not isolated, ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}$ but in situ converted to N -trifluoroacetanilide B97. This transformation reversed elution order and allowed an efficient separation of product B 98 (in form of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-amide $\mathbf{B 9 7}$ ) from starting dihydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{B 9 6}$. Besides, N trifluoroacetamide B97 was readily crystallized from ethyl alcohol thus further increasing both chemical and optical purity. ${ }^{\text {G }}$

N -trifluoroacetyl and N -benzyl protecting group cleavage turned out to be critical step in the reaction sequence. Only method suitable for racemization-free removal of trifluoroacetyl group was treatment with methanolic MeONa in THF for 72 h at room temperature. Chiral isoquinoline B98 ( $99 \%$ ee) was obtained in almost quantitative yield ( $98 \%$ ). The following alternative methods were unsuccessful: ${ }^{30}$
(a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 4: 1,120 \mathrm{~h}$, room temperature failed to give deprotected isoquinoline $\mathbf{B 9 8}$;
(b) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$in absolute MeOH led to incomplete conversion after 120 h at room temperature (product $<20 \%$ );
(c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF} 1: 1$ (5 h reflux) caused partial racemization ( $>95 \%$ chem. yield and $93 \%$ ee).

Treatment of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-amide $\mathbf{B 9 7}$ with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ under transfer hydrogenation conditions $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OOCH}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ 5:1, 5 h reflux) cleaved both N trifluoroacetamide and N -benzyl groups ( $75 \%$ yield of deprotected sulfonamide), however product N-tosylanilide B99 was partially racemized (87\% ee). Surprisingly, stopping reaction prior to completion ( 2 h reflux, ca. $60 \%$ conversion) yielded N -tosyl diamine B99 racemized to a smaller extent ( $96 \%$ ee). The same degree of racemization ( $87 \%$ ee) was observed upon $N$-benzyl group cleavage in isoquinoline $\mathbf{B 9 8}$ under transfer hydrogenation conditions ( $72 \%$ isolated yield). Furthermore, when catalytic hydrogenation ${ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ was employed to remove N -benzyl group, desired diamine $\mathbf{B 9 9}$ obtained was still partially racemized $(96 \%$ ee). These results indicate that unsubstituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{B 9 8}$ and $\mathbf{B 9 9}$ are apt to partial racemization in the presence of Pd catalyst and hydrogen source, however mechanism

[^5]is not clear. It should be pointed out that optical purity of both compounds B98 and B99 can be increased by crystallization. Besides, N-benzyl protecting group cleavage is as a matter of optimization. Meanwhile, lack of available racemization-free method for the removal of N -benzyl protecting group forced us to investigate alternative ways for the synthesis of chiral N -sulfonyldiamines.
3.1.2. Practical scheme for N -sulfonyldiamines synthesis. Methoxymethyl protecting group.

To avoid N -benzyl group cleavage problems more labile N -methoxymethyl (MOM) protecting group was employed. ${ }^{\text {I }}$

Scheme B15.


Sulfonamides B95a-d, prepared by treatment of aniline B80 with the corresponding sulfonylchloride in pyridine, were converted to sodium salts by NaH in THF and alkylated with MOM-Cl. Protected isoquinolines B100a-d were isolated in 56-72\%

[^6]yield, accompanied with a byproduct. Taking as a basis NMR spectra and elemental analysis, by-product was identified as N-formyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B104:


The structure of formamide B104 was verified by synthesis from anilino-3,4dihydroisoquinoline B80.


Although byproduct was isolated and characterized particularly in synthesis of MOMprotected tosyl and 2-naphthylsulfonamides, it was observed in all the MOMprotection reactions. The formation of byproduct B104 from sulfonamides B100a-d could in principle proceed via imine B104a in the presence of an excess of base, however no attempts were made to prove the mechanism:


B104

Asymmetric reduction of MOM-protected substrates B100a-d yielded chiral 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines in excellent enantioselectivities and good chemical yields (Table B15).

Table B15. Asymmetric reduction of MOM-protected N-sulfonyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines B100a-d ${ }^{\text {a }}$.


| Entry | R | ee <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Isolated yield <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | B101 | B100 |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | tolyl | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 58 | 30 |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | methyl | $\mathbf{9 3}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $18^{\text {b }}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | 1-naphthyl | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | 53 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | 2-naphthyl | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | 73 | 22 |

(a) In the presence of $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{B 7 6}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ :substrate molar ratio $55: 1$, reaction time - 84h. (b) Determined for the corresponding crystalline N -trifluoroacetamide B102b.

After quench the reduction mixture contained products B101a-d together with unreacted starting material B100a-d. For the same experimental reasons as in the case of N -benzyl substituted sulfonamide $\mathbf{B 9 8}$ the reduction products were not isolated ${ }^{\mathrm{J}}$ and converted in situ to N-trifluoroacetanilides B102a-d.

It should be pointed out that the cleavage order of N -trifluoroacetyl and N methoxymethyl protecting groups is crucial. Preliminary N-MOM group cleavage experiments with tosylamide B101a showed that anticipated isoquinoline B99a forms in $29 \%$ yield after 3 h reflux in 1 N HCl , while the undesired cyclization product B105 was formed in $60 \%$ yield.

[^7]

Heterocycle B105 chemical structure ${ }^{\mathrm{K}}$ was verified by synthesis from racemic diamine B84-rac.


To avoid the formation of heterocycle B105 it was decided to cleave N-MOM group prior to N -trifluoromethyl protection removal. Thus, N -methoxymethyl group was hydrolyzed in boiling $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(18 \mathrm{~h})$ to give sulfonamides B103a-d (Scheme B15) in $87-93 \%$ yield without affecting N -trifluoroacetyl group. The latter was then hydrolyzed by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in wet methyl alcohol $\left(36 \mathrm{~h}\right.$ at $\left.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to give the desired chiral sulfonyldiamines B99a-d.

The reaction sequence (Scheme B15) allowed to prepare a family of potentially useful, chiral aniline derivatives B99a-d having enhanced $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ acidity, from the corresponding imines B100a-d with high enantiomeric purity ( $>99 \%$ ee after crystallization). However, the number of steps required due to the problems with catalyst inhibition, as well as the complications with the protecting group chemistry, make this approach laborious, especially for preparative scale synthesis of various CAPTIQ analogs.
3.2. Preparative scale synthesis of (S)-1-anilino-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline. Reinvestigation the hydrogenation stereochemistry of N sulfonamides.

While direct reduction of unsubstituted anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines B80 and B93 occurs with moderate enantioselectivity and requires high substrates purity levels, hydrogenation of suitably N-protected analogs B95, B96 and B100 is fairly

[^8]laborious and demands high catalyst loading ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). Alternative and more direct route to desired chiral diamines B84 and B91 would have to involve asymmetric hydrogenation of imines with substituent that can be converted into nitrogen functionality. Suitable candidates are bromo-isoquinolines B85a-b because a number of methods for aromatic halogen replacement by amines have been reported. ${ }^{31}$ Moreover, asymmetric hydrogenation of ortho-bromo imines B85a-b employing 0.67 or $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst proceeded without any of the complications encountered with the various ortho-amino derivatives and afforded products with excellent enantioselectivities (94-99\% ee, see Table B12).

## Scheme B16.



As the reaction mixture contained hydrogenation product (S)-B86b, accompanied with byproduct N -formamide (up to $19 \%$ ) and unreacted starting material B85b, product isolation from the reaction mixture was critical, especially for prep-scale hydrogenation. It was found that the reduction product can be conveniently isolated and purified as a hydrochloride salt. Furthermore, when B86b was released from the hydrochloride with aqueous base, $>99 \%$ ee was measured for the recrystallized material. Thus, the asymmetric hydrogenation and subsequent crystallization provides material with excellent enantiomeric purity and in reasonable yield. Scaling up to 18 g afforded product in $62 \%$ yield and with $10 \%$ loss in chemical yield compared to 0.5 mM scale.

With practical access to $>99 \%$ enantiomerically pure $(S)$-B86b, the problem of replacing bromide by an amino group was investigated. This proved to be relatively
easy by an adaptation of the method published in 1968 by Ott et al. ${ }^{31 a}$ Thus, arylbromide (S)-B86b was treated with liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in the presence of copper powder and CuCl in a Parr reactor at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. The product (S)-B84 was obtained in $82 \%$ yield after crystallization ( $99 \%$ ee). In a similar process, ( $S$ )-B86b reacted with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{CuCl}$ to afford $(S)$-B106, $80 \%$ isolated yield after crystallization, $99 \%$ ee. Bromine displacement in the presence of catalytic $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{CuCl}$ is Ullmann-type reaction. The reaction readily occurs with simplest liquid amines ${ }^{31 a, b}$ (reactivity order: dimethylamine $>$ methylamine $>$ ammonia) and can be easily scaled-up. Higher boiling amines such as $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine gave lower yields (30\%) under the usual conditions.

The asymmetric hydrogenation - amination sequence provided sufficient amount of $(S)$-B84 to allow the reinvestigation of the sulfonamide derivatives. After protecting secondary amine nitrogen as the Cbz derivative $(S)$ - $\mathrm{B} 107, \mathrm{~N}$-sulfonylation could be carried out without complications, and deprotection ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ) gave sulfonamides B99a-d. The absolute configuration of chiral sulfonamides B99a-d prepared from ( $S$ )-bromophenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline ( $S$ )-B86b was the same as for material prepared by asymmetric reduction of protected N -sulfonylamino-3,4dihydroisoquinolines B100a-d (Scheme B17). ${ }^{\text {L }}$

Scheme B17.


X = Tolyl, methyl, 1-and 2-naphthyl

[^9]Thus, asymmetric reduction of various N -sulfonylamino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines ( $S$ )-B100a-d follows the Noyori's asymmetric induction model ${ }^{16 \mathrm{a}}$ and affords chiral isoquinolines with $S$ absolute configuration

### 3.3. Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}$-(dimethylsulfamoyl)anilino-isoquinoline.

As our objective was synthesis of various acidic CAPTIQ B73 analogs, N,N-dimethylsulfamoyl-anilide $\mathbf{B 1 0 8}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DMSO}) \sim 12-14\right)^{32}$ was chosen as synthetic target. Initially, direct asymmetric hydrogenation of suitably protected sulfamoylanilide B107 was tested.


Serious problems, however, were encountered upon attempts to synthesize sulfonamide B107. Thus, treatment of aniline B80 with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsulfamoyl chloride in pyridine gave unexpected cyclic sulfonamide B112 (Scheme B18).

Scheme B18.


B112

It is believed that upon formation, the desired sulfonamide B109 in the presence of base equilibrates with the deprotonated anilide B110, showed as 2 resonance forms. Because there is no nucleophile in the reaction media (except of unreacted aniline B80) intramolecular attack by nucleophilic isoquinoline nitrogen on sulfur could in principle take place with formation of 6 -membered ring. Alternatively, formation of cyclic sulfonamide B112 proceeds via sulfonylamine B111 followed by intramolecular [4+2]type cycloaddition. ${ }^{33}$ According to another possible pathway reaction proceeds via ring alkylated sulfamoylamide B113 (Scheme B19).


Because of unexpected difficulties in the synthesis of sulfamoylamide B107 an alternative route to desired product B108 was employed starting from chiral aniline $(S)$-B84. Isoquinoline nitrogen in diamine (S)-B84 was selectively protected by Cbz group and subjected to reaction with 10 -fold excess of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsulfamoylchloride for 48 hours at room temperature. Flash column chromatography gave mixture of desired N -sulfamoyl derivative B114 (37\% yield) and starting material (S)-B84 (22\% recovery) accompanied by dimer B116 (41\% yield) and tetramethylsulfamylamide B115.


$$
\begin{gathered}
+\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NSO}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2} \\
\text { B115 }
\end{gathered}
$$

Large excess of sulfamoylchloride was employed to increase sulfamoylation rate and to diminish amount of unreacted Cbz -isoquinoline, thus avoiding the formation of dimeric product B116.

(S,S)-B116

The formation of sulfonylamines from the corresponding sulfamoylchlorides or sulfamoylphthalimides in the presence of base traditionally has been used to generate substrates for cycloaddition reactions. ${ }^{33}$ To avoid sulfamoylamine ( $S$ )-B117 formation, Cbz-aniline (S)-B107 was treated with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsulfamoyl chloride in the presence of only 1.5 eq of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ in THF. No product was observed after refluxing for 8 h . Obviously pyridine is necessary because it acts not only as HCl scavenger but also as sulfamoylation catalyst, forming activated amide B118.


To exclude presence of any base sulfamoyl pyridinium chloride B118 was prepared separately and added (4 eq) to Cbz-aniline (S)-B107 solution in MeCN. Surprisingly, no product (S)-B114 was detected after 18 h stirring at room temperature. Thus, the reaction in pyridine so far is the only applicable method for preparation of sulfonamide (S)-B114.

Cbz group removal by catalytic hydrogenation in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ failed in EtOAc, but succeeded in glacial AcOH to give the desired chiral isoquinoline ( $S$ )-B108 in $91 \%$ yield. In a similar manner protecting group was removed in bisproduct $(S, S)$-B116 to give bis-sulfonamide ( $S, S$ )-B119 (Scheme B22).


### 3.4. Preparation of various CAPTIQ analogs with increased N - H acidity.

To avoid complications with synthesis of Noyori hydrogenation substrates, optically active Cbz-protected anilino-isoquinoline (S)-B107 was employed as a starting material for the preparation of various CAPTIQ analogues. N-Acyl, Nphosphinyl and N -2-pyridyl groups were introduced in a straightforward manner followed by Cbz protective group cleavage to afford chiral anilines $\mathbf{B 1 2 0 - B 1 2 3}$.

Scheme B22.

(S) $-\mathrm{B} 120: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(S)-B121: R = I-naphthyl
(S)-B122
(S)-B123

Slightly modified Buchwald's procedure ${ }^{34}$ was employed for 2-pyridyl group introduction in aniline (S)-B107. Triamine (S)-B123 is potentially a promising chiral proton donor because tridentate ligand has an increased ability to coordinate lithium.
3.5. Specific properties of $\mathbf{N}$-anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline system.

## Acetyl group migration.

Initially the preparation of N -acetanilide B120 was attempted via transfer hydrogenation of (N-benzyl-N-acetyl)anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B124. Complications encountered in the synthesis of the reduction substrate B124 forced to pursue alternative routes and desired N -acetyl substituted chiral diamine ( S )-B120 was later prepared from chiral starting material (see above). Attempts to synthesize Nacetyl 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B124, however, revealed specific properties of 1-anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline system that are worth to be mentioned in brief.

Reaction of aniline $\mathbf{B 8 0}$ with AcCl in pyridine (standard procedure used for N sulfonamides B95a-d) caused the precipitation of a yellow material almost immediately after acid chloride was added. Alternatively, aniline B80 was treated with neat acetic anhydride. Major products isolated from both reactions were identical according to elemental analysis and matched the calculated values for the corresponding N acetylaniline. Surprisingly, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra showed that both products have similar set of signals, arranged, however, in a different pattern. Careful NMR spectra interpretation allowed to assign major product structures for each reaction. Thus, anticipated N -acetanilide B 125 was formed in the reaction of aniline $\mathbf{B 8 0}$ with neat $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ without added base, while treatment with AcCl in pyridine afforded a surprisingly stable ortho-quinone imine B126.

Scheme B23.


B125
Ortho-quinone imines or aza-ortho-xylylenes generally are unstable and usually have been generated in situ for various cycloaddition reactions. ${ }^{35}$ Structurally related 1-
alkyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline derived N -acyl enamides were prepared by acylation in pyridine. ${ }^{19 d, 36}$

Even more intriguing is the rearrangement of quinone imine B126 to N acetanilide $\mathbf{B 1 2 5}$ in the presence of base. Acetyl group migration (rearrangement) to aniline nitrogen in DMF in the presence of NaH occurs in less than 30 minutes (first aliquot taken from the reaction mixture after 30 min . showed that reaction is already completed). Although considerably slower, rearrangement takes place even in the presence of dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{d}_{7}$ and the process can be observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.


It is believed that rearrangement can proceed via a six-membered intermediate B127. Several attempts to trap the possible intermediate B127 were made. Initially, benzyl bromide in DMF and dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was employed and instead of anticipated O-benzyl ether B129 rearranged acetanilide B125 was isolated as the major product, accompanied with benzophenone B128:

## Scheme B24.



The formation of ring-opening product $\mathbf{B 1 2 8}$ is assumed to occur via alkylation of rearranged isoquinoline B125 nitrogen with a strong alkylating agent - benzyl bromide.

Scheme B25.


One equivalent of water necessary for the formation of benzophenone $\mathbf{B 1 2 8}$ formally can be generated from $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and 2 eq. of HBr (formed upon alkylation with BnBr ). Alternatively, ring-alkylated product B129 can undergo intramolecular cyclization to B130. Subsequent alkylation with another molecule of BnBr results in a charged structure B131 that generates benzophenone B128 upon aqueous workup (Scheme B26):

## Scheme 826.



To avoid the formation of ring opening product B129 a weaker electrophile benzyl chloride as well as cooling to $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ was employed. Quinone imine B126 was added to NaH ( 1.3 eq ) suspension in DMF immediately followed by benzyl chloride ( 5 eq). After stirring for 6 h reduced N -benzyl isoquinoline B 132 was isolated as the main product in $88 \%$ yield (Scheme B27). Evidently, benzyl chloride alkylated the rearranged product and the resulting isoquinolinium salt was reduced by NaH . In contrary, if benzyl chloride is added to the mixture of NaH and substrate $\mathbf{B 1 2 6}$ beforehand stirred for $12 h, \mathrm{~N}$-benzyl acetanilide B133 was isolated as minor product (17\%) together with unreacted acetyl migration product B125.

Scheme B27.


Similar results were obtained employing DMSO as a solvent. Such a diverse outcome can be understood assuming that acetyl group migration occurs in the presence of a catalytic amount of base. When benzyl chloride is added immediately after NaH , acetyl group has already migrated, whereas the resulting N -acetanilide B125 is not yet deprotonated, because NaH is insoluble in DMF and forms a heterogenous system. As a result, alkylation occurs on isoquinoline nitrogen. On the other hand, after 12 hours rearranged acetanilide B125 is completely deprotonated and added benzyl chloride alkylates amide nitrogen yielding the desired amide B133. Summary of the above reactions is given in Scheme B28.


### 3.6. Toward 1-naphthyl diamine.

Naphthyl tetrahydroisoquinolines B136 are of special interest as potential asymmetric proton donors. Because of limited access to suitably substituted naphthalenecarboxylic acids two general ways to the desired compounds were chosen. Path A provides introduction of appropriate substituents in 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B134 and subsequent creation of chiral center while path B includes modification after asymmetric reduction of unsubstituted substrate B135.

Scheme B29.




B133



B136

## Examination of Path A.

3,4-Dihydroisoquinoline $\mathbf{B 1 3 4}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ was prepared in 3 steps from commercially available naphthalene-1-carboxylic acid B133 via Bischler-Napieralski cyclization of corresponding $\beta$-phenethylamide in $58 \%$ overall yield. Initially ortholithiation was employed for the introduction of substituents in $\beta$-position of naphthalene ring in B134, with the hope that lithiated naphthalene B134 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Li}$ ) is stabilized via chelation by isoquinoline nitrogen. ${ }^{37}$


B134 (X=Li)
sec-Butyllithium was employed for lithiation and instead of anticipated ortho-methyl product after quench with MeI 1,4-Michael-type addition products syn-B137 and antiB137 were isolated in a $1: 4$ ratio (determined by NMR).


Similar 1,4-type Michael addition of various lithium amides to naphthyloxazolines have been studied by Meyers group. ${ }^{38}$ They observed competitive 1,6-type versus 1,4- . conjugated addition and found that sterical factors are responsible for addition regioselectivity. ${ }^{38 b}$ We decided to exploit 1,4-Michael type addition for the introduction of amino group in naphthylisoquinolines. Both in Meyer's studies and our experiment with sec-BuLi aza-enolate formed after conjugated addition of nucleophile was trapped by MeI thus interrupting aromatic system. To regenerate aromatic naphthalene it was necessary to use electrophile that could be subsequently removed. Phenylselenyl chloride was chosen as an aza-enolate quenching agent because it can be oxidatively eliminated ${ }^{39}$ with regeneration of naphthalene aromatic system.


The addition product B138 was not isolated; however, it had similar TLC behavior to the adduct B137. Treatment with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (MCPBA) yielded 2:1 mixture of two isomers according to NMR. It has been impossible to determine whether they are two regioisomers B139 and B140 ${ }^{40}$ or two diastereomers of B139. ${ }^{\mathrm{M}}$ Nevertheless, our approach was successful and it potentially can be employed for the introduction of substituents at the ortho-position of various l-substituted naphthalenes. With efficient synthetic approach in hand model studies were necessary to simplify NMR spectra interpretation and to test further crucial asymmetric transformations.

[^10]Ortho-substituted naphthyl-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline as a model compound for NMR and asymmetric hydrogenation studies was prepared from commercially available 2-hydroxy-1-naphtoic acid B141.


B143
The reaction of naphthoic acid B 141 with excess BnBr gave bis-benzylated derivative Benzyl ester hydrolysis failed with $50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ in DMF and with NaOH in DMSO at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Carboxylic acid B142 was prepared only by employing more harsh hydrolysis conditions - solid NaOH in boiling ethyleneglycol. Conversion to acid chloride, followed by reaction with $\beta$-phenethylamine and cyclization afforded the desired isoquinoline in $61 \%$ yield (from acid B142). Debenzylated isoquinoline was detected as a cyclization byproduct. As anticipated, 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B143 exists as a mixture of atropoisomers. ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$

Further synthetic strategy required either the resolution of racemate B143 into atropoisomers with subsequent asymmetric reduction of a pure enantiomer or achiral reduction followed by the resolution of racemic product. Surprisingly, no reduction product was observed by applying Noyori asymmetric transfer hydrogenation conditions ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ tosyl-Ru catalyst) to isoquinoline B143 (see also Table B10). Moreover, preliminary experiments showed that even $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ reagent in glacial acetic acid ${ }^{41}$ does not reduce 3,4-dihydroisoquinoline B143 under standard conditions. Evidently, access to either side of planar $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ system for 2 -substituted naphthyl isoquinoline is hindered. In contrary, unsubstituted analog B134 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ ) can be hydrogenated with excellent enantioselectivity (98.1-98.7 \% ee) and in high yield (82$88 \%$, see Table B10, entry 15 vs. 16).

Consequently, synthetic strategy based on the reduction of 2 -substituted naphthyl isoquinoline (Path A, Scheme B29) turned out to be unsuccessful. Further attempts will be directed towards the modification of chiral unsubstituted 1-naphthyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline B135 (Scheme B29).

[^11]
### 3.7. Summary.

1. Noyori asymmetric transfer hydrogenation using tosyl-Ru catalyst B76 is effective for the enantioselective hydrogenation of imines B96 and B100a-d, having fully substituted nitrogen groups. On the other hand, number of steps required because of the problems with catalyst inhibition and complications with the protecting group chemistry, combined with high catalyst loading ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) make this approach relatively laborious and expensive.
2. N-Unsubstituted l-anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines $\mathbf{B 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{B 9 3}$ can be hydrogenated with moderate enantioselectivity ( $71-85 \%$ ee), but required impractical purity levels for the substrate
3. The best hydrogenation results were obtained with the bromophenyl imine B85. In the case of $\mathbf{B 8 5 b}$, the product $(S)$-B86b was formed with $98.7 \%$ ee, and the material could be upgraded to $>99 \%$ ee by the crystallization of the hydrochloride salt. Scale-up to 18 g was carried out with a $10 \%$ loss in yield without encountering other complications.
4. Reaction of ( $S$ )-bromophenyl-isoquinoline $\mathbf{B 8 6 b}$ with liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{CuCl}$ gave the desired chiral aniline (S)-B84. Chiral diamine ( $(S)$-B84 was successfully employed as a chiral starting material for the synthesis of various N substituted anilino-tetrahydroisoquinolines as potential asymmetric proton donors. Thus, asymmetric hydrogenation of bromo imine B85b combined with the coppercatalyzed amination currently is the method of choice for the synthesis of CAPTIQ analogues.
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## Chapter C

## Asymmetric Protonation of Lithium Enolates

## 1. Literature review.

The enantioselective proton transfer from a chiral proton source to enolate is a powerful and attractive method for the synthesis of enantioenriched carbonyl compounds, because there is no need to use classical resolution or a covalently bonded auxiliary, which introduce additional steps. Racemic ketones, esters and amides can be deracemized in one step by an enolization - enantioselective protonation sequence. ${ }^{1}$ In the most practical examples, a simple extractive procedure is sufficient to separate the chiral acid from the desired carbonyl compounds.


Despite the conceptual simplicity, proton transfer is a complicated process and enantioselectivity depends on a number of variables.

1) $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ relationship between a proton source and enolate.

A chiral acid H-A* must discriminate between the prochiral faces of the planar enolate and as small as $\sim 5.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{\mathrm{A}}$ difference in free energies of activation ${ }^{2}$ $\left(\Delta \Delta G^{*}\right)$ between diastereomeric transition states accounts for proton transfer selectivity. Consequently, enantioselective protonations are kinetically controlled reactions and under thermodinamic control racemic products are obtained. If $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ difference between enolate and proton donor is insufficient, the rates of enolate protonation (forward reaction) and reverse reaction (deprotonation) are comparable. This results in equilibrium between protonated substrate and enolate (thermodynamic process) and after quench racemized substrate is obtained. Large $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ difference decreases reverse reaction (deprotonation) to the minimum value and makes proton transfer irreversible. However, there is a risk of the excessively rapid and therefore less selective enolate quenching if $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ difference is too large. Therefore, the choice of a chiral proton donor of appropriate acidity is essential and low temperatures as well as short reaction times enhance the chances of success. Evidently, it is impossible to

[^12]design a universal proton donor that could be used for a range of enolates varying from ketones to amides, because of substantial difference in enolate basicity. Instead, better understanding of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ relationships between enolate and chiral acid would in principle allow to create a certain set of proton donors that could be practically used for deracemization of various carbonyl compounds. Despite a large number of reports on enantioselective kinetic protonations, no systematic invesigations of the pKa relationship between chiral acids and enolates have been made up to date.
2) Enolate geometry.
$E$ - and 2 -enolates exhibit different enantiofacial selectivities, because two diastereomeric transition states for the protonation of the $E$-enolate are different from those for the $Z$-enolate. It is therefore important to minimize the amount of that enolate isomer which leads to lower, possibly reversed asymmetric induction.
3) Enolate-proton donor complex properties. Aggregation and complexation.

Lack of precise information concerning transition state structures complicates design of effective proton donors. It is generally accepted, however, that the preferred trajectory for the C-protonation of enolates is a vertical approach of the "proton" to the enolate $\pi$-system plane, with a preferential colinear arrangement between donor atom, proton and acceptor atom. Optimally, the transferred proton should be located near the stereogenic center (within the "chiral environment").

Considering the enolate-chiral acid complex formation as the first step in deracemization reaction, it can be assumed that protonation enantioselectivity is controlled at the stage of the mixed aggregate formation. Efficient chiral proton donors generally have electron-rich groups capable to chelate or coordinate enolate counterion, usually lithium, thus enhancing conformational rigidity in the transition state. The best lithium coordinating agents are various nitrogen and/or oxygen containing bi- and tridentate ligands. ${ }^{3}$

Additional variables that influence the structure, aggregation and reactivity of metal enolates complexes are Lewis basicity of solvent and the concentration of metal salts. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ These variables presumably affect also the transition state for proton transfer from a chiral acid to an enolate. The following literature examples will highlight the most important of these effects.

Duhamel was the first to achieve practical results by protonation of an enolate (Scheme C 1 ). ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ By appropriate selection of the ester group in the diacyl tartrate C 2 -

C5, the product ( $S$ ) C1 could be obtained in up to $54 \%$ ee. Methyl esters C2 gave essentially racemic product, but increasing the ester steric bulk resulted in higher ee. In the best case, the di-adamantyl ester C5 gave 54\% ee.

## Scheme C1.




It was also found that substituents on the aromatic ring had an effect on the enantioselectivity. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ Increasing enolate $\mathbf{C 1}$ basicity by electron donating groups in the benzylidine group enhanced ee up to $61 \%$ in the case of the $p$-dimethylamino group. In contrast, decreasing basicity relative to the unsubstituted benzaldehyde by electron withdrawing groups diminished the ee to $12 \%$ in the case of the $p$-cyano group.


Based on these pioneering studies more practical levels of enantiocontrol were achieved by Fehr and Galindo. ${ }^{5}$ The addition of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ at $-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the ketene $\mathbf{C} 6$ affords a 97:3 (E:Z) ratio of ketone enolate C7 isomers. Protonation of this enolate mixture with excess C8 afforded product (S)-C9 with an impressive 96\% ee (Scheme C2). However, if the enolate was quenched with only 0.95 equiv. of C8 and the residual enolate trapped with TMS-Cl, then (S)-C9 was recovered in greater than 98\% ee along with a ca. $1: 1(E: Z)$ ratio of enol silane isomers. Enolate that is protonated at a lower rate and with lower selectivity $(Z)$ is trapped by TMS-Cl, along with some
unreacted $(E)$ isomer (Scheme C2). These results indicate that the major enolate isomer $(E)$ has a large kinetic advantage in the protonation step.

## Scheme C2.



Using the same chiral acid C8, Fehr extended the scope of the protonation reaction to thioester enolates and reported the highest level of enantiocontrol for a proton transfer reaction to date. ${ }^{5 b}$ Various $\alpha$-cyclogeranate ester derivatives C10 were deprotonated with $n$-BuLi at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Quenching the enolate $\mathbf{C 1 2}$ with chiral alcohol C8 revealed a large effect of the ester substituent on proton transfer enantioselectivity (Scheme C3). Simple esters (C10, X=OMe) were protonated with modest selectivity ( $36 \%$ ee). The analogous phenyl ester improved the ee ( $77 \%$ ee), but a dramatic increase in ee was observed with the aryl-thiol esters, achieving $99 \%$ ee.

Scheme C3.


Fehr suggested that the protonation of the simple esters suffered from insufficient $\mathrm{OLi} / \mathrm{OMe}$ differentiation and from faster, less selective proton transfer due to the higher pKa of the ester enolate compared to the thioester.

In a similar, highly sterically differentiated enolate system, Takeuchi has also proposed that the enolate geometry is important issue. ${ }^{6}$ The samarium enolates C14
were prepared by $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ catalyzed allyl group addition to the ketene $\mathbf{C 1 3}$ by allyl iodide. Enolate C14 quench with chiral diol C15 affords the enantioenriched product C16. The similarity between the ee's and the $(E / Z)$ ratio of the enolate $\mathbf{C 1 4}$ suggested that the $(E)$ and $(Z)$ enolate are each protonated with high stereocontrol, but with opposite enantiofacial attack (Scheme C4).

## Scheme C4.




Table C1. Correlation between samarium enolate geometry and protonation enantioselectivity.

| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | Enolate C14 geometry <br> $(\%, E$ or $Z)$ | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ph | Et | $85(Z)$ | $84(R)$ |
| Ph | Me | $92(Z)$ | $91(R)$ |
| $\mathrm{PhCMe}_{3}$ | Me | $>98(Z)$ | $97(R)$ |
| $n-\mathrm{BuCMe}_{3}$ | Me | $>98(Z)$ | $91(R)$ |
| $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | Et | $29(E)$ | $29(S)$ |

A report from Hünig illustrates the large role that solvent can play in determining the enantioselectivity of proton transfer from a chiral acid to enolate (Scheme C5). ${ }^{7}$ Deprotonation of heterocycle $\mathbf{C 1 7}$ by LHMDS forms the enolate. After quenching with chiral alcohol C18, low ee's were observed when THF or ether was the solvent. However, small amounts of THF in ether were found to dramatically improve the ee of the product. The best solvent ratio was $9: 1$ ether/THF, which afforded enantioenriched lactone (S)-C17 with $72 \%$ ee.

## Scheme C5.



Yamamoto has reported the protonation of some simple cyclic ketone C19 and C23-25 enolates with a chiral proton donor C22 derived from Kemp's triacid (Scheme C6). ${ }^{8}$

Scheme C6.






The enolate C20 was prepared from the silyl enol ether C19 by reaction with MeLi. Enantioselective proton delivery from imide C22 afforded the enantioenriched ketone C21 in 68\% ee. Other enolates examined in a similar manner showed that bulk near the reaction site increases the ee (C23, 87\% ee). Interesting that change to the cyclopentanone C24 caused an increase in enantioselectivity ( $96 \%$ ee) compared to cyclohexanones. However, the stereoselectivity in the cyclopentanone series proved to be very sensitive to the $\alpha$-substituent and C25 was obtained with only $78 \%$ ee.

Yamamoto's system also illustrates the strong protonation results dependence on lithium salts and counterions. ${ }^{8 b}$


Table C2. Lithium salt and solvent influence on enolate C27 protonation enantioselectivity

| Entry | Additive (eq) | Solvent | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{LiBr}(1)$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{LiBr}(5)$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{LiCl}(5)$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 77 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(5)$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
| 6 | - | THF | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{LiBr}(\mathrm{l})$ | THF | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{LiBr}(5)$ | THF | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

Addition of an equimolar quantity of LiBr to silyl enol ether $\mathbf{C} 26$ improved protonation enantioselectivity from 74 to $83 \%$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (entry 2 vs. 1). Further increasing the lithium concentration to 5 equiv. resulted in higher ee's ( $90 \%$, entry 3 ). The source of the lithium ion is also a factor, because 5 equiv. of LiCl or $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ instead of LiBr lowered enantioselectivity (from $90 \%$ to $77 \%$, entries $4-5$ ). Noteworthy, the increase of enantioselectivity due to the presence of lithium salts is less pronounced in the more Lewis basic THF. Thus, one equivalent of LiBr in THF solvent raised the ee from 63 to $79 \%$ (entries 6-7), but no further increase in the ee was observed upon addition of more lithium salt (entry 8).

Similarly, Asensio also observed that LiBr is superior to other salts as an additive. Moreover, highest protonation enantioselectivities were observed when LiBr was present in reaction mixture during enolate generation. ${ }^{9}$ This was rationalized by change in enolate structure due to mixed aggregate formation with extra lithium cation. ${ }^{3}$

Several groups have succeeded preparing 2-benzyl cyclohexanone C30 in enantiomerically enriched form using a chiral acid to protonate the corresponding lithium enolate C29 (Scheme C7). ${ }^{10}$ Fuji used the chiral piperazine hydrochloride salt C31 and achieved up to $70 \%$ ee. ${ }^{10 a}$ Ohta found that higher enantiocontrol could be accomplished by C32 as the chiral acid. ${ }^{10 b}$ The highest enantiocontrol has been observed using sulfoxide C33 and selenoxide C34. Kosugi reported that $\beta$-hydroxy sulfoxide C33 reacted with the same enolate C29 with excellent enantiocontrol (97\% ee). ${ }^{10 \mathrm{c}}$ The chelating ability of the sulfoxide group presumably plays a large role upon formation of enolate-chiral acid complex. Finally, Koizumi has used the hydroxyselenoxide C34 to deracemize ketone C30 in $62 \%$ ee. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~d}}$ The addition of $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ prior to quenching of the enolate with C34 improves the ee ( $89 \%$ ).

Scheme C7.



Chiral acids:

ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$,


C34

1) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 62 \%$ ee
2) $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 89 \%$ ee



C31 ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1)$ $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%$ ee


C32
ether, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 79 \%$ ee

Important to the current work is the report by Vedejs, Lee and Sakata that amide enolates are protonated by a chiral diamine with high enantiocontrol (Scheme C8). ${ }^{11}$ In contrast to the enolate protonations already discussed, C35 has a high tolerance toward enolate structural modifications. Both $\alpha$-aryl C36-C37 and $\alpha$-alkenyl C38-C41 propionamides are deracemized in $>90 \%$ ee. However, branching at the $\beta$ position affects enantioselectivity as evidenced by modest ee's in the case of C42. In most cases, protonation occurred exclusively at the $\alpha$-position, but in C39 and C41, $\gamma$ protonation was competitive which afforded $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amides as by-products.

Scheme C8.



C39, $n=1 ; 95 \%$ ee $n=2 ; 97 \%$ ee


C40, $97 \%$ ee
C41, 95\% ee


C42, 53\% ee

The protonation results suggest that the pKa relationship between chiral acid C35 and enolate is important. Excellent enantiocontrol ( $97 \%$ ee) achieved in proton transfer between the amide enolate C36 $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DMSO})=31\right)$ and proton donor C35 $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DMSO})=27.7\right){ }^{11}$ indicates that $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ of 3-4 is optimal ( pKa match). This $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ corresponds to reaction that is exothermic enough to make reverse proton transfer (deprotonation) slow under the reaction conditions, and in the same time sufficiently slow in the forward direction to allow adequate discrimination between diastereomeric transition states.

Although highly enantioenriched $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated amides C36-C42 have became available by the reaction of diamine C35 with the corresponding amide enolates, the direct protonation of analogous ester enolates with C35 does not go to completion. Evidently, chiral acid C35 is not acidic enough to irreversibly protonate ester enolate and mixed enolate-diamine complex has been destroyed upon quench yielding racemic or poorly enantioenriched substrate ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$. To promote irreversible proton transfer prior to quench, various Lewis acid such as $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}$ has been used. The added Lewis acid interacts with chiral amine C35 nitrogen lone pairs, thus increasing $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond acidity what results in rapid C-protonation through an "internal proton return" (IPR) mechanism. ${ }^{12}$ Important weakness of the IPR technique is that the enantioselectivity is strongly influenced by solvent and temperature, stoichiometry, order of mixing and choice of Lewis acid as well as external quenching agent. In contrast, if exothermic proton transfer occurs between a chiral acid and an enolate ("direct protonation"), the ee of the product is not affected by the quenching agent. Thus, to achieve the direct proton transfer to ester enolates, chiral acids with enhanced acidity compared to C35 are required.

In many of discussed examples simple aqueous workup allows efficient recovery and reuse of the chiral acid. There are clear advantages, however, in use of sub-stoichiometric quantities of expensive chiral proton donor and catalytic variants of previously reported stoichiometric protonations have been recently developed. Utilization of a catalytic amount of chiral acid $\mathbf{A}^{*}-\mathbf{H}$ requires an additive $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{H}$ that can serve as stoichiometric proton source. In addition to $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ matching requirement between the chiral acid $\mathbf{A}^{*}-\mathbf{H}$ and enolate (equation 1, Figure C 1 ), kinetic acidity of

[^13]achiral proton source $\mathbf{R - H}$ became a crucial issue. ${ }^{13}$ Non-chiral acid R-H must efficiently discriminate between an enolate (carbon base) and lithiated chiral proton donor $\mathbf{A}^{*}-\mathrm{Li}$ (heteroatom base, lithiated amines or alkoxides) respectively, equation 2 should be dominant over equation 3 (see Figure C 1 ):

Figure $\mathbf{C 1}$.

$\mathbf{A}^{*}-\mathbf{H}$ : Chiral acid
R-Li
R-H: Non-chiral proton source

It has been observed that proton transfer between a heteroatom base and a carbon acid is essentially much faster than transfer involving a carbon acid and a carbon base. ${ }^{12}$ In other words, rates at which proton is transferred to a base are different, respectively, kinetic acidities are different. Therefore the requirements for $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ matching (thermodynamic acidity) between stoichiometric chiral acid $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{H}$ and protonated enolate are considerably less demanding than for enolate and a chiral proton donor $\mathbf{A}^{*}-\mathbf{H}$. Despite great efforts toward understanding of proton transfer processes, the practical choice of both chiral acid $\mathbf{A}^{*}-\mathbf{H}$ and non-chiral proton source R-H still is a matter of trial-and-error procedure. The most successful catalytic asymmetric protonation examples are summarized in Scheme C9.

Scheme C9.
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## 2. Asymmetric protonation experiments. Methods and objectives.

Literature background clearly shows that each successful deracemization is a result of a careful and laborious adjustment of protonation conditions, additives and solvents. Generally, deracemization is highly substrate sensitive and even small changes in enolate structure are responsible for a significant drop in enantiocontrol. The obvious reason for the dominating trial-and-error approach to deracemization is lack of comprehensive understanding of main issues responsible for enantioselective proton transfer, such as lithium enolate-chiral acid complex geometry as well as pKa relationships between a proton donor and substrate.

It has been demonstrated that commercially available chiral diamine CAPTIQ C35 is highly effective for protonation of a range of amide enolates. ${ }^{11}$ Based on these results it was also proposed that pKa difference of 3-4 units between a chiral acid and enolate is optimal to achieve high enantiocontrol. Our objective was to examine pKa and enantioselectivity relationship. Because the chiral acid environment in commercially available diamine C35 has been demonstrated to be highly effective in the protonation of amide enolates, the goal was to utilize the same scaffold generating proton donors with different acidity. Besides, better understanding of deracemization process would allow to design a chiral acid for deracemization of various synthetically important enolates of amino acids and esters.

### 2.1. Asymmetric protonation of naproxen-N,N-diisopropylamide.

Various chiral isoquinolines (see Chapters A and B for the synthesis) were compared in their ability to protonate amide enolates that are optimized substrates for commercially available chiral acid CAPTIQ C35. Treatment of the amide at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1.75 equivalents of $\sec -\mathrm{BuLi}$ formed the orange colored enolate. After 15 minutes 2 equivalents of a chiral proton source was added (within 5 minutes), the reaction mixture was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then slowly warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Quenching with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution afforded $\mathbf{C 4 6}$ in $>90 \%$ yield. Acid/base extraction routinely returned the chiral acid in $>85 \%$ yield. Deracemization results are summarized in Table C3.


Table C3. Deracemization of naproxen- $N, N$-diisopropylamide.

| Entry | Chiral acid | R | X | $\mathrm{pK}_{3}(\text { DMSO })^{\text {a }}$ | ee (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (S)-C47 | i-Pr | H | ~29-30 | 4 (S) |
| $2^{\text {c }}$ | (S)-C48 | Me | H | 29.0 | 90 (R) |
| 3 | (R)-C49 | H | H | ~28-29 | 19 (S) |
| $7^{d}$ | (R)-C35 | Me | Cl | 27.7 | 97 (R) |
| $5^{\text {c }}$ | (R)-C50 | Me | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 25.3 | 93 (R) |
| 6 | (S)-C51 | Ph | H | 23.2 | 10 (?) |
| 7 | (R)-C52 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | H | 11.7 | 53 (R) |

(a) Unless otherwise noted pKa (DMSO) values are estimated as described below, using pKa values of parent anilines(see reference 14c) (b) Absolute configuration of deracemized amide C46 was determined by chiral HPLC behavior on Pirkle(S,S)- $\beta$ -GEM-1 and comparison with the product from entry 4. (c) We wish to thank Dr. A. Kruger for testing chiral acids C48 and C50 as asymmetric proton donors. (d) Entry form reference 11.

Not surprisingly, the previously optimized CAPTIQ C35 gave the best enantioselectivity ${ }^{11}$ (entry 4). Trifluoromethyl-aniline C50 afforded slightly reduced ee's (entry 5), however, this is the best result of all tested CAPTIQ analogs. The dechloro-analog C48 (entry 2 ) turned out to be somewhat less selective, while N unsubstituted aniline C49 and N -phenyl analog C51 showed a sharp reduction in ee to 19\% and 10\%, respectively. N-Isopropyl aniline C47 gave essentially racemic product (entry 1), while sulfonamide C52 afforded unexpectedly high deracemization enantiocontrol (entry 7).

### 2.2. Discussion.

### 2.2.1. pKa relationship between a chiral acid and enolate.

Serious problem with a detailed pKa analysis is that the exact $\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{THF})$ values of the chiral acid C35 as well as amide and ester enolates are not known. Most pKa values for organic compounds are measured in DMSO as the solvent. ${ }^{14}$ Polar
aprotic solvent DMSO promotes formation of solvent separated ion pairs by strong solvation of the cation and disfavors aggregation of ions. In contrast, THF is a relatively non-polar aprotic solvent and ion pairing in THF is common in order to reduce charge separation. Moreover, alkyllithium reagents and enolates in THF solutions are stabilized by aggregation into dimers, tetramers and higher order structures. ${ }^{32}$ On the other hand, solvate properties (monomer vs. aggregate equilibrium as well as contact vs. solvent-separated ion pairs) strongly depend on the degree of carbanion delocalization. ${ }^{15 b}$ For instance, highly delocalized carbanions tend to form monomeric solvent-separated ion pairs with lithium as a counter-ion.

Due to the differences in ion pairing and aggregation effects it is difficult to compare pKa values in DMSO versus THF. Studies of Streitwieser, ${ }^{15}$ however, enable raw extrapolations between these solvents. In general, the pKa in THF is lower than the $\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{DMSO})$ because of stabilization due to ion pair formation and aggregation. For example, the pKa (DMSO) of diphenylamine $\mathbf{C 5 3}$ is 24.95 and the lithium ion pair pKa in THF is $19.05^{15 \mathrm{a}}$ (Figure C2). Similarly, the pKa of $t$-butyl phenylacetate C54 is 23.6 in $\mathrm{DMSO}^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ and 19.6 in THF. ${ }^{15 b}$ Surprisingly, pKa difference of $\sim 3$ units between ester C54 and amide C55 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) enolates observed in DMSO is substantially diminished in THF. Thus, ester enolate C54 and structurally similar amide $\mathbf{C 5 6}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ enolate ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ show comparable lithium ion-pairs acidity ${ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ of 19.6-19.8 $\mathrm{pKa}{ }^{15 \mathrm{c}}$ in THF as a solvent.

Figure C2.
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C55: $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=26.6(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
C56: $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=19.77(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$
C56: $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=20.36(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et})$

[^14]Because of steric hindrance to conjugation in the anion, ${ }^{15 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylamide C56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) is found to be more acidic by $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{THF})$ units than $N, N$-diethyl analog $\mathbf{C} 56(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et})$. Further basicity increase $(\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{THF}) \sim 21)$ could be anticipated for the corresponding $N, N$-diisopropyl amide $\mathbf{C 5 6}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{iPr})$. Interestingly, that Vedejs ${ }^{11}$ achieved excellent enantiocontrol ( $97 \%$ ee) in deracemization of structurally related $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylamides C36 and C38 by CAPTIQ (C35) (see Scheme C8):


Thus, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{THF})$ of lithium amide C53 and enolates C54-C56 are 4 to 6 units below the corresponding $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DMSO})$ values. This allows to use known $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DMSO})$ in the parent aniline system, directly measured in DMSO by Bordwell. ${ }^{14}$ The evaluation has been made easier by measuring the pKa of CAPTIQ C36 ( $\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{DMSO})=27.7$ ). ${ }^{11}$ Equilibrium acidity of parent $p$-chloroaniline is 29.4 and the 1.7 pKa unit increase for diamine $\mathbf{C 3 6}$ compared to $p$-chloroaniline could be attributed to stabilization of the conjugate base (the anion) through an intramolecular hydrogen bond. ${ }^{16}$ This evidently surpasses destabilization of lithium anilide by N methyl group. Thus, to estimate the pKa of the chiral acids, the 1.7 pKa unit correction factor was subtracted from the parent aniline derivatives.
$\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{DMSO})$ values of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-aniline $\mathbf{C 5 0}$ (protonation of amide $\mathbf{C 4 6}$ enolate afforded $93 \%$ ee) and para-unsubstituted analogue C48 (amide C46 was obtained with $90 \%$ ee; see Table C3, entries 2 and 5) span the crucial $\sim 3-4 \mathrm{pKa}$ units below equilibrium acidity of naproxenamide $(\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{DMSO})=30-31)^{\mathrm{E}}$ Surprisingly, N unsubstituted aniline C49 having the optimal pKa value (see Table C3, entry 3) displays unexpectedly low enantiocontrol ( $19 \%$ ee). Similarly, N-phenyl analog C51 (Table C3, entry 6 ), being only 2.3 pKa units more acidic than $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-aniline shows a large drop in enantioselectivity ( $10 \%$ vs. $93 \%$ ee, resp.). Obviously, it is impossible to rationalize these results only by pKa issue. Furthermore, sulfonamide $\mathbf{C 5 2}$ (Table C3, entry 7) affords enantioenriched naproxenamide with $53 \%$ ee despite the fact that it is

[^15]much more acidic than all previously described chiral acids and pKa difference between enolate C46 and C52 is larger than 15 pKa units.

One important experimental observation should be pointed out. Chiral acid CAPTIQ changes the orange enolate color to yellow when reactants are combined in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similar color changes were observed for proton donors C49 and C51. Color shift evidently indicates formation of a new aggregate - chiral acid-enolate complex. Upon warming yellow color faded suggesting the proton transfer is completed. ${ }^{11}$ In the case of N -isopropylaniline $\mathbf{C 4 7}$, however, orange enolate color turned to "Soviet" red which is a color of N-deprotonated aniline. Within the next ca. 10 minutes intense red color slightly turned back to orange and did not changed further. Evidently, proton transfer equilibrium between enolate and diamine was established and quenching at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded racemic naproxenamide (entry 1 , Table C3). Similar equilibrium acidities calculated for amide enolate C46 and estimated for the chiral acid $\mathbf{C 4 7}(\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{DMSO})=30-3 \mathrm{l})$ supports this observation. Consequently, to achieve essentially irreversible proton transfer, the chiral acid must have DMSO pKa $\geq 3$ units below that of protonated substrate ("upper pKa level"). This so far is in agreement with the pKa match principle. ${ }^{11}$

Completely different picture was observed in the case of sulfonamide C52. Orange enolate color disappeared already upon addition of the chiral acid C52 and colorless mixture formed at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This observation suggests a fast exothermic proton transfer upon addition of the chiral acid. It was believed that a rapid proton transfer should result in enantioselectivity drop, because in this case transition state becomes progressively earlier and with a larger intermolecular distance. The increased distance should reduce the specific interactions in the competing diastereomeric transition states. ${ }^{17 a}$ Relatively high enantiocontrol achieved by sulfonamide C52 $53 \%$ ee at $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}>15$ units) suggests that strong complexation and aggregation ability of a chiral acid could compensate diffuse early transition state. Compared to a family of chiral anilines C35 and C47-50 $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsulfamoylaniline $\mathbf{C} 52$ has additional groups capable to complex lithium, thus favoring stronger aggregates formation. Consequently, it appears that "lower pKa level", respectively, ultimate acidity of a chiral proton source below the crucial $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ of 3 units is less important for high enantiocontrol if a chiral acid possesses functional groups with good lithium chelating ability.

### 2.2.2. Structural features of a chiral acid.

Considering that the enolate-chiral acid complex formation is the first step in deracemization reaction, it can be assumed that protonation enantiocontrol is largely influenced by conformational rigidity and stability of the complex. The mixed aggregate stability in turn depends on the strength of coordination of the chiral proton donor to lithium, ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}$ what evidently matches optimum in the case of N -methyl anilines C35, C48 and C50 (table C3, entries 2,4 and 5, respectively). CAPTIQ and its analogs as diamines with C3 bridge between nitrogens structurally are similar to TMEDA, a frequently used bidentate ligand for lithium. It is known, however, that TMEDAlithium interaction is strong in the sterically least demanding lithium derivatives and weak in sterically congested environments. ${ }^{3 b}$ Moreover, steric effects in diamine can significantly affect its Lewis basicity. ${ }^{16}$ In our case it would mean that more sterically demanding substituents at aniline nitrogen (i-Pr and Ph vs. Me) could decrease strength and conformational rigidity of a mixed aggregate that results in enantioselectivity drop (compare entries 1,6 and 4, Table C3).

Furthermore, because aniline transfers its acidic $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton to enolate, introduction of substituents with different electronical and sterical demands at aniline nitrogen could modify bond angles, thus changing position of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton in the chiral pocket. Although there is no information about the actual structure of mixed chiral aniline-enolate complex, high enantiocontrol achieved by CAPTIQ suggests that there is optimum position of transferable hydrogen in N -methyl aniline series. On the other hand, Kruger ${ }^{17 a}$ compared X-ray structures for CAPTIQ C35 and nitroanalog C53 and found that in CAPTIQ the dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond and the plane of the aniline is $13.6^{\circ}$, while in C53 it is only $1.3^{\circ}$. Evidently, nitro group rehybridizes the crucial aniline nitrogen resulting in complete lost of enantiocontrol. ${ }^{17 a}$
Figure C3.
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Most likely, sharp drop in enantioselectivity upon aniline $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substitution by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ph}$ group (entry 6, Table C3) can be rationalized by unfavorable bond angles in crucial aniline nitrogen environment. Similar effect is assumed to dominate for N unsubstituted aniline C49 (entry 3, Table C3). Moreover, chiral acid C49 has two potentially transferable protons.

Thus, one should be very careful introducing various acidifying groups both at aniline nitrogen and at anilino aryl group in order to diminish pKa of a chiral acid. On the other hand, unfavorable change of transferable proton location in a chiral environment eventually could be compensated by introduction of additional lithium coordinating groups into a chiral acid. This conceptual approach is demonstrated to be effective in case of $N, N$-dimethylsulfamoyl-aniline C52 (53\% ee; see entry 7, Table C3).

### 2.2.3. Enolate geometry.

Under protonation conditions naproxenamide enolate exists a 14:1 ratio of $Z: E$ isomers. Such ratio of isomers could give a maximum empirical ee of $87 \%$ if $100 \%$ enolate face selectivity for the protonation is assumed for each enolate isomer. ${ }^{12 a}$ Since CAPTIQ shows unexpectedly high enantioselectivity (97\%) in protonation reaction, it was proposed that either enolate isomer ( $Z$ or $E$ ) could afford the same dominant enantiomer. A speculative structure of lithium enolate-diamine complex shows that rotation around isoquinoline carbon-aryl bond may be able to compensate for enolate Z or E geometry via the initial formation of the two alternative complexes A and $\mathbf{B}$ which, after proton transfer, both produce the same enantiomer (Scheme Cl0). ${ }^{G}$
(F) Diamine should also compete with an excess of strong donor solvent THF for coordination site on lithium (see ref. 2).
(G) There is no information about the actual structure of enolate-diamine complex and simplified monomeric aggregates $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are shown. Diamine in the proposed complex is assumed to have the same conformation as the starting aniline in the solid state (X-ray structure, ref. 17b).


## Scheme C10.



Presumably, rotational barrier around carbon - aryl bond would result in decrease of protonation enantioselectivity, however, no attempts have been made so far to prove this assumption.

### 2.3. Deracemization of esters and a lactone.

A number of a chiral isoquinoline CAPTIQ 35 analogs such as C55-C59 were designed and prepared (see Chapter B) as potential proton donors for deracemization of various esters and amino acids (Figure C4).

Figure C4.
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Equilibrium acidities for chiral acids C55-C59 were estimated as described earlier for CAPTIQ analogs C47-C52, using available pKa values measured directly in DMSO for parent anilines. Similarly the equilibrium acidities of esters C60-C61 and lactone C62 were evaluated.

Isoquinolines C55-C59 contain methoxy-substituents that were necessary to achieve higher enantiocontrol in asymmetric synthesis via Noyori transfer hydrogenation. To verify if remote alkoxy groups are tolerated in asymmetric
protonation, diamine C48 and its dimethoxy analog C54 were compared in deracemization of naproxenamide C46. Surprisingly, the reaction proved somewhat more sensitive to temperature changes compared to the analogous process using C48. Thus, under standard conditions C54 afforded enantioenriched naproxenamide with $82-84 \%$ ee, however, a procedure modified to control the exotherm resulting from addition of C54 to the enolate gave C46 with $88.8 \%$ ee. The corresponding experiment under standard conditions using C48 resulted in 90\% ee (entry 2, Table $\mathrm{C} 3)$. Thus, methoxy substituents somehow diminish protonation enantioselectivity. On the other hand, the difference is relatively small and therefore methoxy-substituted chiral acids C55-C59 were applied for the deracemization of esters C60-C61 and lactone C62 (Table C4).


C60


C61
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Table C4. Protonation of esters C60-C61 and lactone C62 enolates. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Entry | Substrate (pKa(DMSO)) | Base | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chiral acid } \\ \text { (pKa(DMSO)) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7^{b}$ | C60 (-23) | sec-BuLt | C35 (27.7) | 0 |
| 2 | C60 | Mesityl-Li | C55 (20-22) | 19 |
| 3 | C60 | sec-BuLi | C55 | 24 |
| 4 | C60 | Mesityl-Li | C56 (20.3) | 57 |
| 5 | C60 | sec-BuLi | C56 | 47 |
| 6 | C60 | Mesityl-Li | C57 (17.1-18.3) | 4 |
| 7 | C60 | Mesityl-Li | C58 (11.7) | 15 |
| 8 | C60 | Mesityl-Li | C59 (10.3) | 6 |
| 9 | C61 (20-21) | Mesityl-Li | C56 (20.3) | 17 |
| 10 | C61 | Mesityl-Li | C59 (10.3) | 23.5 |
| $11^{c}$ | C62 (19.7-20.4) | Mesityl-Li $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ | C35 (27.7) | 72 |
| 12 | C62 | Mesityl-Li | C55 (20-22) | 17 |
| 13 | C62 | Mesityl-Li | C56 (20.3) | 0 |
| 14 | C62 | Mesityl-Li | C57 (17.1-18.3) | 2 |
| 15 | C62 | sec-BuLi | C52 (11.7) | 68 |
| 16 | C62 | Mesityl-Li | C58 (11.7) | 58 |
| 17 | C62 | Mesityl-Li | C59 (10.3) | 28 |

(a) All reactions were performed in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using 1.75 eq of the corresponding base. (b) Entry from reference 17a, p. 32. (c) Entry from reference 17b, p. 136.

The enolates of esters C60-C61 and lactone C62 were generated by treatment with 1.75 equivalents of mesityl-Li at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, prepared in situ from bromomesitylene and $t$-BuLi. After 15 minutes 2 equivalents of a chiral proton source was added (within 5 minutes), the reaction mixture was kept at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then slowly warmed up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Quenching with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution afforded products, while acid/base extraction allowed to recover chiral acids C55-C59.

Mesityl- Li is usually used to minimize a risk of nucleophilic attack of alkyllithium (sec-BuLi) on ester carbonyl group. Entries 2-3 and 4-5 show that generally there is no difference between $s e c-\mathrm{BuLi}$ and mesityl-Li. The best deracemization enantiocontrol in the case of BHT ester C60 was achieved using N -pyridyl-aniline C56 (entry 4). It should be noted that a chiral acid C56 used in deracemization experiments could not be made crystalline and was used as an oil. It is known, however, that even minor impurities in chiral acid significantly decrease deracemization enantioselectivities. ${ }^{G}$

Deracemization of chelated ester C61 enolate was less successful even employing the most acidic proton donors available (entries 9-10).

Somewhat more promising enantiocontrol was achieved in deracemization of 1-naphthylvalerolactone C62 using chiral sulfonamides C52 and C58 (entries 15-16). Lower enantioselectivity was observed with methoxy substituted chiral acid C58 ( $68 \%$ ee) compared to unsubstituted analog C52 ( $58 \%$ ee). ${ }^{\text {H }}$ Noteworthy, that $68 \%$ enantioselectivity (entry 15) so far is the best direct protonation example observed for valerolactone C62. CAPTIQ $\mathbf{C 3 5}$ required $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ activation (internal return protocol, $72 \%$ ee $)^{12}$ because the substrate $\mathbf{C 6 2}$ is more acidic than a chiral acid C35 (entry 11). Use of Fehr catalyst C8 ${ }^{5}$ (see Schemes C2 and C3) affords product with low $10-12 \%$ enantioselecitivity ${ }^{17 \mathrm{a}}$ and this is an additional evidence that all successful protonations are highly substrate sensitive and require laborious and careful optimization.

Thus, initial screening revealed two potentially effective chiral acids C52 and C56 for deracemization of various ester enolates. Further attempts will be directed toward optimizing both the chiral acid and deracemization conditions.
(G) Initially deracemization of naproxenamide C46 by commercially available CAPTIQ C35 (Aldrich) afforded $85 \%$ ee (refs. 17b), however, enantioselectivity was significantly improved to $97 \%$ ee simply by several crystallizations of the chiral acid (refs. 11).
(H) Similar effect of remote methoxy groups was observed for N -methyl anilines C 48 and C54 (see above).

### 2.4. Protonation of amino acid enolates.

The acidic nitrogen in N-benzoyl-alanine methyl ester C64 and the corresponding phenylglycine ester C63 required two equivalents of base to form the anion enolates. Thus, treatment of the racemates C63-C64 in THF with 2.5 eq mesityl-Li (prepared in situ) at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h was followed by quenching with chiral acid. After stirring for 30 min . the solution was allowed to warm to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added.


C63: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
C64: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$
Table C5. Asymmetric protonation of amino acid esters C63-64.

| Entry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Substrate } \\ \text { (pKa(DMSO)) } \end{gathered}$ | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chiral acid } \\ \text { (pKa(DMSO)) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C63 (26-28) | -78 | C35 (27.7) | 73 |
| 2 | C63 | -78 | C55 (20-22) | 0 |
| 3 | C63 | -78 | C56 (20.3) | 5 |
| 4 | C63 | -78 | C59 (10.3) | 0 |
| 5 | C64 (19-21) | -78 | C55 (20-22) | 27 |
| 6 | C64 | -100 | C55 | 28 |
| 7 | C64 | -78 | C56 (20.3) | 15 |
| 8 | C64 | -78 | C57 (17.1-18.3) | 2 |
| 9 | C64 | -100 | C58 (11.7) | 12 |
| 10 | C64 | -78 | C59 (10.3) | 36 |

The most basic CAPTIQ C35 showed the highest enantioselectivity for alanine ester (entry 1). All the more acidic analogs were either completely unselective (entries 2-4) or displayed a low enantiocontrol (entries 5-10). To avoid a risk of exceedingly rapid proton transfer from acidic sulfonamides C58 and C59 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the temperature was lowered to $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In contrast to promising enantiocontrol observed for lactone enolate C62, disappointing result was achieved with phenylglycine (entry 9). Furthermore, more acidic arylsulfonamide C64 afforded enantioenriched C64 with higher enantioselectivity (entry 10 vs. 9).

It is obvious that pKa values, enolate $E / Z$ geometry and steric preferences of the proton donor-amino acid enolate aggregates are different from those of naproxenamide C46. Furthermore, evaluation of the pKa values of C63 and C64 is difficult, as the corresponding deprotonated form of these amino acids is dianion. On the other hand, relatively high enantiocontrol in deracemization of alanine ester C63 with CAPTIQ C35 (entry 1, Table C5) indicates that position of the transferable proton in a chiral pocket of CAPTIQ evidently is close to optimum also for alanine enolate. ${ }^{1}$ Consequently, further efforts will be directed toward design of a chiral proton donor with enhanced acidity and the chiral environment at aniline nitrogen as close as possible to that in CAPTIQ.

[^16]
### 2.5. Summary.

1. Excellent enantioselectivities ( $90-93 \%$ ee) were achieved in asymmetric protonation of naproxen- $N, N$-diisopropylamide enolate $\mathbf{C 4 6}$ using chiral N -methyl anilines C48 and C50. Attempts to improve the best enantiocontrol obtained so far ( $97 \%$ ee) using commercially available chiral acid CAPTIQ C35 have been unsuccessful.
2. Deracemization experiments suggested that for an essentially irreversible proton transfer, the chiral acid must have DMSO $\mathrm{pKa}>3$ units below that of protonated substrate ("upper pKa level"). On the other hand "lower pKa level", respectively, ultimate acidity of a chiral proton source below the crucial $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ of 3 units is less important for high enantiocontrol if a chiral acid possesses functional groups with good lithium chelating ability.
3. CAPTIQ evidently has optimum positioning of the transferable proton within "chiral environment", what results in excellent enantiocontrol not only for naproxenamide C46 but also for lactone C62 and alanine Me-ester C63. Consequently, change of aniline nitrogen hybridization (bond angles) could eventually lead to the drop in deracemization enantioselectivity.
4. Promising enantioselectivities have been observed in deracemization of BHT ester C60 (57\% ee) using N-pyridyl aniline C56 and in protonation of lactone enolate C62 ( $68 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) with $N, N$-dimethylsulfamoyl aniline C52. Deracemization of amino acids so far has afforded disappointing results. Further attempts will be directed toward optimization of deracemization conditions and the structure of a chiral acid using information obtained in preliminary experiments
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## Conclusions.

1. N-phthalyl group was found to be the best protection for aniline in BischlerNapieralski cyclization. Nitro-substituted $\beta$-phenethylamide is superior as cyclization substrate to the corresponding N -protected anilines. Cyclization of bromo(or chloro)phenyl- $\beta$-phenethylamides and halogen displacement by liquid ammonia or lower alkylamines is the method of choice for the synthesis of 1 -anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines.
2. Resolution of racemic tetrahydroisoquinolines via diastereomeric salts crystallization technique requires extensive trial-and-error procedure for every particular substrate. The method was effective ( $>99.5 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) only for preparation of non-racemic 1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2-methylamino)phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline and its 5 -unsubstituted analog by the crystallization of diastereomeric tartrates.
3. (R)-O-Acetylmandelic acid is an excellent chiral auxiliary for resolution of tetrahydroisoquinolines with aniline subunit. Chiral auxiliary cleavage afforded seed crystals of (S)-1-(2-aminophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline A32 with $>99 \%$ ee. Crystallization of diastereomeric tartrates in the presence of seed crystals was successful for the preparative scale resolution of racemic A32.
4. Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation using Ru catalyst is effective for the enantioselective hydrogenation of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-disubstituted 1 -anilino-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines. N-Unsubstituted analogues were hydrogenated with moderate enantioselectivity ( $71-85 \%$ ee), but required impractical purity levels for the substrate. Presence of nitrogen functionality in the substrate diminishes chemical yields and requires increased chiral Ru catalyst loading (up to $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ).
5. The best hydrogenation results were achieved with bromophenyl imine B85. (S)-1-(2-bromophenyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline was obtained with $98.7 \%$ ee using $0.67 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of a chiral Ru catalyst. Hydrogenation was readily scaled-up with a $10 \%$ loss in yield, but without encountering other complications.
6. Reaction of (S)-bromophenyl-isoquinoline with liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{CuCl}$ gave (S)-1-(2-aminophenyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline. Asymmetric hydrogenation of bromo-imine B85 combined with the copper-catalyzed amination currently is the method of choice for the synthesis of CAPTIQ analogs.
7. High enantioselectivities ( $90-93 \%$ ee) were achieved in asymmetric protonation of naproxen- $N, N$-diisopropylamide using chiral 1-(5-trifluoromethyl-2methylamino) phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline and its 5-unsubstituted analog Promising enantioselectivities have been observed in deracemization of O-benzyllactic acid BHT ester ( $57 \%$ ee) using chiral N-pyridyl aniline and in protonation of 3-(2-naphthtyl)-6,6-dimethylvalerolactone enolate ( $68 \%$ ee) with $N, N$ dimethylsulfamoyl aniline.
8. Deracemization results affirmed that pKa relationship between a proton donor and an enolate is crucial for high enantiocontrol. For essentially irreversible proton transfer, the chiral acid must have DMSO pKa $>3$ units below that of protonated substrate.
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# СИНТЕЗ РАЦЕМИЧЕСКИХ 1,2,3,4-ТЕТРАГИДРОИЗОХИНОЛИНОВ И ИХ РАЗДЕЛЕНИЕ 


#### Abstract

Различными путями в реакции Бишлера-Напиральского получены 1-анилинзамещенные 3,4-дигидроизохинолины IIIа-л. Изучено влияние защитных групп у анилинового азота на ход реакции и найдена $N$-фталильная защита, устойчивая в условиях циклизации. Полученные дигидроизохинолины восстановлены до рацемических 1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолинов IVa-ж и проведено $u x$ разделение кристаллизацией диастереомерных тартратов. В двух примерах 1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолиныя (IVб, IVд) получены в оптически чистом виде (>99,5\% ее).


Повышенный интерес к 1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолинам (ТГИХ) связян с нахождением его производных в составе природных алкалоидов. В течение последних двух десятилетий проведен успешный энантиоселективный синтез многих изохинолиновых алкалоидов (например, лауданозина, ретикулина, ксилопинина, и салсалодина). Успехи синтеза изохинолиновых алкалоидов наглядно отражены в обзорной статье Развадовской [1]. Некоторые

производные этого класса соединений проявляют свойства депрессантов центральной нервной системы [2] и блокаторов рецепторов НМДА [3]. Но только в последние годы разработаны более интересные и оригинальные методы синтеза производных $1,2,3,4$-тетрагидроизохинолинов [3-7].

Совсем недавно один из представителей хиральных ТГИХ - 1-(2'-метиламино-5'-хлор)фенил-1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолин (CAPTIQ; реагент фирмы Aldrich) успешно применен в качестве эффективного донора протонов в стехиометрических [8-9], а также в каталитических количествах [10]. Хотя в последние годы разработаны некоторые интересные и оригинальные методы синтеза производных 1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолинов [3-7], публикации не дают общие методики по синтезу хиральньх замещенных 1 -анилин-ТГИХ.

В настоящей работе разработаны удобные методы синтеза рацемических 1-анилин-ТГИХ, и их разделение кристаллизацией диастереомерных солей с хиральными органическими кислотами (например, тартратами). Метод удобен в пользовании и недорогой, так как хиральный реагент можно использовать повторно после несложной кислотно-щелочной обработки.

Изохинолиновый цикл получают различньми способами, используя один из числа известньх методов Бишлера-Напиральского, Пикте-Шпенглера, Померантса-Фритша или Шлитлера-Миллера [1]. Формирование хиральньх тетрагидроизохинолинов путем циклизации Бишлера-Напиральского является наиболее привлекательной из числа вьше названньх методов, из-за возможности испољьзования легко доступньх бензойньх кислот I в качестве исходного вещества для получения амидов II. Циклизация последних приводит к 3,4-дигидроизохинолинам III, которые далее могут быть преврашены в

хиральные 1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолины IV двумя способами: прямым асимметрическим восстановлением двойной $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ связи, или восстановлением $с$ последующим разделением рацемата кристаллизацией его диастереомерньх солей. Асимметрическое восстановление является предметом отдельной публикации, а возможности кристаллизации диастереомерных солей изложены в настоящей работе.

Циклизация Бишлера-Напиральского хорошо изучена [6, 11, 12] й проходит через образование хлористоводородных солей имидоил хлоридов под действием хлорангидридов фосфора $\left(\mathrm{PCl}_{5}, \mathrm{POCl}_{3}\right)$ или серы $\left(\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}\right)$. Отщепление HCl приводит $к$ равновесной смеси имидоил хлоридов с соответствующими солями нитрилия. Последние под действием кислот Льюйса циклизуются до дигидроизохинолинов III. Поскольку нашей целью является синтез разных 1-анилин-ТГИХ IVа-ж, выбор подходяшей защитной группы для анилинового азота являлся ключевой проблемой. Известно, что циклизация моно- N -замещенньх анилин- $\beta$-фенилэтиламидов [2] в условиях БишлераНапиральского была безуспешной в случаях N -ацетил и N -тозил замещенных субстратов. Наш̈ выбор электронакцепторньх заместителей в 1 -арил-ТГИХ был основан на предположении, что повышенная поляризация амидной группы в $\beta$-фенэтиламидах II способствовало бы образованию имидоилхлорида и нитрилиевой соли. Сначала наш выбор защитньх групп пал на N -бензильную защитную группу (соединение ІІж, таблица 1). Мы предположили, что хлористоводородная соль ІІж увеличит электрондефицитньй характер анилинового заместителя, тем самим способствуя образованию дигидроизохинолинов. Однако, имеют место побочные реакции и с $16 \%$ выходом был выделен лишь дебензилированный продукт IIIд. Также

безуспешной оказалась циклизация $\beta$-фенилэтиламида $2-(\mathrm{N}-$ метил- N ацетил)аминобензойной кислоты. Повышение выхода (30\%) при использовании электронакцепторной тозильной группы у анилинового азота (амид IIe) направило нас на использование двух акцепторньх групп у анилинового азота.

Закономерно, наиболее успешным оказался выбор фталильной группы. Первоначальньй результат в классических условиях циклизации был неудачным (выход 20\%). Основываясь на цикле работ [11-12] по механизму реакции Бишлера-Напиральского, была произведена замена дегидратирующего агента $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ на $\left.\mathrm{PCl}_{5}\right)$ и растворителя (ксилол на хлороформ), а также добавлена кислота Льюйса $\left(\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right)$, что дало 3,4 -дигидроизохинолин IIIк с хорошим (73\%) выходом. Это является первым примером сохранения фталильного фрагмента в условиях Бишлера-Напиральского. Так, кипячение в течении 30 минут в хлороформе привело к образованию желтого осадка, который после прибавления кислоты Льюйса $\left(\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\right)$ изменил окраску до кирпично-красного. Изменение окраски указывает на формирование циклического продукта ІІк (3,4-дигидроизохинолины в кислой среде имеют интенсивно красную окраску). Увеличение загрузки (до 85 г) не влияло на выход реакции. Снятие защитньх групп проводили как описано ранее [13] и в результате дигидроизохинолин ІІк превращен в незамещенньй анилин ПІл с общим выходом $38 \%$ в двух стадиях.

Альтернативу прямой циклизации амидов $\mathrm{IIд-и} \mathrm{мы} \mathrm{нашли} \mathrm{в} \mathrm{прямом}$ аминировании 1 -(2-хлорфенил)-3,4-дигидроизохинолинов IIIа-г (схема 1) в условиях обменной реакции Ульмана [2]. Таким образом получены дигидроизохинолины ІІІд-3. Соединение ІІІз ранее не описано, а ПІж не

выделено в свободном виде, а охарактеризовано только после восстановления до конечного продукта IVб.

Исходные имины ІІІа-д получены из моно- или ди-замещенных бензойных кислот I (схема 1) или изатоангидридов Vа и Vб (схемы 2 и 3). Бензойные кислоты Іа-в коммерчески доступны, но некоторые из них (например, трифторметил производное Іб) дорогие. Поэтому, мы разработали метод двухстадийного синтеза последнего из 4-хлортрифторметилбензола (VI) (схема 4), путем селективного, низкотемпературного ( $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) металлирования соответствующего о-бром-хлорбензола VII.

Монобромирование 4-хлортрифторметилбензола (VI) проводили как описано ранее [14]. Проведение металлирования бромида VII (схема 4) при низких температурах существенно по двум причинам: 1) проходит селективное замещение атома брома в присутствии хлора [15], 2) снижается количество побочных реакций, в том числе дегидробромирование. При температурах выше $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ доминируют побочные реакции и реализуется формирование нежелаемого дегидробензола. Дополнительное стабилизирование карбаниона VIII наблюдается при использовании бидентатного лиганда тетраметилэтилендиамина, использование которого в литийорганической химии хорошо известно [16]. Обработка промежуточного карбаниона VIII сухим льдом приводит к карбоновой кислоте Іб с общим выходом $52 \%$ в трех стадиях. Метилсульфонилбензойная кислота Іг получена в трех стадиях по известной методике [17].

Альтернативньй подход синтеза 1-анилин-ТГИХ связан с выбором защитной группы более устойчивой для тяжельх условий реакции БишлераНапиральского. Подходящим кандидатом является нитро группа, которую

легко удается восстановить до аминной группы в присутствии $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ двойной связи 3,4-дигидроизохинолинов [18].

Все полученные 3,4-дигидроизохинолины восстановлены до TГИX IVaж цианоборгидридом натрия в уксусной кислоте [19]. Восстановление протекает в мягких условиях и дает более высокие выхода по сравнению с восстановлением $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ в этиловом спирте [2].

Для разделения рацемических ТГИХ [20] по литературной аналогии разделения $\quad 1$-(2'-метиламино-2'-хлор)фенил-1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолина (CAPTIQ) были выбраны оптически активные винные кислоты [2]. Также известно разделение диастереомерньх тартратов N -незамещенного аналога [21].

Для двух рацемических тетрагидроизохинолинов IVб и IVд разделение диастереомерньх тартратов после двухкратной кристаллизации из этилового спирта была весьма успешной (схема 5). Последующая обработка тартратов сильноосновным анионитом ИРА-401 (в $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$форме) дала свободные основания IVб и IVд с $>99.5 \%$ оптической чистотой.

Однако, нам до сих пор не удалось найти подходящий растворителяь для мало растворимьх тартратов рацемического нитро соединения IVв. Неудачным оказалось также и разделение рацемического метилсулфонилТГИХ IVг путем кристаллизации его тартратов из различных растворителей, а также солей с (+)-яблочной кислотой. Очевидно, различия растворимости диастереомерных солей IVг не достаточны для селективной кристаллизации. Процесс кристаллизации диастереомерных солей таким образом является строго субстрат-специфичньт, что существенно затрудняет разработку общей методики для решения вопроса.

## ЭКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛЬНАЯ ЧАСТЬ

Температуры плавления определены на нагревательном приборе Gallenkamp и не корректированы. Спектры ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ и ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ЯMP зарегистрированы на приборе Varian Mercury 200, внутренний стандарт ТМС. ИК спектры зарегистрированы на спектрометре Perkin Elmer 580 B в нуйоле или в таблетках KBr. Анализы ВЭЖХ проведены на системе Knauer с интегратором HewlettPackard HP 3396A. Колоночная хроматография проведена на силикагеле фирмы Acros (0.06-0.2 мм). Контроль за ходом реакций осуществляли с помощью TCX на пластинках Merck Kieselgel $60_{\text {F254. }}$. Значения оптического вращения определены на поляриметре Perkin Elmer 141 с использованием линий Na 589. Растворители - гексан, этилацетат, ацетонитрил, ДМФА, хлористый метилен и эфир перегнаны над $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ или $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, метанол перегнан над Mg , T Ф перегнан над $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ и Na -бензофеноном.

2-Хлор-5-трифторметилбензойная кислота (Іб). В 250 мл трехгорлой колбе, в атмосфере аргона помещают 120 мл сухого (перегнанного над Na бензофеноном) эфира, 50 мл $1,6 \mathrm{M}$ ( 80 ммоль) раствора n -BuLi в гексане и 7,0 мл (70,1 ммоль) свежеперегнанного (над натрием) тетраметилэтилендиамина. Полученную смесь охлаждают до $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (смесь метанола и жидкого азота) и в течении 5 минут прибавляют раствор 18,1 г (70 ммоль) 2-хлор-5трифторметилбромбензола (VII) (полученного по методике [14]) в 50 мл сухого эфира. Перемешивание при той же температуре продолжают еще в течении 20 минут, затем пропускают ток сухого углекислого газа. Через 3 часа

реакционную смесь нагревают до комнатной температуры, выливают в 250 мл воды, добавляют 1 н. соляную кислоту до pH 4 и отделяют органический слой. Водньй слой экстрагируют $3 \times 40$ мл хлористого метилена. Обьединенньх органических экстрактов упаривают при пониженном давлении. Остаток растворяют в 100 мл гексана и экстрагируют $4 \times 20$ мл 1 н. раствора NaOH . Водный слой подкисляют 1 н. соляной кислотой до pH 4 , осадок фильтруют, промывают водой и сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. Выход 13,8 г (88\%) 2-хлор-5трифторметилбензойной кислоты (Іб) с Тпл $90 \ldots 91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (в литературе [22] Тпл $90 \ldots 91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Спектр ПМР (хлороформ- $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ): 7,51-7,74 (2H, м, арил), $8,11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{c}$, арил), 9,7-10,2 м.д. (1H, шс, СООН).

Общая методика получения N - $\beta$-фенилэтиламидов дизамещенных бензойных кислот (Ша-г). 90 ммоль дизамещенной бензойной кислоты (Іа-г) растворяют в 75 мл хлористого тионила, добавляют 1 мл ДМФА и нагревают при кипячении в течении 12 часов. Избыток хлористого тионила упаривают, хлорангидрид закристаллизовывают при помощи сухого гексана, фильтруют и промывают несколько раз гексаном (5-нитро и 5-метилсульфонил производные), или перегоняют в вакууме (5-незамещенный и 5-трифторметил производные). Полученные хлорангидриды растворяют в 100 мл сухого диоксана и при $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ медленно прибавляют по каплям к суспензии 10,9 г (90 ммоль) фенэтиламина в 100 мл 1 н. раствора NaOH . Полученный осадок фильтруют, промывают 2 н. соляной кислотой, водой и сушат на воздухе. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 2 , выхода, температуры плавления, данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .
$\mathbf{N}$ - $\beta$-фенилэтиламид $\mathbf{2}$-метиламинобензойной кислоты (IIд) с $79 \%$ выходом получен из 97,5 г ( 620 ммоль) N -метилизатоевого ангидрида (Va) по

методике [23]. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 2, температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .
$\mathbf{N}-\beta$-фенилэтиламид $2-[\mathrm{N}-4$-метилфенилсульфонил-N-метиламино]бензойной кислоты (IIe) с $60 \%$ выходом получен из 48,8 г (192 ммоль) амида ІІд по методике [2]. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 2, темперачура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5.
$\mathrm{N}-\beta$-фенилэтиламид 2 -( N -бензил- N -метиламино)бензойной кислоты (ІІж). К раствору 2,54 г (10 ммоль) амида ІІд в 25 мл хлористого метилена добавляют раствор 2,12 г ( 20 ммоль) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ в 10 мл воды и 1,71 г ( 10 ммоль) свежеперегнанного бензилбромида. Реакционную смесь кипятят в течении 2 дней, водный слой отделяют и экстрагируют $2 \times 10$ мл хлористого метилена. Обьединенныт органическит экстрактs сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, растворитель отгоняют. Остаток растворяют в сухом эфире, и пропускают ток сухого хлористого водорода. Бесцветный осадок фильтруют, промывают эфиром и сушат. Получают 3,31 г ( $87 \%$ ) амида Іж. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 2 , температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .
$\mathbf{N}-\boldsymbol{\beta}$-фенилэтиламид 2-аминобензойной кислоты (IIз) с $75 \%$ выходом получен из 163,0 г (1.0 моль) изатоевого ангидрида по методике [2]. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 2, температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .
$\mathbf{N}$ - $\beta$-фенилэтиламид 2-( $\mathbf{N}$-фталимидо)бензойной кислоты (IIи). 24,0 г (100 ммоль) амида ІІз и 14,8 г (100 ммоль) фталевого ангидрида растворяют в 150 мл сухого бензола, добавляют 30,5 г ( 300 ммоль) триэтиламина и нагревают при кипячении с насадкой Дина-Старка в течении 48 часов. После добавления 3,0 г ( 20 ммоль) фталевого ангидрида кипячение продолжают еще

12 часов. После охлаждения выпадает осадок, который фильтруют, промывают бензолом, сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ и получают 20,1 г бесцветного амида IІи. Обьединенные фильтраты промьвают $5 \times 40$ мл 4 н. HCl , потом 2 x 40 мл насьщенного раствора $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ и сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. После упаривания растворителя, масло закристаллизовывают зародьшами кристаллов. Фильтрация, промывка бензолом дает дополнительно 12,0 г продукта. Общий выход 32,1 г (87\%) амида ІІ. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 2 , температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .

Общая методика получения 3,4-дигидроизохинолинов (IIIа-г; ІІик). К горячему раствору 60 ммоль амида II в 300 мл ксилола, добавляют 300 ммоль $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ и нагревают при кипячении 6-48 часов. Затем реакционную смесь охлаждают, ксилол сливают и остаток переносят в ледяную воду. После образования прозрачной смеси остаток ксилола отделяют и водный слой подкисляют 4 н. HCl до pH 2 . Полученное масло экстрагируют эфиром или хлористым метиленом, сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ и растворитель упаривают. Продукт растирают (иногда после колоночной хроматографии) и перекристаллизовывают. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 3, температуры плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .

Общая методика получения 3,4 -дигидроизохинолинов (IIIд-3). 15,0 ммоль 1 -(2-хлорфенил)-3,4-дигидроизохинолинов IIIа-г помещают в 150 мл стекляную ампулу, приливают 50 мл жидкого метиламина, 0,17 г медньхх опилков и 0,17 г CuCl . Ампулу запаивают и нагревают при $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ в течении 72 часов. После охлаждения ампулу осторожно открывают и оставляют в тяге до упаривания основного количества метиламина. К темно синему остатку приливают 100 мл хлористого метилена, медные соли фильтруют и остаток

упаривают при пониженном давлении. После хроматографической очистки на силикагеле продукт перекристаллизовывают. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 3 , температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5.

1-(2'-N-Фталимидо)фенил-3,4-дигидроизохинолин (IIIк). 88,7 r (240 ммоль) амида ІІ растворяют в 1200 мл сухого хлороформа (свежеперегнанного над $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) и при интенсивном перемешивании добавляют 100,0 г ( 480 ммоль) $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ в один прием. Реакционную смесь кипятят с обратным холодильником в течении 1 часа, при этом образуется ярко-желтый осадок. После охлаждения реакционной смеси до $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, медленно прибавляют по каплям раствор 85,5 г (330 ммоль) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ в 480 мл сухого хлороформа и температуру реакционной смеси поднимают до комнатной. Наблюдают кирпично-красную окраску смеси. В продолжении кипятят 8 часов, охлаждают и выливают в щелочную ледяную воду, органический слой отделяют, а водный слой экстрагируют $4 \times 150$ мл хлороформа. Хлороформные экстракты промывают $3 \times 100$ мл 2 н. КОН, $3 \times 100$ мл водой и сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. После упаривания растворителя, остаток кристаллизуют эфиром. После перекристаллизации из петролейного эфира- толуола получают 61,7 г (73\%) 1-(2-N-фталимидо)фенил-3,4-дигидроизохинолина (ІІІк). Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 3, температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .

1-(2'-аминофенил)-3,4-дигидроизохинолин (IIIл). К горячей суспензии 6,43 г ( 18.2 ммоль) фталимида ІІІк в 60 мл этилового спирта в один прием приливают 4,44 г ( 88.6 ммоль) гидразингидрата. В прозрачном растворе через 5 минут наблюдается образование осадка. После кипячения реакционной

смеси в течении 15 минут, осадок фильтруют, промывают этанолом, филтрат упаривают. Полученный остаток переводят в эфир, фильтруют осадок, эфирный слой экстрагируют $4 \times 20$ мл $1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{HCl}$. Солянокислые экстракты подщелачивают 2 н. КОН до pH 10 , экстрагируют $4 \times 20$ мл эфира, сушат $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ и фильтруют через силикагель. После упаривания растворителя при пониженном давлении получают 2.0 r (50\%) светло-желтьх кристаллов. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 3 , температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5 .

Общая методика получения $1,2,3,4$-тетрагидроизохинолинов (IVaж). Реакции проводят в атмосфере аргона. К раствору 3,5 ммоль 3,4 -дигидроизохинолина III в 15 мл ледяной уксусной кислоты при перемешивании по порциям прибавляют 7,0 ммоль $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Реакцию выдерживают 3 часа при комнатной температуре, затем нагревают в течение 1 ч при $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ и оставляют перемешиваться при комнатной температуре на 10 часов. Окраска реакционной смеси меняется от красной до желто-зеленой, что является признаком окончания реакции. Содержимое колбы охлаждают до $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, прибавляют 30 мл $50 \%$-ного раствора NaOH , экстрагируют $4 \times 20$ мл хлористого метилена, промывают насьщенньм раствором NaCl и растворитель упаривают. Остаток растворяют в хлористом метилене и фильтруют через силикагель. После упаривания растворителя все полученные твердые вещества перекристаллизовывают. Спектры ЯМР, ИК приведены в таблице 4, температура плавления и данные элементного состава приведены в таблице 5.

Разделение рацемического 1-(2-метиламино-5-трифторметил)-1,2,3,4-тетрагидроизохинолина (IVб). К горячему раствору 153,2 мг ( 0,5 ммоль) рацемата IVб в 1 мл этилового спирта добавляют горячий раствор 75,0

мг ( 0,5 ммоль) D-(-)-винной кислоты в 1 мл этилового спирта. Сразу после добавления начинается кристаллизация. Реакционную смесь охлаждают, осадок фильтруют, перекристаллизовывают из абс. этилового спирта, сушат и получают 76,8 мг ( $67 \%$ ) тартрата- IVб $\mathrm{c}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-43.2^{0}$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1,01$, ДМФА). Полученный (-)-тартрат растворяют в 0.5 мл воды и пропускают через колонну с анионитом Амберлит ИРА-401 (в хлоридной форме) и элуириуют этиловым спиртом. Выход свободного амина (-)-IVб из колонны контролируют УФ детектором при 254 нм. После упаривания элюента получают оптически чистый амин (-)-IVб с $[\alpha]_{D}=-48,8^{0}\left(c=0,67, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, который соответствует $>99,5 \%$ оптической чистоты (ВЭЖХ на хиральной колонке Chiralcel OJ, подвижная фаза - $1 \%$ этанол в гексане, поток $-1,0$ мл/мин, детектор - $\mathrm{UV}_{254}$, время задерживания - 11,4 мин).

Аналогично получают (+)-тартрат (+)-IVб $\mathrm{c}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+41,1^{0}(\mathrm{c}=1,05$, ДМФА) и свободньй оптически чистый амин (+)-IVб $\mathrm{c}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+48,7^{0}$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0,67, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), который соответствует $>99,5 \%$ оптической чистоты (ВЭЖХ на хиральной колонке Chiralcel OJ, подвижная фаза - $1 \%$ этанол в гексане, поток - 1,0 мл/мин, детектор - $\mathrm{UV}_{254}$, время задерживания - 12,7 мин).

## Разделение рацемического 1-(2-метиламино)-1,2,3,4-тетрагидро-

 изохинолина (IVд) проводили аналогично разделению IVб. В реакции 3,0 г ( 12,6 ммоль) рацемата IVд с (-)-винной кислотой получили 1,76 г (36\%) (-)-тартрат-IVд (из метанола) $\mathrm{c}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-37,3^{0}$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1,04$, ДМФА) и оптически чистый амин (-)-IVд с $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.3^{\circ}$ (c=0,67, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), который соответствует $>99,5 \%$ оптической чистоты (ВЭЖХ на хиральной колонке Chiralcel $O D$, подвижная фаза - 5\% изо-пропиловый спирт в гексане, поток - 0,8 мл/мин, детектор UV ${ }_{254}$, время задерживания - 10,2 мин).Аналогично получают (+)-тартрат-IVд (из метанола) с $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}+40,2^{0}$ $(\mathrm{c}=1,06$, ДМФА $)$ и оптически чистый амин $(+)-\mathrm{IV}$ д $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+5,3^{0}\left(\mathrm{c}=0,67, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, который соответствует $>99,5 \%$ оптической чистоты (ВЭЖХ на хиральной колонке Chiralcel $O D$, подвижная фаза - $5 \%$ изо-пропиловый спирт в гексане, поток $-0,8$ мл/мин, детектор - $\mathrm{UV}_{254}$, время задерживания - 11,8 мин).

Авторы признательны Латвийскому совету по науке за финансирование настоящего исследования (грант 722), а также профессору Э. Ведейсу за плодотворные дискуссии во время работы.
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Схема 4



Циклизация $\beta$-фенилэтиламидов II


| № | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Суб- } \\ & \text { страт } \end{aligned}$ | X | Дегидрати- <br> рующий агент | $\begin{gathered} \text { Условия } \\ \text { циклизации } \end{gathered}$ | Продукт | Вы- <br> ход <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | II3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} \\ \mathrm{HCl} \text { соль } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 6ч, ксилол, кипячение | ІІІд | 16 |
| 2 | IIe | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{Tos}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 20ч, ксилол, кипячение | IIIи | 30 |
| 3 | ІІи | N -фталильная | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 24ч, ксилол, кипячение | ІІІк | 20 |
| 4 | ІІи | N -фталильная | $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ | 30мин, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, кипячение, потом $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}, 8$ ч | ІІІк | 73 |
| 5 | IIa | Cl | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 20h | IIIa | 70 |



| Соедине ние | R | X | ИК спектры, $\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Спектры ПМР, $\delta$, м.д. | Спектры ЯМР ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, \delta$, м.д. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIa | H | Cl | $\begin{aligned} & 3275(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1642(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 7,40-7,18(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}= \\ 6,8 ; 6,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=6,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 166,$4 ; 138,6 ; 135,1 ; 131,1 ; 130,5 ; 130,1 ; 129,9$; 128,$7 ; 128,6 ; 128,4 ; 128,3 ; 126,9 ; 126,6 ; 41,2 ; 35,4$ |
| ІІб | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Cl | $\begin{aligned} & 3270(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | $7,85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,4 ; 1,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,49(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J=8,6 Hz); 7,38-7,20 (5H, m); 6,20 (1H, br s); 3,77 (2H, dt, J= $6,8 ; 6,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) 2,97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6,8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\begin{gathered} 165,0 ; 138,6 ; 135,8 ; 134,4 ; 130,8 ; 129,3 ; 128,7 ; \\ 128,5 ; 127,7 ; 127,2 ; 126,7 ; 126,4 ; 125,9 ; 120,5 ; \\ 41,3 ; 35,3 \end{gathered}$ |
| I'в | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Cl | $\begin{aligned} & 3260(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1650(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8,19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=8,8 ; 2,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,56(1 \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,40-7,20(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}= \\ 6,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=6,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | 164,$1 ; 146,4 ; 138,2 ; 137,5 ; 136,4 ; 131,3 ; 128,8$; 128,$75 ; 128,5 ; 127.6 ; 126,8 ; 125,5 ; 125,1 ; 41,4$; 35.3 |
| IIr | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Cl | $\begin{aligned} & 3260(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | $8,10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,4 ; 2,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,58(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, J=8,4 Hz); 7,39-7,21 (5H, m) 6,14 (1H, br s) 3,77 (2H, dt, J= $7,0 ; 6,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3,06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 2,97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | ```164,7;139,4;138,2;136,74;136,66;131,3;129,5; 128,8; 128,75; 128,70;126,7;44,4; 41,3;35,3``` |
| 11 д | H | NHMe |  | $7,42-7,15(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 6,65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,57-6,49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;$ $6,05(\mathrm{IH}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) ; 3,65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7,1 ; 5,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,9 \mathrm{l}(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,1$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 2,85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4,8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |
| Ile | H | NMeTs | $\begin{aligned} & 3380(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1655(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | $7,73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7,4 ; 1,5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,0) ; 7,41-7,00$ ( $10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); $6,51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3,78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7,0 ; 6,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $3,10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 3,02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 166,$8 ; 144,2 ; 138,9 ; 138,1 ; 137,2 ; 133,9 ; 130,4 ;$ 130,$3 ; 129,6 ; 128,8 ; 128,7 ; 128,4 ; 128,3 ; 128,1 ;$ 126,$6 ; 126,2 ; 41,2 ; 39,7 ; 35,4 ; 21,5$ |
| Іж | H | NMeBn |  | $\begin{gathered} 7,40-6,96(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}=7,0 ; 6,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \\ 2,92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| I/3 | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3410(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 3290(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1620(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7,38-7,14 (7 H, m); 6,66 (1 H, d, J=8,6 Hz); 6,60 (1 H, ddd, J= $8,0,8,0,0,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) ; 5,49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s); 3,73-3,63(2 H, m); $2,92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 169,2 ; 148,6 ; 138,9 ; 132,2 ; 128,8 ; 128,7 ; 126,9 ; \\ 126,5 ; 117,2 ; 116,5 ; 116,1 ; 40,8 ; 35,7 \end{gathered}$ |
| 114 | H | NPhth | $\begin{aligned} & 3255(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1630(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \\ & 1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=5,6 ; 3,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,80(1 \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~J}=3,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=5,6 ; 3,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,62-7,16(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; \\ 6,10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3,54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}=7,0 ; 6,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \\ \mathrm{J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167,4 ; 166,8 ; 138,8 ; 134,2 ; 132,0 ; 131,2 ; 130,0 ; \\ 129,9 ; 129,0 ; 128,7 ; 128,6 ; 128,3 ; 127,8 ; 126,5 ; \\ 123,8 ; 41,0 ; 35,5 \end{gathered}$ |



| Соеди нение | R | X | ИК спектры, $\nu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Спектры ПМР, $\delta$, м.д. | Спектры ЯМР ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, \delta$, м.д. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIIa | H | Cl | 1615 (C=N) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7,46-7,16(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7,4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4,14-3,64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}) ; 2,88 \\ (2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166,0 ; 138,3 ; 137,1 ; 132,5 ; 130,8 ; 130,3 ; 129,7 ; 129,5 ; \\ 128,8 ; 127,3 ; 126,80 ; 126,77 ; 126,71 ; 47,7 ; 25,8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| ІІІб | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | Cl | 1620 (C=N) | $\begin{gathered} 7,72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=8,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}= \\ 8,4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}=7,4 ; \mathrm{I}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 7,19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=7,4 ; \\ 1,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3,97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 2,89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165,1 ; 139,0 ; 137,1 ; 136,5 ; 131,3 ; 130,2 ; 128,3 ; 127,6 ; \\ 127,5 ; 127,0 ; 126,6 ; 126,5 ; 97,2 ; 47,8 ; 25,7 \end{gathered}$ |
| IIIB | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Cl | 1620 (C=N) | $8,33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8,24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,6 ; 2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,6$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7,41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7,6 ; 1,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,32-7,16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 6,85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7,6$ <br> Hz ) | $\begin{gathered} 164,4 ; 146,6 ; 139,69 ; 139,65 ; 137,1 ; 131,4 ; 130,7 ; \\ 129,5 ; 128,5 ; 128,0 ; 127,6 ; 127,0 ; 126,4 ; 47,9 ; 44,5 \\ 25,6 \end{gathered}$ |
| IIIr | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Cl | 1620 (C=N) | $\begin{gathered} 8,03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2,4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=8,4 ; 2,4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8,4 \\ \mathrm{Hz}) ; 7,41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{~J}=7,6 ; 7,6 ; 1,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,21 \\ (1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{~J}=7,6 ; 7,6 ; 1,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4,22-3,64(2 \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{m}) ; 3,10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,00-2,82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164,8 ; 139,7 ; 139,4 ; 138,8 ; 137,0 ; 131,4 ; 130,7 ; 129,5 \\ 128,5 ; 128,0 ; 127,6 ; 127,0 ; 126,4 ; 47,9 ; 44,5 ; 25,7 \end{gathered}$ |
| ІІд | H | NHMe | $\begin{aligned} & 3315(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | $7,42-7,2 \mathrm{I}(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 7,09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}) ; 6,75(\mathrm{IH}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,64(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=7,4 ; 7,4 ; 1,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3,87-3,80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2,6 \mathrm{~Hz})$; 2,80-2,73 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 167,$8 ; 149,1 ; 139,0 ; 131,3 ; 130,3 ; 129,4 ; 128,4 ; 127,1$; 126,$3 ; 120,2 ; 114,4 ; 110,5 ; 94,2 ; 47,0 ; 30,0 ; 26,4$ |
| IIIe | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | NHMe | $\begin{aligned} & 3260(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 8,27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8,18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=9,2 ; 2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \\ 7,47-7,25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3,86-3,78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,00(3 \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{~J}=5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,79-2,72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | 166,$9 ; 154,3 ; 139,1 ; 135,5 ; 131,0 ; 128,6 ; 128,5 ; 127,8 ;$ 127,$5 ; 126,9 ; 126,8 ; 117,4 ; 109,5 ; 47,0 ; 29,8 ; 26,3$ |
| III3 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | NHMe | $\begin{aligned} & 3320(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | 8,19 (1H, br s); 7,83-7,76 (2H, m); 7,45-7,21 (4H, m); 6,78 (1H, d, J= <br>  | $\begin{gathered} 167,0 ; 153,0 ; 139,0 ; 131,3 ; 130,9 ; 129,7 ; 128,6 ; 127,7 ; \\ 127,5 ; 126,9 ; 124,4 ; 118,6 ; 110,1 ; 47,1 ; 45,0 ; 29,7 \\ 26,2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| IIIи | H | NMeTs | $\begin{aligned} & 1611(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \\ & 1350\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,49-7,14(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 7,04-6,99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 2,98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; \\ 2,82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~J}=7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166,1 ; 143,2 ; 140,2 ; 140,0 ; 137,6 ; 130,6 ; 130,5 ; 129,7 ; \\ 129,3 ; 129,2 ; 128,1 ; 127,96 ; 127,95 ; 127,8 ; 127,4 ; \\ 127,1 ; 126,5 ; 47,6 ; 39,6 ; 25,9 ; 21,5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| IIIк | H | NPhth | $\begin{aligned} & 1780(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \\ & 1730(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \\ & 1715(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \\ & 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | 7,74 (2H, dd, J=5,8; 2,9 Hz); 7,68-7,61 (4H, m); 7,58 (1H, dd, J=7,4; $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7,54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7,4 ; 1,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7,7 ; 1,3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,15-$ $6,95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 3,61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7,0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\begin{gathered} 166,88 ; 165,3 ; 138,1 ; 136,7 ; 133,86 ; 133,8 ; 131,61 ; \\ 131,6 ; 130,4 ; 130,2 ; 129,6 ; 129,2 ; 128,7 ; 128,3 \\ 126,81 ; 126,5 ; 123,13 ; 123,12 ; 47,5 ; 25,5 \end{gathered}$ |
| IIIл | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3419(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) ; \\ & 3307(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) ; \\ & 1610(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,39-7,12(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=7,6 ; 1,3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, 7,6 ; 7,6 ; \\ 1,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 5,11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,87-3,81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 2,79-2,73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167,4 ; 146,9 ; 138,8 ; 131,1 ; 130,4 ; 129,8 ; 129,1 ; 128,1 ; \\ 127,2 ; 126,4 ; 121,4 ; 116,6 ; 116,5 ; 47,1 ; 26,2 \end{gathered}$ |



| Соединение | R | X | $\begin{gathered} \text { ИК } \\ \text { спектры, } \\ \nu, \text { см }^{-1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Спектры ПМР, $\delta$, м.д. | Спектры ЯМР ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, \delta$, м.д. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVa | H | Cl | $\begin{aligned} & 3320(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 3260(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 7,41 (1H, dd, 7,2; 2,2 Hz); 7,26-7,12 (4H, m); 7,07 (1H, dd, J= 8,0; 4,6 Hz); 7,00 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7,2 ; 2,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $6,77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $5,65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 3,20-2,80(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;$ 2,02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ ) | 142,$0 ; 136,9 ; 135,8 ; 134,0 ; 131,0 ; 129,5 ;$ 129,$1 ; 128,4 ; 128,0 ; 126,6 ; 126,4 ; 125,7 ;$ 57,$5 ; 41,2 ; 29,6$ |
| IVб | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | NHMe | 3330 (NH-) | $\begin{aligned} & 7,45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=8,8 ; 1,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,17-7,00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,76 \\ & (1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 5,10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,33- \\ & 3,25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,14-3,03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 2,88-2,60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 2,73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 1,95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | 151,$1 ; 136,5 ; 134,9 ; 129,0 ; 127,6 ; 126,7 ;$ 126,$5 ; 126,1 ; 126,0 ; 125,6 ; 117,0 ; 116,6 ;$ 109,$4 ; 62,3 ; 43,0 ; 29,9 ; 29,6$ |
| $\mathrm{IVB}_{\text {B }}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | NHMe | 3240 (N-H) | $8,15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9,0 ; 2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,26-7,02(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 6,78$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7,6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 5,14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 3,33-3,23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 3,13-$ $3,02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,88-2,75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | $\begin{gathered} 153,9 ; 136,4 ; 135,7 ; 134,8 ; 129,2 ; 127,0 ; \\ 126,9 ; 126,3 ; 126,1 ; 126,0 ; 124,8 ; 108,6 ; \\ 62,1 ; 42,8 ; 29,8 ; 29,4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| IV r | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | NHMe | 3320 (N-H) | $\begin{gathered} 7,75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=8,4 ; 2,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,55(\mathrm{IH}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2,2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,18-7,00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) 6,77 \\ (1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) ; 6,73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6,59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 5,13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,32- \\ 3,18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,10-2,76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 2,73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5,0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 2,05(1 \mathrm{H}, \\ \text { br s) } \end{gathered}$ | 152,$7 ; 135,9 ; 134,9 ; 129,7 ; 129,1 ; 129,0 ;$ 126,$8 ; 126,2 ; 125,9 ; 125,5 ; 125,2 ; 109,4 ;$ 62,$4 ; 45,1 ; 43,1 ; 29,7 ; 29,5$ |
| IVA | H | NHMe | 3307 (N-H) | 7,23-7,13 (4H, m); 6,93 (1H, dd, J=7,8; 1,8 Hz); 6,82 (1H, d, J=7,8 Hz); 6,68$6,61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 6,62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7,8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 5,10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 3,31-2,96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,87-2,66$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 2,1-1,4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | 148,$6 ; 137,5 ; 135,0 ; 130,7 ; 128,9 ; 128,6 ;$   <br> 126,$8 ; 126,5 ; 126,3 ; 125,7 ; 115,5 ; 110,5 ;$   <br> 61,$8 ; 42,7 ; 30,3 ; 29,6$   <br>  145,$8 ; 143,7 ; 1402 ; 1393 ; 136,0 ; 134,1 ;$  |
| IVe | H | NMe'ts | $\begin{aligned} & 332 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 1342\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.68-7,56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 7,33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8,0 \mathrm{IIz}) ; 7,26-6,92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 6,6 \mathrm{l}-6,42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; \\ 5,74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 3,37-2,74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) ; 3,26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 2,47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) ; 1,85-1,50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145,8 ; 143,7 ; 140,2 ; 139,3 ; 136,0 ; 134,1 ; \\ 131,7 ; 129,48 ; 129,47 ; 128,9 ; 128,8 ; 128,21 ; \\ 128,20 ; 127,8 ; 127,5 ; 125,8 ; 125,7 ; 125,4 \\ 56,1 ; 43,4 ; 40,0 ; 29,9 ; 21,6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| IVж | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3388(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 3323(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \\ & 3261(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 7,18-6,98 (5H, m); 6,81 (1H, d, J=7,8 Hz); 6,69 (1H, ddd, J=7,5; 7,5; 1,5 Hz); $6,62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8, \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{I}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 5,09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 4,49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}) ; 3,3 \mathrm{I}-3,22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;$ $3,13-3,00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,84-2,75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; 2,00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ | $\begin{gathered} 146,2 ; 137,5 ; 135,2 ; 131,2 ; 129,1 ; 128,6 ; \\ 127,3 ; 127,0 ; 126,6 ; 126,0 ; 117,5 ; 116,9 \\ 62,0 ; 43,1 ; 29,8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Данные элементного анализа и температуры плавления соединений II-IV

| Соединение | Бруттоформула | Найдено, \% <br> Вычислено, \% |  |  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nn}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nn}},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{6}$ | Выход ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N | S |  |  |  |
| IIa | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNO}$ | 69,26 | 5,43 | 5,41 | - | 101... 102 (A) |  | 89 |
|  |  | 69,37 | 5,43 | 5,39 |  |  |  |  |
| ІІб | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ | $\underline{58,67}$ | 3.99 | 4.27 | - | 99... 100 (A) |  | 80 |
|  |  | 58,64 | 4,00 | 4,27 |  |  |  |  |
| Ів | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\frac{59,13}{59,12}$ | 4,21 | 9,18 | - | 159...160 (A) | 155 (b) | 44 (90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IIr | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\frac{56,81}{56,89}$ | $\frac{4.93}{477}$ | $\frac{4.13}{4.15}$ | 9,41 | 145...146 (A) |  | 76 |
|  |  | 56,89 | 4,77 | 4,15 |  |  |  |  |
| ІІд | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 73,10 | 6,95 | $\underline{10,78}$ | - | 105...106 (A) | 106... 107 (B) | 79 (76) |
| IIe | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | 67,40 | 6,11 | 6,85 | 7.75 | $89 . .90$ (A) |  | 62 |
|  |  | 67,62 | 5,92 | 6,86 | 7,85 |  |  |  |
| Іжж | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 80,05 | 6.88 | 7.93 | - | 89...91 (A) |  | 87 |
|  |  | 80,20 | 7,02 | 8,13 |  |  |  |  |
| II3 | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 74,87 | 6,75 | $\underline{11,63}$ | - | 89... 90 (T) | 90...91 (Д) | 75 (83) |
|  |  | 74,97 | 6,71 | 11,66 |  |  |  |  |
| Іи | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 74,05 | 4.62 | $\frac{7,12}{7,56}$ | - | 133...134 (Г) |  | 87 |
|  |  | 74,58 | 4,90 | 7,56 |  |  |  |  |
| IIIa | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}$ | 74,31 | 4.92 | 5,78 | - | 79...80 (E) |  | 72 |
|  |  | 74,53 | 5,00 | 5,79 |  |  |  |  |
| ІІІб | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 61,87 | 3,54 | 4,45 |  | 213... 214 (A) | 212... 214 (Ж) | 86 (40) |
|  |  | 62,05 | 3,58 | 4,52 |  |  |  |  |
| IIIb | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 73,84 | 4.87 | 5,77 | - | 151...153 (A) | 150 (5) | 84 (73) |
|  |  | 74,53 | 5,00 | 5,79 |  |  |  |  |
| IIIr | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 60,09 | 4.45 | 4,34 | - | 161...162 (3) |  | 76 |
|  |  | 60,09 | 4,41 | 4,38 |  |  |  |  |
| ІІІд | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 81,33 | 6,84 | 11,81 | - | 75...76 (A) | масло | 87 (95) |
|  |  | 81,32 | 6,82 | 11,85 |  |  |  |  |
| IIIe | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 67,05 | $\underline{5.36}$ | 14,55 | - | 149...150 (A) | 148 (b) | 66 (92) |
|  |  | 68,31 | 5,37 | 14,94 |  |  |  |  |
| III3 | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 65,03 | $\frac{5.88}{5,7}$ | 8,84 | - | 165...166 (3) |  | 53 |
|  |  | 64,94 | 5,77 | 8,91 |  |  |  |  |
| ІІи | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 70,65 | 5,59 | $\underline{7.16}$ | 8,23 | 139...140 (A) | 138...140 (b) | 30 (43) |
|  |  | 70,74 | 5,68 | 7,17 | 8,21 |  |  |  |
| ІІк | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 78,37 | 4,53 | $\underline{7,92}$ | - | 189...190 ( $\mathrm{\Gamma}$ ) |  | 20 |
|  |  | 78,39 | 4,58 | 7,95 |  |  |  |  |
| IIIn | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 80,98 | 6,36 | $\underline{12,60}$ | - | 96...97 (A) | 95...96 (B) | 85 (94) |
|  |  | 81,05 | 6,35 | 12,56 |  |  |  |  |
| IVa | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NCl}$ | 74,50 | 5,94 | 6.05 | - | масло |  |  |
|  |  | 73,92 | 5,79 | 5,75 |  |  |  |  |
| IVб | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 66,20 | 5.44 | 8.94 | - | 131... 132 (E) | 131...133 (Д) | 75 (40) |
|  |  | 66,66 | 5,59 | 9,14 |  |  |  |  |
| IV ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 66,71 | 5,81 | $\underline{14,62}$ | - | 185...186 (Г) | 182 (Б) | 69 (76) |
|  |  | 67,83 | 6,05 | 14,83 |  |  |  |  |
| IVr | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 64,66 | 6,44 | 8,80 | $\underline{10,02}$ | 163...164 (Г) |  | 76 |
|  |  | 64,53 | 6,37 | 8,85 | 10,13 |  |  |  |
| IV ${ }_{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\underline{79,63}$ | 7,69 |  | - | 87... 88 (И) | масло | 84 (98) |
|  |  | 80,63 | 7,61 | 11,75 |  |  |  |  |
| IVe | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 70,31 | 6.24 | $\underline{7.10}$ | $\underline{8,20}$ | 154...156 (Г) | 72 |  |
|  |  | 70,38 | 6,16 | 7,14 | 8,17 |  |  |  |
| IVж | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 80,09 | 7,37 | 12,43 | - | 109-110 (A) | 108 (5) | (83) |
|  |  | 80,32 | 7,19 | 12,49 |  |  |  |  |

системы растворителей для кристаллизации: (А) гексан-этилацетат, (Б) эфир, (В) этанол, (Г) толуол-
${ }_{\text {б) }}$ петролейный эфир, (Д) эфир-петролейный эфир, (Е) гексан, (Ж) ацетон-эфир, (3) этилацетат, (И) этанол-вода,
-) температуры плавления в литературе [2]
*) в скобках даны выходы из литературы [2]

Appendix 2

# Resolution of 1-Aryl-1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinolines via Crystallization of O- 

 Acetylmandelic AmidesE. Suna and P. Trapencieris<br>Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis

Riga, Latvia LV 1006

Chiral 1-anilinotetrahydroisoquinolines are useful bidentate diamine ligands in asymmetric synthesis. Commercially available non-racemic diamine CAPTIQ 1 has already found application as "chiral acid" in asymmetric protonation of amide enolates. ${ }^{1}$ As a part of our studies toward synthesis of various CAPTIQ analogs we tested the most convenient route to desired chiral isoquinolines. Diastereomeric salts crystallization ${ }^{2}$ required extensive trial-and-error procedure to evaluate the most appropriate resolving agent for each particular substrate and was effective only for certain diamines. ${ }^{3}$ An alternative approach to various CAPTIQ analogs is the preparation of a key intermediate by resolution technique and subsequent chemical transformations of optically pure material. The most suitable candidate for the key structure is l-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 2 that would give access both to aniline 3 and its analogs such as arylhydroxylamines. Unsuccessful racemic nitro-isoquinoline 2 resolution via diastereomeric salts urged us to examine covalently bonded chiral auxiliaries that would allow to separate the diastereomer pair by chromatography if crystallization technique would be ineffective. Successful reports on O-acetylmandelic acid $\mathbf{4}$ mediated racemic amine resolution by chromatography ${ }^{4}$ and
crystallization technique ${ }^{5}$ stimulated us to apply this chiral reagent for the resolution of nitro-isoquinoline 2.

Crude amide 5 as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers was easily prepared in $95 \%$ yield by DMAP catalyzed racemic nitro-isoquinoline 2 condensation with ( $R$ )-Oacetylmandelic acid 4 in the presence of dicyclohexylacarbodiimide (DCC). As the product was contaminated with dicyclohexylurea, crystallization from EtOAc-hexanes was employed affording amide 5a as colorless needles. The ratio of diastereomers was determined for crystals by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}^{6}$ and was shown to be $>95: 5$ ( $>90 \%$ de). Repeated crystallization form EtOAc-hexanes increased purity to $>99 \%$ de, affording a single diastereomer 5a in 31\% overall yield. The absolute configuration at isoquinoline carbon was confirmed to be $(R)$ by X-ray crystallography.

With single diastereomer $5 \mathbf{a}$ in hand the racemization-free chiral auxilliary removal became a crucial issue. Cleavage with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}^{4 b}$ caused partial racemization ( $54 \%$ ee with 6 N HCl and $67 \%$ ee with 1 N HCl ). Similarly, reductive methods (DIBAL, ${ }^{7} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF},{ }^{8}$ reflux) afforded partially racemized product 3a with $47 \%$ and $75 \%$ ee, respectively. Poor solubility of 5 a in common solvents (toluene, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}$ ) precluded use of alternative methods $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) .{ }^{9}$ Presumably, nitro group conversion to amine could solve solubility issue. Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation of nitrobenzene 5a in glacial acetic acid yielded anilino-isoquinoline 6a ( $62 \%$ yield) accompanied with unexpected O -acetylmandelyl anilide $7 \mathbf{a}(39 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ experiment in acetic acid- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ showed that $50 \%$ of aniline $\mathbf{6 a}$ had rearranged to isoquinoline $7 \mathbf{a}$ in 8 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after 64 h at room temperature only $4 \%$ of starting material could be observed. Lack of O-acetylmandelyl group migration product $7 \mathbf{a}$ in acetonitrile- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ (polar aprotic solvent) and methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ (polar protic solvent) after 18 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 72 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ suggests that acidic media
is crucial for the rearrangement. To avoid complications with chiral auxiliary cleavage one desirable option is to attach O -acetylmandelyl group to aniline nitrogen, leaving secondary amine unsubstituted. Corresponding anilide 9 was prepared in $79 \%$ overall yield from anilino-isoquinoline 8 and chiral acid 4 under standard conditions (DCC/cat.DMAP), followed by imine reduction with $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$. Two crystallizations afforded diastereomerically pure product 9b ( $28 \%$, $>99 \%$ de) with ( $S$ ) absolute configuration at isoquinoline according to X-ray crystallography. Chiral auxiliary was readily cleaved without complications encountered with nitro analogue. Thus, reflux in 1 N HCl for 4 hours gave single diamine 3b enantiomer in $95 \%$ yield and with $>99 \%$ ee.

With practical access to $>99 \%$ enantiomerically pure 3 b established, the problem of inexpensive preparative scale diamine $\mathbf{3 a - b}$ synthesis was investigated. This was achieved by combination of ( $R$ )-O-acetylmandelyl auxiliary mediated racemate resolution with diastereomeric tartrate crystallization technique. Thus, chiral diamine $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$ salts with $L(+)$ tartaric acid were used as a seed in crystallization of racemic diamine $3 \mathrm{~L}(+)$ tartrates. Generally 4 to 6 crystallizations at 10 g loading level were necessary to obtain desired diamines $\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathbf{3 b}$ after acid-base extractive workup in ca. $10 \%$ overall yield and $>99 \%$ ee.

Tartrates crystallization with seeding provided sufficient chiral material to synthesize a number of CAPTIQ analogs. After protecting isoquinoline $\mathbf{3 b}$ nitrogen as Cbz derivative $9 \mathbf{9}, \mathrm{~N}$-phenylation according to the Barton procedure ${ }^{10}$ followed by N protecting group hydrogenolysis afforded chiral N -phenylaniline 10 in $56 \%$ overall yield and with $>99 \%$ ee. ( $S$ )-N-isopropyl-diamine 11 was readily obtained by reductive alkylation procedure ( $84 \%$ overall yield) and reaction of $(R)$-diamine 3 a with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylsulfamoyl chloride afforded amide 12 in 18\% overall yield.

All prepared CAPTIQ analogs will be tested as "chiral acids" in asymmetric protonation of amide enolates.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by Latvian Science Council (Grant No. 722) and by a NATO travel grant (LG930053). The authors are indebted to Prof. E. Vedejs for We thank also Dr. D.R. Powell from University of Wisconsin-Madison for his help in carrying out the X-ray crystallographic analyses.

## References.

1. (a) Vedejs, E.; Kruger, A.W. J.Org.Chem. 1998, 63, 2792. (b) Vedejs, E.; Lee, N.; Sakata, S.T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2175.
(a) Jacques, J.; Collet, A.; Wilen, S.H. Enantiomers, Racemates and Resolutions; Krieger Publishing Company: Florida, 1994. (b) Newmann, P. Optical Resolution Procedures for Chemical Compounds; Amines and Related Compounds; Riverdale, N.Y.: Optical Resolution Information Center, 1984. (b) Ott, H.; Hardtmann, G.; Denzer, M.; Frey, A.- J.; Gogerty, J. H.; Leslie, G. H.; Trapold, J. H. J. Med. Chem. 1968, 11, 777. (c) Ott, H. US, 3,297,696, 1967; Chem.Abstr. 1967, 66: 65505a.
2. Suna, E.; Trapencieris, P. Chem.Heterocycl.Comp., submitted.
3. (a) Helmchen, G.; Nill, G.; Flockerzi, D.; Schühle, W.; Youssef, S.K.M. Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl. 1979, 18, 62. (b) Weerawarna, S.A.; Davis, R.D.; Nelson, W.L. J.Med.Chem. 1994, 37, 2856.
4. Corey, E.J.; Bakshi, R.K.; Shibata, S.; Chen, C.-P., Singh, V.K. J.Am.Chem.Soc. 1987, 107, 7925.
5. Parker, D. Chem.Rev. 1991, 91, 1441.
6. Evans, D.A.; Miller, S.J.; Ennis, M.D. J.Org.Chem. 1993, 58, 471.
7. Soai, K.; Ookawa, A. J.Org.Chem. 1986, 51, 4000.
8. Wanner, K.T.; Beer, H.; Höfner, G.; Ludwig, M. Eur.J.Org.Chem. 1998, 2019.
9. Barton, D.H.R.; Finet, J.-P.; Khamsi, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, $28,887$.
10. Stanforth, S.P. J.Heterocycl.Chem. 1987, 24, 531.

## Experimental Section.

1-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (2).
To a solution of 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline ${ }^{11}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of glacial acetic acid was added $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ (Aldrich; $0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) portionwise within 20 min . The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at RT for 3 hours, poured on crashed ice and basified by careful addition of concentrated NaOH solution. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). the organic layer was washed with brine ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. Filtration and solvent evaporation (aspirator) afforded yellow oil that was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and filtered through a silica gel pad with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ rinse. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting yellow solid was recrystallized from EtOAc - hexane to obtain yellow crystalline material ( $1.7+\mathrm{g}, 86 \%$ ); analytical TLC on silica gel. $1: 2 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.47$. Pure material was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc/hexane, mp 89-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No parent ion for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} ; \mathrm{M}-1,221.1075$. error $=$ 2 ppm: IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3253, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1520, \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} . \mathrm{J}=7.8$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=7.8,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.18-7.14(3 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$ $7.09-7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.21-2.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.90-2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.40$ ( $1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{s}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 150.3,138.9,136.4,136.0,132.3,132.0,129.2 .128 .03$, $127.97,126.6,125.8,123.8,56.0,41.3,29.5$.

2-[(R)-O-Acetylmandelyl)]-1-(R)-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrabydroisoquinoline (5a)
1-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (2) ( $325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (R)-Oacetylmandelic acid (4) (Aldrich; $257 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminopyridine (Aldrich; 19 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (Aldrich; $309 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added via syringe as a solution in 5 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was gradually warmed to RT and stirred for 12 h . White precipitate was removed by filtration and solvent evaporation in vacuo (aspirator) afforded a white solid. Crystallization from $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}$ gave colorless needles and additional recrystallization from $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane yielded diastereomerically pure product 5 a ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ ), mp 213-214 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analytical TLC on silica gel. l:l EtOAc/hexane, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.44$; analytical HPLC on CSP (Daicel CHIRACEL OD. $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.). mobile phase $15 \% \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}: 85 \%$ Hex, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time 14.4 min . ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}$-isomer. major) and 21.2 min . ( $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{R}$-isomer, minor), ratio 99.5:0.5 ( $>99 \%$ de). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{0}=-53\left(c=0.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. No parent ion for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} ; \mathrm{M}+1$, 431.1606, error $=7 \mathrm{ppm}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1738, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 1655, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 1531, \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.39-7.05(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.30$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) 3.95-3.88(1 H, m) 3.26-3.08 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $2.91-2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} . \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 170.4,166.8,150.4,136.8,133.9,133.6,133.1,131.9,129.7,129.1,128.8,128.7$. 128.6. 128.5. 128.3, 127.8, 127.0, 126.8, 123.6. 118.3, 73.2, 51.5, 40.7, 29.2, 20.7.

## 1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3, 4-dihydroisoquinoline (8)

To a solution of 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline ${ }^{11}(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1$ was added iron powder ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and reaction mixture was brought to reflux. Concentrated hydrochloric acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added in one portion to the refluxing mixture and boiling was continued for 3 hours. After cooling the dark brown solution was filtered through a celite pad with EtOH rinse. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo (aspirator) to give orange-red oil, which was treated with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo (aspirator) to give yellowish oil. The crude oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a silica pad with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ rinse. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo (aspirator) affording yellow crystalline material ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ); analytical TLC on silica gel, $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.35$. Pure material was obtained by crystallization from ethyl acetate/hexane, mp $96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No parent ion for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{1+} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{M}-1,253.0983$, error $=2 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3419 . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}: 3307 . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}: 1610, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}: 300$ MHz NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 87.39-7.12(6 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) 6.77-6.66(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) 5.11(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 3.87-3.81(2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m})$ 2.79-2.73 ( $2 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}$ ).

## (S)-1-(2-[ $(R)$-O-AcetyImandelyl]aminophenyl)-1, 2, 3, 4-tetrahydroisoquinoline

 (7b).1-(2-Aminophenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline ( 8 ) ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 8.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $R$ )-O-acetylmandelic acid ( + ) (Aldrich: $1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 8.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminopyridine (Aldrich; $136 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
were placed in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (Aldrich; $2.59 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was gradually added via syringe as a solution in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was warmed to RT and stirred overnight. White precipitate was removed by filtration. solvent was evaporated in vacuo (aspirator) and the resulting yellowish oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Filtered through a silica pad with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ rinse and filtrate concentration in vacuo (aspirator) afforded 1-(2-[(R)-O-acetylmandelyl]aminophenyl)-3,4dihydroisoquinoline as a colorless oil ( $3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield). The crude material was used for the next step without further purification (starting material $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.31$, product $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.56$, EtOAc:hexane $=2: 5$ ). Thus, to a solution of the crude oil ( $3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 8.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 30 mL of glacial acetic acid was added $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ (Aldrich; $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) portionwise within 25 min . The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at RT for 3 hours, poured on crashed ice and basified by careful addition of concentrated NaOH solution. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo (aspirator). The resulting yellowish oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a silica pad with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ rinse. Concentration of the filtrate in vacuo (aspirator) afforded white solid, which was recrystallized twice from EtOAc-hexane to obtain diastereomerically pure ( $S, R$ )-acetylmandelylisoquinoline 7 b as a colorless prisms ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g} .28 \%$ ), mp 191-192 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analytical TLC on silica gel, 1:1 EtOAc/hexane, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.14$. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-220$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.09$, DMF ). Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 400.17871$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=400.1805$, error $=4 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3311 . \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}: 1738, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$; $1685, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 11.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.38-7.02$ ( 9 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ 6.82-6.79 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $6.64(\mathrm{lH}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.43-3.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.91-$ $2.83(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta \mathrm{I} 68.8,166.2,136.9$, $136.5,135.3,133.9,130.8,130.4,128.9,128.6,128.5,128.4,127.8,126.7,126.5,126.2,123.5$, 122.1. 75.9, 63.2, 43.9, 28.9, 20.7.

## (S)-1-(2-Aminophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (3b)

(S,R)-Acetylmandelyl-isoquinoline $7 \mathbf{b}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a solid material was added to $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 hours. The clear solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and basified by careful addition of concentrated NaOH solution. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, organic layer was washed with brine ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to obtain a white solid ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ), analytical TLC on silica gel, 1:1 EtOAc/hexane, $\mathrm{Rf}=$ 0.2: analytical HPLC on CSP (Daicel CHIRACEL OD, $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{I.D),} .\mathrm{20} \mathrm{\% i-1}$ $\operatorname{PrOH}: 80 \% \mathrm{Hex}: 0.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$, flow rate $0.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time 23.2 min ( S -isomer, major) and 37.4 min (R-isomer, minor), ratio $99.5: 0.5$ ( $>99 \%$ ee). Pure material was obtained by crystallization from ethyl acetate/hexane, mp $113-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, colorless needles. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+5.2$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.5,95 \%$ EtOH ). Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2}: 224.13139$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=224.1312$, error $=1 \mathrm{ppm}: \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3388, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 3323, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.18-6.98(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.81(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=7.5,7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 4.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ 3.31-3.22 (1 H, m) 3.13-3.00 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $2.84-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 146.2,137.5,135.2$. 131.2, 129.1, 128.6, 127.0. 127.3. 126.0, 126.6. 117.5, 116.9, 62.0. 43.1. 29.8.
(S)-1-(2-Aminophenyl)-2-benzyloxycarbonyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (9b)

To a solution of (S)-diamine 3b ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added triethylamine ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by neat benzyl chloroformate (Aldrich; $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h . The reaction was poured into water ( 100 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 50 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo (aspirator) to give a yellowish oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography ( 150 mL of silica gel. column size 4.18 cm . eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Collected fractions \#6-\#31 ( 50 mL ea) afforded a colorless oil $1.13 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$, analytical TLC on silica gel. $2: 5$ EtOAc/hexane, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.7$. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-191(\mathrm{c}=1.29,95 \% \mathrm{EtOH})$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 358.16815$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=358.1681$, error $=0 \mathrm{ppm} ; I \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3+31, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}: 1658, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$; 300 MHz NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.37-7.33(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.24-7.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.08-7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.54-6.47(3 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{m}) 5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.1+(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{J}=$ $12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 4.8 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 4.10(\mathrm{lH}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.28-3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.82(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{dd} . \mathrm{J}=16.5 .3 .6$ Hz ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 155.9$. 145.9. 136.2. 135.3. 134.5. 130.7. 128.8, 128.6. 128.5. 128.4. 127.97, 127.95. 127.73, 127.70, 126.7, 126.0. 125.4. 116.6. 115.4. 67.4. 54.0. 37.1.
(S)-1-(2-Phenylaminophenyl)-1, 2, 3, 4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (10)
(S)-Cbz-diamine $9 \mathrm{~b}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylbismuth (Aldrich, $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper (II) acetate (dried overnight at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuo over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) ( $572 \mathrm{mg}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were placed in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at RT under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 90 hours. The mixture was filtered through a celite pad with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ rinsing, the filtrate was evaporated (aspirator) and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( 200 mL of silica gel. column size $4 \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}$, gradient elution from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :hexane $=1: 4$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :hexane $=1: 1$ ) and collected fractions $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.48, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ :hexane $\left.=1: 1\right)$ gave a colorless oil ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ). The crude oil ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $2: 1$ mixture of methanol ( 20 mL ) and EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(215 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere at RT and it was monitored by TLC analysis. The reaction was completed within 1 hour and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ was filtered through a celite pad with MeOH rinsing. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo (aspirator) to give a yellow oil, which was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, plate size $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, elution: EtOAc:Hex=1:5) and yellowish oil ( $640 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) was obtained: analytical TLC on silica gel, $2: 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.4$; analytical HPLC on CSP (Daicel CHIRACEL OD. $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.), mobile phase $5 \%-\mathrm{PrOH}: 95 \% \mathrm{Hex}: 0.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, flow rate 0.9 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time 16.6 min . ( S -isomer, major) and 21.2 min . (R-isomer, minor), ratio $>99: 1$ ( $99 \%$ ee). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+3.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 300.16269 ; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=300.1628$, error $=0 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathbb{R}$ (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3290, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1591, \mathrm{C}-(\mathrm{Ar}) ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 8.31(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s) $7.36(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.21-6.75(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 5.15(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ 3.27-3.20 (1 H. m) 3.12-2.94 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $2.83-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3 .} \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 143.3,142.9,137.3,134.8,131.2,131.0,128.95,128.91,128.1,126.9,126.3,125.7$, 119.8. 119.5. 117.4. 117.3, 61.1, 42.2, 29.5.

## (S)-1-(2-Isopropylaminophenyl)-1, 2, 3, 4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (11)

To a solution of (S)-Cbz-diamine $9 b(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $4: 1 \mathrm{mixture}$ of reagent grade acetone ( 20 mL ) and glacial acetic acid ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ (Aldrich; $350 \mathrm{mg}, 5.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) portionwise within 15 min . The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at RT and it was monitored by TLC analysis. The reaction was completed in less than 2 hours (starting material $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.12$, product $\mathrm{Rf}=0.41,10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane). The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo (aspirator) and to the residue $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}\left(60 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) was added. NaOH layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic extracts were combined, washed with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), brine ( 20 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. Solvent removal (aspirator) afforded a yellowish oil that was dissolved in $5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After filtration through a silica pad with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ rinsing and solvent evaporation (aspirator) (S)-CbzN -isopropylisoquinoline was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield). The crude oil ( 1.01 g , 2.52 mmol ) was dissolved in $2: 1$ mixture of methanol ( 20 mL ) and EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 400 mg ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere using $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ balloon at RT and it was monitored by TLC analysis. The reaction was completed within 1.5 hour and Pd/C was filtered through a celite pad with MeOH rinsing. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellow oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography ( 150 mL of silica gel, column size $4 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}$. eluent $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) and collected fractions \#5-\#10 ( 50 mL each) gave a colorless oil ( $640 \mathrm{mg} .95 \%$ ): analytical TLC on silica gel, $2: 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.5$; analytical HPLC on CSP (Daicel CHIRACEL OD. $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.), mobile phase $0.5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}^{2} 95.5 \% \mathrm{Hex}: 0.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time 6.3 min . (S-isomer, major) and 8.6 min . (R-isomer, minor), ratio $>99: 1$ ( $99 \%$ ee). Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-22.4$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0.78, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3329, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1603, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Ar}) ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.18-7.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.01-$ $6.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.63-6.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, sept, $\mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ 3.27-3.18 (1 H, m) 3.08-2.97(2 H, m) 2.82-2.70(1 H, m) $1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 0.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 146.8 .137 .9,135.1,131.1,128.6,128.5,126.8,126.7$, 126.1. 125.7. 115.1. 112.1, 62.4, 43.7.43.1. 29.8. 23.0, 22.4.

## (R)-1-(2-Dimethylsulfamoylaminophenyl)-1,2,3,t-tetrahydroisoquinoline (12).

To a solution of (R)-Cbz-diamine $9 \mathrm{a}(1.11 \mathrm{~g} .3 .10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 20 mL ) was added N. N-dimethylsulfamoyl chloride (Aldrich, 1.7 mL .15 .8 mmol ) as a neat solution via syringe and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was poured into $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic extracts were combined, washed with water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ).
brine ( 20 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration and solvent removal (aspirator) the residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( 200 mL of silica gel, column size $4 \times 19 \mathrm{~cm}$, eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and collected fractions \#8-\#14 ( 50 mL each, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.32,40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in Hex) gave a colorless oil ( 510 $\mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ). The crude oil ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(250$ mg ) was added. The mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere at RT and it was monitored by TLC analysis. The reaction was completed within 3 hour and filtration through a celite pad with EtOAc rinsing, followed with solvent removal (aspirator) afforded a white solid ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ); analytical TLC on silica gel, $1: 1$ EtOAc/hexane, Rf $=0.45$; analytical HPLC on CSP (Daicel CHIRACEL OD, 25 $\mathrm{cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D.), mobile phase $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}: 95 \% \mathrm{Hex}: 0.1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, retention time 21.7 min . ( S -isomer, minor) and 29.4 min . ( R -isomer, major), ratio $>99: 1$ ( $99 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ). Pure material was obtained by crystallization from ethyl acetate/hexane, $\mathrm{mp} 123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, colorless needles. Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+60.2$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0.67, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). No parent ion for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}-45,286.0786$, error $=3 \mathrm{ppm}$; base peak $=221 \mathrm{amu} ; \mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3314, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1139, \mathrm{SO}_{2} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{ppm})$ 8 11.5-9.5 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s) $7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.29-7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.14-7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.04-$ $6.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=10.8,5.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.28-3.17(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=10.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) 2.27(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} . \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 138.1,136.5,135.2,131.1,129.2,129.3,129.0,127.1,126.2,126.9,122.0,118.3$, $62.7,43.2,37.4,29.6$.


2

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}9.50 & 9.00 & 8.50 & 8.00 & 7.50 & 7.00 & 6.50 & 6.00 & 5.50 & 5.00 & 4.50 & 4.00 & 3.50 & 3.00 & 2.50 & 2.00 & 1.50 & 1.00 & 0.50 & 0.00 & -0.50\end{array}$ $\mathrm{sf}=300: 134 \mathrm{Mhz} / \mathrm{sw}=6024.096 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{si}=32768 \mathrm{pnts} / \mathrm{ns}=16 / \mathrm{lb}=0.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$



3b


[^17]

3b


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200.0 | 175.0 | 150.0 | 125.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | -25.0 |
| $\mathrm{sf}=75.469 \mathrm{Mhz} / \mathrm{sw}=20833.332 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{si}=65536 \mathrm{pnts} / \mathrm{ns}=131 / \mathrm{lb}=0.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}9.00 & 8.50 & 8.00 & 7.50 & 7.00 & 6.50 & 6.00 & 5.50 & 5.00 & 4.50 & 4.00 & 3.50 & 3.00 & 2.50 & 2.00 & 1.50 & 1.00 & 0.50 & 0.00 & -0.50 & -1.00\end{array}$ $\mathrm{sf}=300.134 \mathrm{Mhz} / \mathrm{sw}=6024.096 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{si}=32768 \mathrm{pnts} / \mathrm{ns}=64 / \mathrm{lb}=4.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$



5a

| Wix $\quad$. 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200.0 | 175.0 | 150.0 | 125.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | -25.0 |

## Offset



11.2511 .20


7b




9b




9b



 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}9.00 & 8.50 & 8.00 & 7.50 & 7.00 & 6.50 & 6.00 & 5.50 & 5.00 & 4.50 & 4.00 & 3.50 & 3.00 & 2.50 & 2.00 & 1.50 & 1.00 & 0.50 & 0.00 & -0.50\end{array}$ $\mathrm{sf}=300.134 \mathrm{Mhz} / \mathrm{sw}=6024.096 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{si}=32768 \mathrm{pnts} / \mathrm{ns}=16 / \mathrm{lb}=0.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$


10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200.0 | 175.0 | 150.0 | 125.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{sf}=75.469 \mathrm{Mhz} / \mathrm{sw}=20833.332 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{si}=65536 \mathrm{pnts} / \mathrm{ns}=122 / \mathrm{lb}=0.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$11$


## 


$11$




Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1.

| Identification code | es01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Crystal color, habit | colorless transparent prism |
| Crystal size | $0.45 \times 0.45 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{I}^{2} 1^{2} 1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=8.1269(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $b=16.2685(2) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $c=16.7232(3) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $2211.02(7) \AA^{3}$ |
| Peaks to determine cell | 7345 |
| $\theta$ range of cell peaks | 3.0 to $25.5{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Temperature | 133(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| 2 | 4 |
| Formula weight | 430.45 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.293 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.091 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| ${ }^{2}(000)$ | 904 |

```
Diffractometer
|}\mathrm{ range for data collection
Index ranges
ssan Type
Scan Time
Scan Range
Detector-to-sample distance
standard peaks
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
```

Siemens P4/CCD
1.75 to $25.96^{\circ}$
$-9 \leq h \leq 5,-19 \leq k \leq 14,-20 \leq \ell \leq 20$
phi scan frames
$30 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame
$0.3^{\circ}$ in phi
5.700 cm

250 peaks remeasured at end showed a maximum variation of 0.52 \%. 8107
$3810\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0231\right)$


Data Collection:
SMART Software Reference Manual (1994). Siemens Analytical
X-ray Instruments, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.
hata Reduction:
SAINT Version 4 Software Reference Manual (1995). Siemens Analytical

X-ray Instruments, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.

Itructure Solution, Refinement and Graphics:
G. M. Sheldrick (1994). SHELXTL Version 5 Reference Manual. Siemens Ana-
lytical X-ray Instruments, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.
lautral atom scattering factors were taken from:
International Tables for Crystallography, Vol C, Tables 6.1.1.4, 4.2.6.8, and 4.2.4.2, Kluwer: Boston.

Vethod of Absolute Structure Determination:
H. D. Flack (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Kknowledgement
Please acknowledge funds from NSF (grant CHE-9310428) and from the the University of Wisconsin for the purchase of the $x$-ray instrument and computers.

This structure was determined by Timothy K. Firman.

Wisellaneous
The displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.



Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1.

| Identification code | es02 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Crystal color, habit | colorless transparent |
| Crystal size | $0.54 \times 0.54 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21_{1}{ }_{1}{ }^{2} 1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=10.1689(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $b=14.0652(4) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $c=14.4694(4) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 2069.52(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Peaks to determine cell | 741 |
| $\theta$ range of cell peaks | 3.0 to $25.0{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Temperature | 133(2) K |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| z | 4 |
| Formula weight | 400.46 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.285 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.085 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 848 |

```
fata Collection
```

| diffractometer | Siemens P4/CCD |
| :---: | :---: |
| f range for data collection | 2.02 to $25.84^{\circ}$ |
| fdex ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 6,-17 \leq k \leq 15,-16 \leq \ell \leq 12$ |
| san Type | phi scan frames |
| fan Time | $10 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{frame}$ |
| fan Range | $0.3{ }^{\circ}$ in phi |
| Wtector-to-sample distance | 5.700 cm |
| F:andard peaks | 138 peaks remeasured at end |
|  | showed a maximum variation of $-0.52 \%$. |
| keflections collected | 7442 |
| E:dependont reflections | $3422\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0172\right)$ |

Solution and Refinement


Data Collection:

SMART Software Reference Manual (1994). Siemens Analytical
X-ray Instruments, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.

## Data Reduction:

SAINT Version 4 Software Reference Manual (1995). Siemens Analytical

X-ray Instruments, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.

Structure Solution, Refinement and Graphics:
G. M. Sheldrick (1994). SHELXTL Version 5 Reference Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.

Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from:
International Tables for Crystallography, Vol C, Tables 6.1.1.4, 4.2.6.8, and 4.2.4.2, Kluwer: Boston.

Method of Absolute Structure Determination:
H. D. Flack (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Acknowledgement
Please acknowledge funds from NSF (grant CHE-9310428) and from the the University of Wisconsin for the purchase of the x-ray instrument and computers.

Miscellaneous
The displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## Appendix 3
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## Experimental

A colorless prism-shaped crystal of dimensions $0.48 \times 0.42 \times 0.36 \mathrm{~mm}$ was selected for structural analysis. Intensity data for this compound were collected using a Siemens SMART ced area detector(1) mounted on a Siemens P4 diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda$ $=0.71073 \AA$ ). The sample was cooled to $133(2) \mathrm{K}$. The intensity data, which nominally covered one and a half hemispheres of reciprocal space, were measured as a series of $\phi$ oscillation frames each of $0.4^{\circ}$ for $15 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame. The detector was operated in $512 \times 512$ mode and was positioned 5.26 cm from the sample. Coverage of unique data was 99.9 \% complete to 25.00 degrees in $\theta$. Cell parameters were determined from a non-linear least squares fit of 5423 peaks in the range $3.0<\theta<25.0^{\circ}$. The first 50 frames were repeated at the end of data collection and yielded a total of 253 peaks showing a variation of $-0.32 \%$ during the data collection. A total of 8282 data were measured in the range $2.38<\theta<29.19^{\circ}$. The data were corrected for absorption by the empirical method (2) giving minimum and maximum transmission factors of 0.671 and 0.928 . The data were merged to form a set of 4139 independent data with R (int) $=0.0271$.

The orthorhombic space group P212121 was determined by systematic absences and statistical tests and verified by subsequent refinement. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares methods on $F^{2}$ (3). Hydrogen atom positions were initially determined by geometry and refined by a riding model. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. A total of 209 parameters were refined against 4139 data to give $\mathrm{wR}\left(F^{2}\right)=0.0526$ and $\mathrm{S}=$ 0.918 for weights of $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F^{2}\right)+(0.0218 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right]$, where $\mathrm{P}=\left[F_{0}{ }^{2}+\right.$ $\left.2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$. The final $\mathrm{R}(F)$ was 0.0274 for the 3609 observed, $[F>4 \sigma(F)]$, data. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.005 in the final refinement cycle. The final difference map had maxima and minima of 0.422 and $-0.305 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{A}^{3}$, respectively. The absolute structure was determined by refinement of the Flack parameter(4).

## Comment

The displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## Acknowledgment

The authors thank the National Science Foundation (grant CHE-9310428) and the University of Wisconsin for funds to purchase of the X-ray instrument and computers.
This structure was determined by Douglas R. Powell.
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Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 98130.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

98130
C18 H18 Br N O3
376.24

Orthorhombic
P212121
$\mathrm{a}=8.6374(7) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{b}=11.5364(10) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{c}=17.0869(14) \dot{\mathrm{A}} \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
$1702.6(2) \AA^{3}$
4
$1.468 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.71073 \AA$
Wavelength
133(2) K
768
$2.428 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
Empirical
0.928 and 0.671
2.38 to $29.19^{\circ}$.

8282
$4139[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0271]$
4139 / 0 / 209
$w R 2=0.0526$
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0274$
0.918

3609
-0.005(6)
0.0060(4)
0.005 and 0.001
0.422 and $-0.305 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$

Appendix 4

# "Enantioselective Enolate Protonation: Matching Chiral Aniline and Substrate Acidity" 

E. Vedejs*, A. W. Kruger, and E. Suna<br>Chemistry Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI 53706


#### Abstract

A comparison of chiral anilines la-f in the asymmetric protonation of enolate $\mathbf{1 5}$ shows that the optimum $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ value (chiral acid vs. protonated enolate) for the highest enantioselectivity is ca. 3 (Table 2). An extension of this concept to amino acid enolates was possible, and le was found to give the best enantioselectivity ( $85 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) with the alanine-derived N -lithioenolate 5 a (Table 3). Changes in aniline pKa due to variation of substiments at the aniline nitrogen were evaluated briefly, but these changes did not show consistent trends in the enantioselectivity vs. pKa.


Enolate desymmetrization by protonation with chiral acids has reached practical levels of enantioselectivity in a number of studies. ${ }^{1}$ In the best examples, ee values in the range of $95-99 \%$ have been demonstrated, and catalytic as well as stoichiometric procedures are known at this level of enantioselectivity. Progress with the mechanistic aspects of the proton transfer step has been slower. Enolates have several structural options in solutions that contain other species such as lithium amides, lithium halides, enolate dimers, mixed aggregates, and so on. ${ }^{2}$ Experimental variables are also potentially complex. In our own work, the optimized procedures have typically been developed by empirical means. ${ }^{\text {tb. } 3}$ The effort required to isolate and to understand individual variables has inevitably taken much more time than the empirical optimization. On the other hand, the need to improve our understanding of the key variables is clear. Despite extraordinary levels of enantioselectivity for the best applications, the available chiral acids tend to be effective only for a narrow range of enolate substrates.

As discussed in a recent review by Fehr, ${ }^{\text {la }}$ highly enantioselective proton transfer between a chiral acid and a prochiral enolate is likely only if the rate of proton transfer is slow. A strongly exothermic protonation event would probably be unselective, especially if the chiral acid is so potent that proton transfer approaches diffusion control. On the other hand, the ideal chiral acid $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{H}$ for a given enolate substrate $E(-)$ must be strong enough to completely protonate the enolate and to resist the reverse reaction where the chiral carbonyl product E-H is deprotonated reversibly by the anion $A^{*}(-)$. This is essential if formation of racemic E-H is to be avoided.

Complete protonation of the enolate requires proton transfer on a convenient laboratory time scale, and therefore involves both the kinetic and the thermodynamic acidity of the chiral acid. The latter term can be evaluated by comparing the pKa 's of the chiral acid and the protonated enolate,
while the former (kinetic acidity) can be approximated by assuming that the rate of proton transfer will increase as the $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ (the value of $\left[\mathrm{pKa}_{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}}\right]-\left[\mathrm{pKa}_{\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{H}}\right]$ ) increases. ${ }^{4}$ However, there has been limited information regarding the acceptable range of $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ values (chiral acid vs. protonated enolate) that allow enantioselective proton transfer. In a prior investigation from this laboratory, evidence was presented that $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ should be $c a .3$, based on the behavior of two enolates that differ in the degree of anion stabilization. However, some of the earliest promising examples of enolate desymmetrization (Duhamel et al.) used chiral carboxylic acids as the proton donors, and the $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ relevant to these experiments appears to be considerably larger. ${ }^{5}$

We have considered the possibility that an optimum $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ range might be identified for different classes of enolate substrates in asymmetric protonation using structurally similar chiral acids based on the chiral diamine skeleton 1. Previous studies have established that the commercially available $p$-chloro derivative $\mathbf{1 b}$ functions as an excellent chiral "acid" in the enantioselective protonation of strongly basic $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated amide enolates. ${ }^{\text {lb }}$ If $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ is an important variable, then the issue can be probed by studying derivatives of 1 where the substituent $X$ is varied systematically to change acidity. Alternatively, chiral acid pKa can be adjusted by varying the aniline nitrogen substituent. In principle, this could be an easier approach starting from the aniline 2 , but enantiomerically pure $\mathbf{2}$ is not easy to prepare. ${ }^{6}$ On the other hand, a dimethoxy analog $\mathbf{3 a}$ is readily available via an asymmetric hydrogention approach, and the N -methyl derivative $\mathbf{3 b}$ is comparable to $\mathbf{l b}$ as a chiral acid in the protonation of amide enolates. Conversion of $\mathbf{3 a}$ to $\mathbf{3 c}$ has already been reported, and 3d can be made in a similar way (Cbz protection of the secondary amine followed by N-benzoylation and deprotection). ${ }^{6}$ The DMSO pKa values for $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 d}$ can be estimated as ca. 12 and 19, respectively, by comparison with the parent aniline derivatives ( N -phenylsulfonylaniline, pKa
11.95; N-benzoylaniline, $\mathrm{pKa}=18.77$ ) studied by Bordwell et al. ${ }^{7}$ However, preliminary attempts to find possible applications with carboxylic acid-derived enolates were not promising. Thus, the sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 c}$ gave $<10 \%$ ee in test reactions with ester enolates 4 or 5 , and only marginally improved results were obtained with enolates 6 or 7 ( $36 \%$ ee and $28 \%$ ee, respectively). The analogous amide 3d was ineffective in all cases ( $<5 \%$ ee with 5-7). Only the N -sulfamoyl derivative 3e gave a promising result among the anilines containing an electron-withdrawing substituent ( $58 \%$ ee with lactone enolate 7), but similar experiments with 5 ( $15 \%$ ee) and $6(12 \%$ ee) were not encouraging. Furthermore, $\mathbf{3 e}$ was difficult to make and to purify ( N - Cbz protection of $\mathbf{3 a}$ followed by treatment with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} /$ pyridine resulted in a mixture of disproportionation products; see experimental).

The asymmetric protonations with $3 c$ suggest a modest trend for improved enantioselectivity with the less basic enolates, as might be expected if the optimum $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ value should be relatively small. However, no trend is evident with 3e and the lack of any significant enantioselectivity with 3d is problematic for an investigation of related chiral acids having varied pKa . Other electronwithdrawing nitrogen substituents can be considered, but the preliminary results indicate that comparisons will be difficult because steric and electronic changes near aniline nitrogen may be large enough to obscure the possible role $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$.

An alternative approach to matching the pKa values of chiral acid and enolate substrate was pursued, based on variations in the aniline ring substituent in 1 . The pKa values of the series of chiral diamines 1 can be estimated from the known pKa's of the parent anilines (Table 1) by comparison with the value determined by Bordwell and Satish for the commercially available $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{b}^{83}$ The measured pKa for $\mathbf{l b}$ is 27.7 (DMSO conditions), while the parent $p$-chloroaniline $\mathbf{8 b}$ has a DMSO pKa of
29.4. ${ }^{\text {8b }}$. The difference of 1.7 pKa units reflects the net contribution by the N -methyl group and the tetrahydroisoquinoline subunit. We will assume that the same correction of 1.7 pKa units can be applied to the other anilines $\mathbf{8}$ as an approximate way to estimate the pKa values of the structurally related diamines 1. Thus, anilines having a range of DMSO pKa values from ca. 19-29 would be available for study as the aromatic substituent in $\mathbf{1}$ is modified from strong acceptors such as $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (1f) to the unsubstituted aniline $\mathbf{1 a}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$. Because the variable substituent X is relatively far from the aniline nitrogen, the asymmetric protonation of enolates would encounter little if any change in steric effects as the pKa is changed. Electronic factors would also be less than in the examples 3 c or 3d (where the nitrogen substituents were altered), although a trend toward $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized nitrogen might be expected in $\mathbf{1 f}$ and perhaps also in 1d due to delocalization involving the nitrogen electron pair and the para acceptor group.

Table 1. pKa (DMSO) of $\boldsymbol{p}$-Substituted Anilines 8 and Chiral Acids 1.

| $p$-Substituent X | aniline 8 <br> $\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{DMSO})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | chiral acid 1 <br> $\mathrm{pKa}(\mathrm{DMSO})$ | chiral acid 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 30.7 | $29.0^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ |
| Cl | 29.4 | $27.7^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 27.0 | $25.3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{1 c}$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $26.5^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $24.8^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{1 d}$ |
| Ts | $24.9^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $23.3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{1 e}$ |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 20.9 | $19.3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{1 f}$ |

(a) pKa (DMSO) from ref. 8 b unless noted otherwise. (b) Estimated as described in text. (c) Ref. lb (d) Calculated from $\sigma_{p}=0.74$ and $\rho=5.67$ using the Hammett equation, $\mathrm{pKa}_{p-\mathrm{CO} 2 \mathrm{R}}-\mathrm{pKa}_{p-\mathrm{H}}=\Delta \mathrm{pKa}=\rho \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{-}$according to ref. 9. (e) The pKa value for phenylsulfonyl is listed as an estimate for toluenesulfonyl.

The synthesis of the chiral diamines began with the commercially available $\mathbf{1 b}$. Conversion
to the aminal 9 was easily carried out using isobutyraldehyde in the presence of acetic acid. With the N-H bonds temporarily blocked, 9 could be transformed into the Grignard reagent 10. Forcing conditions were necessary, but mechanically activated magnesium in refluxing THF proved sufficient for essentially complete chlorine-magnesium exchange. ${ }^{10}$ Upon quenching the Grignard solution with aqueous ammonium chloride, $\mathbf{1 1}$ was recovered in high yield. Alternatively, electrophilic trapping with diethyl carbonate ${ }^{112}$ or $p$-toluenesulfonyl fluoride ${ }^{11 b}$ gave the ester $\mathbf{1 2}$ or the sulfone $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $71 \%$ and $85 \%$ yield, respectively. Hydrolytic cleavage of the aminals 9, 11, or $\mathbf{1 2}$ with dilute HCl gave the desired diamines 1a, 1d, and $\mathbf{1 e}$. The unsubstituted diamine $\mathbf{1 a}$ was also prepared by a nickelcatalyzed dechlorination with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$.

For access to the more acidic $p$-nitro analog $\mathbf{1 f}$, the direct nitration of $\mathbf{1 a}$ was briefly explored. However, this reaction proved difficult to control for the desired regioisomer. Better results were obtained by nitrating the aminal 11 with nitronium tetrafluoroborate at $-40 \square \mathrm{C}$. This gave the mononitro derivative 14, and hydrolysis to lf proceeded without complications (55\% overall yield). The site of nitration was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

A modified approach was needed to prepare the trifluormethyl diamine 1c. The racemic parent compound is known and can be made by a standard Bischler-Napieralski cyclization sequence, followed by reduction. ${ }^{12}$ We therefore opted to resolve the racemate, following the precedent reported for the resolution of $\mathbf{1 b}$ with tartaric acid. The resolution required multiple crystallizations of the salt, but sufficient $\mathbf{1 c}$ was obtained with $>99 \%$ enantiomeric purity for several test experiments involving enolate protonation.

Because the estimated pKa values for several of the chiral anilines 1 should be relatively high ( $\mathrm{pKa}=c a .25$ or above for $\mathbf{l a}-\mathbf{I d}$ ), the asymmetric protonation studies began with the strongly basic naproxen enolate 15 . Conversion to the amide 16 was carried out by treatment with 1 at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by warming to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenching with dilute aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The protonated amide was recovered, and the enantioselectivity was established by hple assay using a chiral stationary phase (hplc/csp). As summarized in Table 2, the original lead compound $\mathbf{1 b}$ was the most highly enantioselective proton donor. Lower enantioselectivity resulted for diamines $\mathbf{1 c}-\mathrm{f}$, and the most acidic $p$-nitro derivative if gave racemic product. This was also the fastest reaction in terms of discharge of the orange-red enolate color (seconds at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A smaller decrease in enantioselectivity was observed when the pKa value of the chiral acid was increased (1a), and complete fading of the enolate color was slower in this experiment compared to the others.

Table 2. Asymmetric Protonation of Amide Enolate 15 with 1.

| chiral acid | X | pKa <br> (DMSO) | ee (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| la | H | $29.0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 90 |
| 1b | Cl | $27.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $97^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| lc | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $25.3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 93 |
| ld | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $24.8^{\circ}$ | 40 |
| le | Ts | $23.3^{\circ}$ | 37 |
| lf | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $19.3^{\circ}$ | 0 |

(a) Estimated; see Table $\mid$ discussion.
(b) reference Ib

The DMSO pKa of the amide 16 is too high for accurate measurement, but a value of $c a .31$ has been estimated. ${ }^{13}$ Thus, the optimum chiral acid $\mathbf{1 b}$ among the available $p$-substituted anilines $\mathbf{1}$ has a $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ value $=\mathrm{ca} .3$ compared to the protonated carbonyl product 16 . Reasonably high enantioselectivities are also obtained with 1a and with 1c, suggesting that $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ can be in the range of 2-5. Of course, the measured pKa values are strictly relevant only to DMSO conditions, while the protonation experiments were conducted in THF (ion pair conditions). The ion pair pKa 's would probably be several units lower in THF for all of the chiral acids and for the carbonyl product, ${ }^{14}$ but it is likely that the $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ would vary less than the individual values, assuming similar solvent effects on both the enolate and the lithiated aniline. If this is correct, then it may be possible to anticipate the best chiral acid among the derivatives of 1 for a given enolate by evaluating estimated DMSO pKa values.

Among the enolates considered earlier, the lactone-derived 7 should have the lowest pKa value, previously estimated as $\mathrm{pKa}=c a .20$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}{ }^{3}$ According to the pKa 's in Table 2, only the most acidic $p$-nitro aniline If would have any chance for effecting the direct proton transfer to 7. However, treatment of 7 with 1 f gave racemic lactone product. Since the estimated $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}=c a .1$, this is not a surprising result. Proton transfer should be reversible, and racemization could occur if the initial protonation event is enantioselective. More strongly basic enolates are needed to match the chiral aniline series $\mathbf{1}$ with $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ in the range of $3-5$ where optimum enantioselectivity is expected.

We could find no pKa values reported for enolates such as $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}$ or $\mathbf{6}$, but it is possible that 5 would be the most strongly basic among these substrates due to electron repulsion in the dianion. This enolate was therefore studied in asymmetric protonation experiments using several of the more
readily available anilines 1 . As shown in Table 3 (eutries $1-4$ ), the optimum chiral acid proved to be the $p$-phenylsulfonyl derivative $\mathbf{l e}(85 \%$ ee). The less acidic analogs 1 d and 1 lb gave lower enantioselectivities, while the most acidic if afforded racemic methyl $N$-benzoylalaninate. Structural modifications in the nitrogen substituent or the ester O-alkyl group in alanine-derived enolates resulted in lower enantioselectivity (entries 5-9). Other amino acid environments were not explored in detail, but promising enantioselectivity was observed in two cases using the optimum proton donor le (entries 10,11 ).

Table 3. Asymmetric Protonation of Dilithiated Amino Acid Esters 5.

| Entry | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | Chiral Acid | ee (\%) (S) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (5a) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1b | 58 |
| 2 (5a) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1d | 71 |
| 3 (5a) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1e | 85 |
| 4 (5a) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 17 | 0 |
| 5 (5b) | OBn | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | le | 50 |
| 6 (5c) | mesityl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1e | 78 |
| 7 (5d) | $a$-naphthyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | le | 83 |
| 8 (5e) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Et | 1e | 81 |
| $9(5 \mathrm{f})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\ell-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 1e | 47 |
| 10 (5g) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $E t$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1e | 80 |
| 11 (5h) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | Bn | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | le | 65 |

Based on the DMSO pKa estimate for $1 \mathbf{e}$ (23.3) and an optimum $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}=c a .2-4$, the pKa for singly protonated 5 could be in the range of $25-27$. If the lithiated amido subunit $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OLi})=\mathrm{N}$ in 5 is treated as an unsaturated substituent, then the closest literature analogy having a known pKa value could be ethyl phenylacetate $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et} ; \mathrm{DMSO} \mathrm{pKa}=22.6\right)$, with phenyl as the unsaturated group. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Evidently, $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OL} \mathrm{i})=\mathrm{N}$ is not as effective as phenyl in stabilizing the enolate, but there is some stabilization, given that ethyl acetate has a PKa of $\mathrm{ca} .30{ }^{15}$

One final series of experiments was performed using enolate 4 a as the substrate and the most mportant chiral acids $1 \mathbf{b}$ and le. Racemic products resulted in each case. Modest enantioselectivities in the range of $37-50 \%$ ee were obtained with the analogous enolate $\mathbf{4 b}$ as the substrate and with 1 e as the chiral proton source, but lb gave $16 \%$ ee under similar conditions. These results were not deemed sufficiently promising to warrant a more detailed investigation.

## Summary.

The data summarized in Table 2 provide some support for the notion that asymmetric protonation of the amide enolate 15 is optimal when $\Delta \mathrm{pKa}$ (chiral acid vs. 16) is $c a .3$. The proton transfer process is essentially complete and irreversible under the optimum conditions, as required to minimize the formation of racemic product. The knowledge of chiral acid pKa 's is helpful in the selection of chiral acids for other purposes, as in the amino acid enolate protonations summarized in Table 3. Of course, pKa alone does not control enantioselectivity and other factors remain to be optimized. Furthermore, the enantioselectivities in Table 2 do not reflect the relative pKa values in detail. Thus, the behavior of $\mathbf{1 d}$ ( $p$-ethoxycarbonyl) and 1c ( $p$-trifluoromethyl) is rather different even though the difference in effective pKa 's is probably small compared to the error inherent in extending the numbers from DMSO to THF solution. Furthermore, the absence of any enantioselectivity in the case of $\mathbf{1 f}$ in Table 2 (as well as with the other enolates that were treated with $\mathbf{1 f}$ ) suggests that pKa is not the only reason why $1 \mathbf{f}$ is ineffective. A hybridization change at aniline nitrogen due to delocalization with the $p$-nitro group is one possible explanation for the large difference between If and the other chiral diamines. ${ }^{16}$ A similar effect may be the reason why the p-ethoxycarbonyl example $\mathbf{1 d}$ differs so much from 1c. The hybridization argument could also explain why $\mathbf{3 d}$ is an ineffective chiral acid, but there are other variables to consider in this case. In any event, the pKa trends in Table 2 show that there is an optimum pKa match in terms of enantioselectivity with a given enolate, and the trend is clear.

Extension of the optimum pKa concept to other systems is possible, as shown by the amino acid examples. The results of Table 3 describe the best asymmetric protonations for an alaninederived substrate reported to date, but further effort will be needed to obtain high enantioselectivity
and broader substrate tolerance. ${ }^{5}$ The latter problem remains a difficult challenge for the asymmetric protonation of enolates.
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## Experimental:

General: HPLC analysis was performed on a Gilson system using chiral stationary phases with detection by UV. All air and/or moisture sensitive reactions were run under an atmosphere of nitrogen in oven or flame dried glassware. Materials were purified as follows: tetrahydrofuran and diethyl ether were freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Organolithium reagents were titrated using the menthol/phenanthroline procedure.

## Chiral Acids:

Diamine derivatives 3a-3d are available in $>99 \%$ ee as previously described. Diamine $\mathbf{1 b}$ has also been described, ${ }^{12}$ but the following procedure was used to ensure high enantiomer purity. The commercially available (-)-1-[5'-chloro-2'-(methylamino)-phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (-) tartrate $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, Aldrich) was refluxed in 800 mL methanol for 1 h . After filtration of the hot solution, the filtrate was allowed to cool to room temperature followed by cooling to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Filtration yielded a cake of white solid. The free diamine was isolated by partitioning the tartrate salt between 40 mL ether and a solution of potassium hydroxide ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 43.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 40 mL water with vigorous stirring. After dissolution was complete ( $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) the aqueous layer was washed with ether ( 40 mL ). The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to a solid 2.7 $\mathrm{g}(70 \%)$. Pure $\mathbf{1 b}$ was obtained by recrystallization from ether ( $\mathrm{mp} 99-99.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; lit. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{mp} 98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
(S)-1-(2-N,N-Dimethylsulfamoylamino)phenyl-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (3e).

Conversion of 3a to the $N$-benzyloxycarbonyl derivative at the secondary nitrogen has been reported. ${ }^{6}$ To a solution of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cbz}$ protected diamine $(2.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in pyridine ( 100 mL , distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ ) was added $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylsulfamoyl chloride (Acros, $6.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 59.7$ ) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h . Pyridine was evaporated (aspirator, below $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and the oily residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, water, brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration and solvent removal (aspirator) the resulting oil was purified by flash column chromatography (column size: 150X50 mm) with petroleum ether-EtOAc 5:2 (fractions \#1-45, 50 mL ea), and then petroleum ether-EtOAc $1: 1$ (fractions \#46-68, 50 mL ea). Fractions \#9-16 contained solid $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NSO}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : single peak at 2.82 ppm in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), 1.03 g . Fractions \#19-

36 gave a mixture of starting material and product in a ratio 3:7 as a colorless oil that was used in the deprotection step, below. Fractions \#41-59 contained 1.11 g of material having no N -methyl signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum, consistent with replacement of a $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ fragment by a second diamine molecule. The crude oil from fractions 19-36 (1.03 g) was dissolved in 30 mL of glacial acetic acid and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(400 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at rt. After 8 h , additional $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ was added ( 200 mg ) and hydrogenolysis was continued for 6 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite with methanol rinsing and solvent was removed (aspirator, $<30 \square \mathrm{C}$ ). .The residue was dissolved in water ( 10 mL ) and the solution was basified by slow addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The white crystalline precipitate formed was filtered, dried in vacuo (ca. 1 mm Hg ) over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and twice recrystallized from EtOAc-Hex to give 660 mg of crystalline sulfonamide 3 e containing ca. $10 \%$ of diamine $\mathbf{3 a}$ ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ assay). Two recrystallizations yielded pure sulfonamide $3 \mathrm{e}(560 \mathrm{mg}$, $24 \%$ overall); analytical tlc on silica gel, $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.17$; analytical hplc, CHIRALCEL OD (60:40 hex/isopropanol, $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.5 \mathrm{~min}(S)$-isomer); by comparison with a racemic sample, the $(R)$-isomer elutes at $18.8 \mathrm{~min} .3 \mathrm{e}: \mathrm{mp} 156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dec., colorless needles. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+8.1(\mathrm{c}=1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Anal. calcd: $\mathrm{C}, 58.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.45 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.73, \mathrm{~S}, 8.19$, found: $\mathrm{C}, 58.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.62 ; \mathrm{S}$, 8.11; IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ film, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3325, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1330, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.6-6.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.62$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.39-3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.19-3.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ $2.80-2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.39(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

## (-)-1-[2'-(Methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1a).

To a suspension of anhydrous $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 12.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 b}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL dry THF at $-40 \square \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was slowly added $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in THF (Aldrich, $1.0 \mathrm{M} ; 12.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.3$ mmol ) and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to RT gradually and stirred for 48 h at RT The resulting black mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the inorganic salts were removed by filtration through a celite pad, the filter was washed well with THF, and the THF was evaporated (aspirator) to give a yellow oil. The oil was redissolved in ether, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, and the crude yellow oil was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (gradient elution; $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ to $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to give a yellow oil containing some solid ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ )

The yellow oil was crystallized from hexane to give a pale yellow powder, analytical tlc on silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane with $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.21$. Pure material was obtained by recrystallization from hexane, mp 77-79 $\square \mathrm{C}$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}: 238.14705$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=238.1470$, error= 0 ppm ; base peak= 132 amu ; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3310, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1586, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} ; 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ NMR (acetone-d ${ }_{6}$, ppm) $\delta 7.25-6.80(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.3-3.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.06-2.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{M}) 2.8-2.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.63$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(68 \mathrm{MHz},\{\mathrm{H}\}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 148.5,137.4,134.8,130.6,128.7,128.5,126.7$, $126.3,126.1,125.6,115.4,110.3,61.64,42.5,30.1,29.4$.

## (-)-1-[5'-Trifluoromethyl-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1c)

The racemic compound was prepared as previously described and was resolved by repeated crystallization of the tartrate salt from ethanol following the procedure reported for the resolution of 1b. This gave ca. $10 \%$ of the crystalline tartrate salt. Base treatment as described for $\mathbf{1 b}$, above, afforded 1c, mp 52-3 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane); Anal. calcd: $\mathrm{C}, 66.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.59 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.14$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.63$; N, 9.17; analytical hplc ([S.S]- $\beta$-Gem 1, Pirkle covalent, $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D., Regis), $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, hexane; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-48.8\left(\mathrm{c}=0.67, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3339, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 3292, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1320, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.78 .7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.22-7.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.06-7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.75$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.32-3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ 3.11-3.00 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) 2.84-2.70 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $2.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 1.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $\mathrm{ppm}) \delta 151.1,136.5,134.9,129.0,127.6 \mathrm{q}, 126.1 \mathrm{q}, 126.4,126.7,126.0,125.6,123.5,116.7 \mathrm{q}$, $109.5,62.3,43.0,30.0,29.5$.

1-[5'-chloro-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline isobutylidene aminal, 9.
To a solution of $\mathbf{1 b}(2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 10.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 180 mL of MeOH was added isobutyraldehyde ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) and catalytic acetic acid ( 0.1 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at it for 2 h . After removal of solvent (aspirator), the residue was purified by plug filtration chromatography on EM silica gel 60, 1:4 EtOAc/hexane $1 \%$ triethylamine eluent to yield 3.6 g of crude solid. Pure material was obtained by crystallization from ether/hexane (crop $1,1.98 \mathrm{~g}$; crop $2,0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ), mp 114.5-115.5 C. Analytical tic on EM silica gel $60,1: 9 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $1 \%$ triethylamine, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.60$.

Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}: 326.15506$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=326.1541$, error $=3 \mathrm{ppm}$; base peak $=$ $283 \mathrm{amu} ; \operatorname{RR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3058$, $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} ; 2840-2975, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} ; 270 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ $7.30-7.13(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}) 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.20-3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.80-2.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ $2.15-1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(68 \mathrm{MHz},\{\mathrm{H}\}$, DEPT135, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 141.3 \mathrm{~s}, 134.8 \mathrm{~s}, 134.6 \mathrm{~s}, 129.9 \mathrm{~d}, 129.5 \mathrm{~d}, 127.8 \mathrm{~d}, 127.4 \mathrm{~d}, 127.0 \mathrm{~d}$, 125.0 d, $121.9 \mathrm{~s}, 120.0 \mathrm{~s}, 110.9 \mathrm{~d}, 87.8 \mathrm{~d}, 53.9 \mathrm{~d}, 46.1 \mathrm{t}, 40.9 \mathrm{~d}, 33.6 \mathrm{q}, 28.9 \mathrm{t}, 19.9 \mathrm{q}, 18.8 \mathrm{q}$.

## 1-[2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline isobutylidene aminal (11).

A dry $50-\mathrm{mL}$ flask with condenser, containing a $\mathrm{l}^{\prime \prime}$ stir bar and magnesium turnings ( 600 mg , 25 mmol , Baker and Adamson) was flame dried under nitrogen flush. Mechanical activation (dry stirring) of the magnesium turnings was done for at least 5 h following the literature precedent. ${ }^{10}$

To another flask was added the aminal 9 ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solid was dissolved in 2 mL THF and transferred via cannula into the activated magnesium, followed by rinsing with THF ( $2 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Then 1,2 dibromoethane ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and after bubble evolution was evident, the solution was heated to reflux. After 15 h , the Grignard solution containing 10 was cooled to room temperature, and 10 mL sat' $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added. After filtration through Celite, the layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated (aspirator) to afford 11, 190 mg $(100 \%)$ as an oil. Analytical tlc on EM silica gel $60,7: 3$ hexane/EtOAc, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.64$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2}: 292.1940$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=292.1932$, error $=3 \mathrm{ppm}$; base peak $=249 \mathrm{amu} ; \mathbb{R}$ (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2908=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.32-7.08(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ 6.55-6.49 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.18-3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.86-2.72$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.68-2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ sept, $\mathrm{J}=9.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ 6.6 Hz ).

1-[5'-Carboethoxy-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline isobutylidene aminal (12).

The Grignard reagent 10 was prepared as described above from magnesium turnings ( 600 mg ,
$25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and aminal $9(255 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 15 h , the stark black Grignard solution was transferred via cannula into diethylcarbonate ${ }^{112}(0.280 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, stirred for 15 h , and then quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The organic layer was separated and washed with brine. The combined aqueous extracts were basified to pH 9 with 1 M NaOH , and extracted with ether. All organic extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated (aspirator). The residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(14 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}), 3: 17 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $1 \%$ triethylamine eluent, 5 mL fractions; fractions $6-12,251 \mathrm{mg}$ of $12(88 \%)$; analytical tlc on EM silica gel $60,1: 9 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane $1 \%$ triethylamine, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.28$. Pure material was obtained by crystallization from ethanol, mp 134.8-135.0 C. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 364.21509$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=$ 364.2165 , error $=4 \mathrm{ppm}$; base peak $=321 \mathrm{amu}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1697, \mathrm{C}=0 ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.33-7.19$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 4.27-4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.46$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.20-3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.79-2.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.17-2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 1.28$ (3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

1-[5'-Toluenesulfonyl-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline isobutylidene aminal (13).

The Grignard solution containing 10 was prepared as described above from magnesium turnings ( $715 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aminal $9(1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 9 h , the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $p$-toluenesulfonyl fluoride ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ ( $741 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv, Aldrich, purity $98 \%$ ) was added as a solution in 10 mL THF. The reaction was allowed to warm to rt and was stirred for 13 h . After the addition of 10 mL water, the biphasic solution was extracted with ether ( $4 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to a foam ( 1.73 g ). The residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(7 \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}) 7: 3(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc eluent ( 10 mL fractions; fractions $2-3,107 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{11} 10 \$,$% ; fractions 9-17,1.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{13}$, $85 \%$ ); analytical tlc on EM silica gel 60, 7:3 hexane/EtOAc, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.27$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 446.20285$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=446.2005$, error $=5 \mathrm{ppm}$; base peak $=405 \mathrm{amu} ; \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1592, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1$, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.34-7.16(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ,7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.5 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) 3.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.15-3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) 3.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) 2.68-2.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \\
& \mathrm{m}) 2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) 2.14-1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) 1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1-[5'-Carboethoxy-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1d).

A $250-\mathrm{mL}$ flask was charged with $12(1.563 \mathrm{~g}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 150 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and the solution was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen stream. After 3 h the mixture was cooled to rt , diluted with 60 mL of ether, neutralized with saturated sodium bicarbonate, and basified to pH 9 with 1 M NaOH . The layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted ( $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) with ether. The combined ethereal extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated (aspirator) to give $\mathbf{1 d}$ ( 1.46 g) as a yellow oil. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(15 \times 4 \mathrm{~cm})$, $1: 4$ acetone/hexane $5 \%$ triethylamine eluent ( 15 mL fractions); fractions $10-26,1.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{1d}, 82 \%$; analytical tlc on EM silica gel 60, 1:4 EtOAc/hexane $5 \%$ triethylamine, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.12$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 310.16815$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=310.1683$, error $=0 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 1692, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$; $3325, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}) 7.20-7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.05-6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 6.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 4.37-4.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.35-3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.15-3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.85-2.60(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brd}$ d J=3.1 Hz) $2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s) $1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

1-[5'-ToluenesulfonyI-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1e).
To $13(437 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added 50 mL of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and the mixture was heated to 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen stream for 3 h . After cooling to rt , the solution was neutralized with sat'd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and taken to pH 10 with 1 M NaOH . After extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to give a yellow oil. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(12 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}) 1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc eluent ( 10 mL fractions); fractions $8-13,345 \mathrm{mg} \mathbf{1 e}, 90 \%$; analytical tlc on EM silica gel $60,1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.32$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: 392.1559$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=392.1542$, error $=4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathbb{R}\left(\right.$ neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3323, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1146, \mathrm{SO}_{2} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 87.78-7.70(3 \mathrm{H}$, m) $7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.20-7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$
$6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.28-3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ 3.12-2.96 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) 2.85-2.71 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) $2.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$.

## 1-[5'-Nitro-2'-(methylamino)phenyl]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, (1f).

To $1 \mathrm{a}(987 \mathrm{mg}, 3.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of acetonitrile at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of nitronium tetrafluoroborate ( $497 \mathrm{mg}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv, Aldrich) in 35 mL of acetonitrile over 1.5 h and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for an additional 30 min . The solution was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with sat'd $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ). The reaction was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to give an orange/black solid. To this crude residue was added 50 mL 1.5 N HCl and 50 mL THF and the mixture was stirred for 10 h , and then was heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a constant nitrogen stream for 2 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction was neutralized with sat'd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with ether ( $4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to give an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of this material indicated a small amount of dinitration product was present, so the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(5 \times 3$ cm , fraction 8-22) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluent to give $526 \mathrm{mg}(55 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 f}$. Analytical tlc on EM silica gel 60 , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Rf}=0.30$. Pure material was obtained by crystallization from benzene/pentane ( mp 172$173.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, orange cubes, 454 mg ). Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}: 283.13210$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=$ 283.1315, error $=2 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3226, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1296, \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta$ $8.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.29-7.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ $6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.33-3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 3.15-3.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ $2.85-2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 2.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$.

## N -2, 4, 6-trimethylbenzoylalanine methyl ester (5c).

To 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoic acid ( $733 \mathrm{mg}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added oxalyl chloride ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1 drop DMF. After 3 h , the solvents were evaporated, and 15 $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and racemic alanine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $625 \mathrm{mg}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. With a room temperature bath for cooling, triethylamine ( $1.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 5 min and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After the addition of 15 mL of 1.5

NHCl , the layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water:sat'd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated (aspirator) to a golden oil. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(14 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$, fraction $4-8,1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%), 7: 3$ hexane/EtOAc eluent; analytical tlc on EM silica gel 60, 7:3 hexane/EtOAc, $\mathrm{Rf}=0.26$. Pure 5 c was obtained by crystallization from ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{mp} 88.5-89.5 \mathrm{C}$. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: 249.13650$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=249.1370$, error $=2 \mathrm{ppm}$; base peak $=147 \mathrm{amu} ; \mathbb{R}\left(\right.$ neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3263, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1751, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 1639, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 6.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}$, s) $2.29(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 2.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 1.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta \mathrm{I} 73.3 \mathrm{~s}, 169.9 \mathrm{~s}$, $138.6 \mathrm{~s}, 134.3 \mathrm{~d}, 128.2 \mathrm{~s}, 128.2 \mathrm{~s}, 52.5 \mathrm{~d}, 47.9 \mathrm{q}, 21.1 \mathrm{q}, 19.0 \mathrm{q}, 18.5 \mathrm{q}$.

## N -1-Naphthoyl alanine methyl ester (5d).

To 1-naphthoic acid ( $735 \mathrm{mg}, 4.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 1 drop DMF was added oxalyl chloride $(0.417 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.70 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 6 h the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in $15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After the addition of racemic alanine methyl ester hydrochloride ( 596 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, Aldrich), triethyl amine ( $0.892 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise while cooling with a water bath. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, 15 \mathrm{~mL} 1.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ was added and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined and extracted with $1: 1$ sat'd $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ water ( 10 mL ) and the aqueous layer was back extracted with $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to a white solid ( $904 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ analysis showed the presence of $8 \%$ of an isomeric product, probably derived from 2-naphthoic acid. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on EM silica gel $60(20 \times 3 \mathrm{~cm}, 15 \mathrm{~mL}$, fractions $11-15,545 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%), 7: 3$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc eluent; analytical tlc on EM silica gel $60,7: 3$ hexane $/ E t O A c, \mathrm{Rf}=$ 0.21. Pure material was obtained by crystallization from ethyl acetate/hexane, mp 130.5-131.5 C. Molecular ion calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: 257.10520$; found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}=257.1055$, error=1 ppm; base peak $=155$ amu; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3280, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H} ; 1743, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 1643, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 8.36(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.95-7.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 7.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.60-7.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}) 4.93(\mathrm{lH}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 1.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$

Deracemization of Naproxen Diisopropyl Amide (16); Representative procedure for Table 2.
To racemic $\mathbf{1 6}^{3}$ ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of THF was added sec-BuLi ( $202 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28$ mmol, 1.38 M in cyclohexane, 1.75 equiv) and the solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After dropwise addition of a solution of $\mathbf{1 d}(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) in 1.0 mL THF , the solution was stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by warming to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h and quenching with 1 mL sat' d $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The reaction was partitioned between 10 mL ether and 10 mL 1.5 N HCl and the aqueous layer was washed with 10 mL ether. The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to afford $\mathbf{1 6}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%)$ in $>95 \%$ purity by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assay. After silica plug filtration (EtOAc eluent), HPLC analysis as described in Table 4 indicated $40 \%$ ee favoring the second eluting enantiomer $(R)-16$. In a similar experiment, the reaction mixture was quenched with a THF/sat'd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but the same result was obtained. To recover the $\mathbf{1 d}$, the aqueous layer above was basified to pH 10 using a 1 M NaOH solution and extracted with ether (3 $\times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ether layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to afford $75 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ 1d.

## Asymmetric protonation of 7.

The yellow lactone enolate 7 was generated as previously described ${ }^{3}$ from 1.75 equiv. of mesityllithium. Sulfonamide 3 e ( 2.0 equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described for 16 . A deepening of the yellow color was observed. After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was allowed to warm to $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added. The enantiomer excess ( $58 \%$ ee) was determined as described previously. ${ }^{3}$

Deracemization of Amino Acid Derivatives; Representative procedure for Table 3.
The procedure for the deracemization of $5 a^{17 a}$ is shown as an example. The other amino acid substrates for Table 3 including entry 5 ( $\mathbf{5 b}$; N-Cbz methyl alaninate), ${ }^{17 \mathrm{~b}}$ entry 6 ( $5 \mathbf{c}$; methyl N mesitoylalaninate) ${ }^{17 \mathrm{c}}$, entry 7 ( 5 d ; methyl $\mathrm{N}-\alpha$-naphthoylalaninate), entry 8 ( $\mathbf{5 e}$; ethyl N benzoylalaninate), ${ }^{17 \mathrm{~d}}$ entry 9 ( $\mathbf{5 f}$; tert-butyl N -benzoylalaninate), ${ }^{17 e}$ entry 10 ( $\mathbf{5 g}$; methyl $\alpha$ benzamidobutyrate), ${ }^{17 \mathrm{f}}$ and entry 11 ( 5 g ; methyl N -benzoylphenylalaninate) ${ }^{17 \mathrm{~g}}$ were deracemized by the same method.

To bromomesitylene ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.359 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv, Aldrich) in 0.5 mL THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $t-\operatorname{BuLi}(391 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.704 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.80 \mathrm{M}$ in pentane, Aldrich) and the solution was stirred for 30 min with comcomitant formation of a white precipitate. In a separate flask, 5 a ( 29 mg , 0.14 mmol ) was dissolved in 0.5 mL THF and added via cannula to the mesityl-Li. The flask was rinsed with an additional 0.5 mL THF (added by syringe and then added via cannula to the mesityl-Li solution. After stirring $1 \mathrm{~h}, 1 \mathbf{e}(1.25 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.35 M solution in THF, $0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 173 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the solution was stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by warming to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 20 min . The reaction was quenched with 1 mL sat'd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and partitioned between 10 mL 1.5 N HCl and 10 mL ether, which produced an insoluble orange oil that crystallized on standing. After separation of the ether layer, the orange crystals (or oil) and aqueous layer were washed with 10 mL ether. The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to afford 29 mg of material that was greater than $90 \%$ 5a by ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR assay and contained less than $10 \%$ residual mesitylene. HPLC analysis was conducted as in Table 2 to afford a $7.5: 92.5$ ratio of peaks for the $(R)$ and $(S)$ enantiomers ( $85 \%$ ee). To recover $\mathbf{1 e}$, the aqueous layer and the orange crystals (or oil) (dissolved in THF) were basified to pH 10 with NaOH solution and extracted with ether ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined ether extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated (aspirator) to give 176 mg of a golden foam (1e, $>95 \%$ ).

## Deracemization of lactates; representative procedure.

The procedure for the deracemization of ethyl $O$-benzyl lactate $\mathbf{4 b}{ }^{18}$ is given as a representative example. The BHT lactate ester $\mathbf{4 a}{ }^{19}$ was deracemized by a similar method, but with sec -butyllithium as the base. To hexamethyldisilazane ( $63.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv, Aldrich ) in 0.5 mL THF at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(187 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv, Aldrich) and the solution was stirred for 20 min . After cooling this solution to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{4 b}$ was added $(0.81 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.19 M solution in THF, $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resulting solution was warmed to $-40 \square \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{1 e}(0.85 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 0.48 M solution in THF, $161 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the solution was stirred for 30 min at this temperature. After warming to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 $\mathrm{min}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ sat'd $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added. The resulting biphasic solution was partitioned between 10 mL ether and 10 mL 1.5 N HCl and the aqueous layer was washed with 10 mL ether. The combined ether
layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give $30 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ ethyl $O$-benzyl lactate. HPLC analysis was conducted as in Table 4 to afford $45 \%$ ee favoring the second eluting enantiomer ( $R$ ).

## HPLC Methods.

General: Standard procedure for the HPLC analyses included equilibrating column to constant baseline. The enantiomer separation was validated by testing the racemic mixture at the beginning of each session. Detection was by UV at $240 / 254 \mathrm{~nm}$ or $220 / 240 \mathrm{~nm}$ depending on analyte and enantiomer ratios were obtained using both wavelengths. All flow rates are $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ unless otherwise stated.

Table 4. HPLC Assay Methods.

| Material | Chiral Stationary Phase | Conditions, retention time <br> (flow rate $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Naproxen $N, N$-diisiopropyl <br> amide16 | Pirkle type $(S, S)$ Beta gem 1 <br> (Regis) | $9 / 1$ hexane $/ \mathrm{PA}, \mathrm{RT} 6.4 \mathrm{~min}$ <br> $(S), 9.5 \mathrm{~min}(R)^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{BnOCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}(4 \mathrm{~b})$ | Chiralcel OJ | $93 / 7$ hexane $/ \mathrm{PPA}, \mathrm{RT} 9.3 \mathrm{~min}$ <br> $(S), 10.6 \mathrm{~min}(R)$ |
| $\mathrm{BnOCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{BHT}(4 \mathrm{a})$ | $(R, R)$-Whelk-O 1 (Regis) | $199 / 1$ hexane/TPA, RT 5.0 <br> min, 5.7 min |

Table 5. HPLC Methods for the $\alpha$-Amino Acid Derivatives.

| Table 3 entry/substrate | Chiral Stationary Phase | Conditions, retention time (flow rate $=1$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-4 (5a) | ( $R, R$ )-Whelk-O 1 (Regis) | 17/3 hexane/IPA, RT $20.9 \mathrm{~min}(R), 25.7 \mathrm{~min}$ $(S)^{2}$ |
| 5 (5b) | $\alpha$-Burke | 19/1 hexane/IPA, RT $14.0 \mathrm{~min}(R), 14.7 \mathrm{~min}$ $(S)^{b}$ |
| 6 (5c) | ( $R, R$ )-Whelk-O l | 17/3 hexane/[PA, RT $27.6 \mathrm{~min}(R), 34.2 \mathrm{~min}$ $(S)^{c}$ |
| 7 (5d) | ( $R, R$ )-Whelk-O I | $7 / 3$ hexane/IPA, RT $28.3 \mathrm{~min}(R), 35.6 \mathrm{~min}(S)^{\text {c }}$ |
| 8 (5e) | $\alpha$-Burke | 9/1 hexane/IPA, RT $11.5 \mathrm{~min}(R), 13.0 \mathrm{~min}(S)^{\text {c }}$ |
| 9 (5f) | $\alpha$-Burke | $11.5 / 1$ hexane/LPA, RT $7.9 \mathrm{~min}(R), 8.6 \mathrm{~min}$ $(S)^{c}$ |
| 10 (5g) | $\alpha$-Burke | 9/1 hexane/[PA, RT $11.6 \mathrm{~min}(R), 12.7 \mathrm{~min}(S)^{\text {d }}$ |
| 11 (5h) | $\alpha$-Burke | 39/1 hexane/IPA, RT $31.9 \mathrm{~min}(R), 34.4 \mathrm{~min}$ $(S)^{e}$ |

Stereochemical assignments: (a) comparison with authentic methyl N -benzoyl-( $R$ )-alaninate. (b) comparison with authentic methyl $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cbz}-(\mathrm{S})$-alaninate. (c) by analogy to entries 1-4 based on similar structure and chromatographic behavior.
(d) by analogy to entries 1-4 and entry 12 based on similar structure and chromatographic behavior. (e) from authentic methyl N -benzoyl-(S)-phenylalaninate.
11. (a) Frye, L. L.; Sullivan, E. L.; Cusack, K. P.; Funaro, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 697. (b) Whitmore, F. C.; Loder, D. J. in Organic Syntheses, Wiley \& Sons: New York, 1943; Collect. Vol. II, pp 282.
12. Ott, H.; Hardtmann, G.; Denzer, M., Frey, A.J.; Gogerty, J.H.; Leslie, G.H.; Trapold, J.H. J. Med. Chem. 1968, 777.
13. The $\mathrm{pKa}=c a .31$ for 16 is reported in ref. 3 based on measurements by F. G. Bordwell and A. V. Satish.
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[^0]:    (B) The optical purity of products was determined by HPLC on CSP.

[^1]:    (D) It is noteworthy to mention that all attempts to resolve racemic diphenylamine A45 with various chiral acids in different solvents were completely unsuccessful

[^2]:    (A) It should be noted that Ru catalysts with different ligands were used for substrates B45 and B78

[^3]:    (B) These results agree with the general trend for higher yields in the case of dimethoxy substituted substrates; see Table B10.

[^4]:    (D) Another alternative solvent - DMF was not examined.
    (E) In all previous asymmetric reduction experiments (Tables B10-B12) components concentration was equal to that used in the original Noyori paper $(0.4 \mathrm{mM} / \mathrm{mL})$.

[^5]:    (F) Aliquot was taken from the mixture to determine reduction enantioselectivity ( $99 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) and chemical yields ( $63 \%$ together with $25 \%$ recovery of starting material).
    (G) Initially Cbz-group was employed instead of trifluoroacetyl. However, the corresponding carbamate could not be made crystalline after purification by flash chromatography.
    (H) Successfully used to remove $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cbz}$ group without racemization in synthesis of various chiral N -substituted anilino-isoquinolines, for example tosylamide B89 (Scheme B12)

[^6]:    (I) In our case N -MOM group is stabilized by electron withdrawing substituent at nitrogen.

[^7]:    (J) Aliquots were taken from the reduction mixtures to determine hydrogenation enantioselectivity and chemical yields for B101a and B101c-d.

[^8]:    (K) Optical purity of B105 was not determined.

[^9]:    (L) Verified by the HPLC on CSP

[^10]:    (M) It is possible that isoquinoline B139 exists as mixture of atropoisomers and each of them has a chiral center in sec-Bu group.

[^11]:    (N) Two peaks were observed on HPLC on CSP for B143.

[^12]:    (A) Calculated for $99 \%$ enantiomeric excess (see refs. 2).

[^13]:    (B) External quenching agents such as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or various acids destroy enolate-diamine mixed aggregate prior to proton transfer within complex.

[^14]:    (C) Although differently substituted amide enolates C55 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) and C56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) were used for pKa measurements in DMSO and THF, biphenylamide C56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) is expected be more acidic in THF than para-unsubstituted phenylacetamide $\mathbf{C 5 5}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ because of higher anion delocalization in biphenylamide.
    (D) It should be noted that ester enolate C54 lithium ion pairs acidities were measured against the 9-phenylfluorene ( $\mathrm{pKa}=18.49$ ) as indicator, while in the case of amide enolate C56 ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) pKa value was assigned relatively to 3,4-benzofluorene ( $\mathrm{pKa}=19.29$ ).

[^15]:    (E) pKa difference of 3 units was proposed to be optimal for high enantiocontrol (ref. 11)

[^16]:    (I) CAPTIQ C35 was not tested in deracemization of phenylglycine C64 because of insufficient acidity of the chiral proton source.

[^17]:    Tा!

    | 9.00 | 8.50 | 8.00 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 6.50 | 6.00 | 5.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | -0.50 | -1.00 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\mathrm{sf}=300.134 \mathrm{Mhz} / \mathrm{sw}=6024.096 \mathrm{~Hz} / \mathrm{si}=32768 \mathrm{pnts} / \mathrm{ns}=16 / \mathrm{lb}=0.00 \mathrm{~Hz}$

